The Impact of Air Quality on Respiratory Health: A Laboratory Perspective

Hussain Mohammed Alramadan [1], Hussain Ahmed Almusharf [2], Amjad Majed Alqamar [3], Abdullah Ahmed Almusallam [4], Moayed Hussin Alhayder [5], Mohammed Hussain Alobud [6], Fatimah Murtadha Aljuzayri [7], Ahmed Yasir Albaqshi [8] , Eman Mustafa Alkhalaf [9], Hussain Bader Buzaid[10]

1- Lab technologist, Maternity and children hospital, alahsa, Saudi Arabia

2- Medical laboratory technician, Al omran general hospital, Saudi Arabia

3- Respiratory Therapist, King Khalid hospital Hail, Saudi Arabia

4- Supervisor Laboratory Technician, Maternity and children hospital Alahsa, Saudi Arabia

5- Lab technician, Abqaiq General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

6- Respiratory Therapist, Alomran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

7- Respiratory therapy, Omran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

8- Respiratory Therapist, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Saudi Arabia

9- Respiratory Therapist, Omren general hospital, Saudi Arabia

10- Respiratory Therapist, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Al Hassa, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Air quality is a crucial determinant of respiratory health, and laboratory studies provide essential insights into the mechanisms by which pollutants affect the respiratory system. Fine particulate matter (PM2.5), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and other airborne toxins can induce inflammation, oxidative stress, and cellular damage in the lungs. Researchers often use in vitro and in vivo models to simulate exposure to these pollutants, helping to identify specific pathways of injury and repair. For instance, studies utilizing human lung cell cultures can reveal how pollutants disrupt cellular functions, while animal models can illuminate the broader physiological responses induced by chronic exposure. The findings from these laboratory investigations underscore the urgent need for policy interventions to improve air quality and mitigate health risks associated with pollution. Moreover, the long-term effects of poor air quality on respiratory diseases, such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), are of significant concern. Laboratory studies play a vital role in exploring the chronic impact of sustained exposure to air pollutants, shedding light on how they contribute to the progression and exacerbation of these conditions. For instance, exposure to increased levels of ozone and nitrogen dioxide is linked to higher rates of respiratory infections and decreased lung function. Understanding these laboratory findings is critical for public health officials as they devise strategies to reduce emissions and implement regulatory measures aimed at improving air quality. Overall, a laboratory perspective not only enhances our understanding of the relationship between air quality and respiratory health but also emphasizes the need for informed action to protect vulnerable populations from the adverse effects of air pollution.

Keywords: air quality, respiratory health, pollutants, laboratory studies, particulate matter, oxidative stress, inflammation, chronic diseases, asthma, COPD, public health, regulatory measures, exposure assessment.

Introduction:

Air quality has increasingly emerged as a critical determinant of public health, particularly regarding respiratory health outcomes. The surge in industrialization, urbanization, and population density has been accompanied by a deterioration of air quality in numerous regions, prompting an urgent

need to understand the intricacies of how air contaminants impact human health. The respiratory system is uniquely susceptible to airborne pollutants as it serves as the primary interface between the body and the environment. Notably, exposure to a multitude of airborne substances—including particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and ozone (O3)—has been linked to a range of respiratory ailments, from asthma to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and even lung cancer [1].

Laboratory research presents unique opportunities to dissect the mechanisms by which air quality influences respiratory health. By providing controlled environments, laboratories allow for the isolation of variables that may confound field studies, thereby enabling researchers to establish causative relationships between specific pollutants and health outcomes. This approach leverages model systems—such as human cell lines, animal models, and in vitro experiments—to elucidate the pathophysiological processes triggered by air contaminants. Through such methodologies, researchers can observe changes at molecular and cellular levels, which serve as precursors to the clinical manifestations of respiratory conditions [2].

The concept of air quality encompasses both outdoor and indoor environments, each presenting different exposure profiles and health implications. Outdoor air pollution is characterized by the complexity of sources, including vehicle emissions, industrial discharges, and natural phenomena such as wildfires and dust storms. Conversely, indoor air quality can be significantly affected by indoor sources, such as smoking, cooking, heating, and the use of chemical products for cleaning and maintenance. Understanding how these diverse environments impact respiratory health necessitates a multifaceted research approach that incorporates clinical, epidemiological, and laboratory studies [3].

Epidemiological studies have provided compelling evidence of the correlation between poor air quality and respiratory diseases. Population-based studies consistently show that increased exposure to particulate matter and other pollutants correlates with heightened incidence, prevalence, and severity of respiratory diseases. However, variability in individual susceptibility due to genetic, developmental, and environmental factors complicates these associations. In this context, laboratory research contributes significantly to elucidating why certain populations may be more vulnerable to the adverse effects of air pollution. By examining cellular responses to pollutants, scientists can unravel the underlying biological mechanisms that might confer susceptibility or resilience [4].

Furthermore, advances in laboratory techniques—such as high-throughput screening, genomics, and proteomics—have revolutionized our understanding of how environmental exposures initiate inflammatory responses, alter immune function, and disrupt epithelial barriers in the respiratory tract. For instance, studies utilizing animal models have revealed that exposure to specific pollutants can lead to the infiltration of inflammatory cells in the lungs, increased mucus production, and impaired lung function. Additionally, in vitro studies involving human bronchial epithelial cells have shown that exposure to particulate matter leads to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which further exacerbate respiratory conditions [5].

The implications of these findings extend beyond individual health, affecting public health policies and regulatory frameworks aimed at reducing pollution exposure in vulnerable populations. Identification of specific air quality thresholds—beyond which adverse health effects are observed—has become pivotal in shaping environmental legislation and guidelines. The World Health Organization (WHO) and other public health agencies advocate for stricter air quality standards to protect populations, particularly children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing respiratory conditions [6].

Moreover, the urgency of addressing air quality issues has been underscored by emerging global challenges such as climate change and the COVID-19 pandemic. Evidence suggests that exposure to polluted air may exacerbate the severity of respiratory infections, including those caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. As such, understanding the interplay between air pollution and respiratory infections has profound implications for health care systems and disease prevention strategies [7].

Mechanisms of Pollutant-Induced Lung Injury:

The lungs are vital organs responsible for the exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide, integral to maintaining bodily functions and homeostasis. However, environmental pollutants pose a significant threat to lung health, leading to a range of injuries that can manifest as acute or chronic respiratory conditions [8].

Pollutants can broadly be categorized into several types: particulate matter (PM), gases such as nitrogen dioxide (NO2), sulfur dioxide (SO2), ozone (O3), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Particulate matter, categorized by size into PM10 and PM2.5, consists of tiny particles that can penetrate deeply into lung tissues, while gases may exist in ambient air or result from industrial emissions, vehicular traffic, and household products.

Exposure to pollutants occurs mainly through inhalation, wherein particles and gases enter the respiratory system. Once inhaled, these substances can provoke a variety of pathological responses within the airways and alveoli [9].

Pathways of Injury

Upon exposure to pollutants, several pathways of injury can be elucidated. These may include direct cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, inflammation, and immune dysregulation. Each pathway entails a series of intricate biochemical processes [9].

1. **Direct Cytotoxicity**: This mechanism involves the direct interaction of pollutants with epithelial cells lining the respiratory tract. Certain chemicals can lead to cell death through different forms of injury, including necrosis and apoptosis. For instance, particulate matter can cause mechanical stress on the cells by their mass and charge, disrupting cellular integrity and function [10].
2. **Oxidative Stress**: Environmental pollutants often induce oxidative stress by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS). These unstable molecules can cause cellular damage by interacting with lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids. Oxidative stress disrupts the normal antioxidant defenses, leading to chronic inflammation and fibrosis. In this regard, the lungs' rich vascular network facilitates the rapid diffusion of ROS, exacerbating the potential for lung injury.
3. **Inflammatory Responses**: In response to exposure, the innate immune system is activated, leading to localized inflammation. Key players in this process include macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells. Upon encountering pollutants, these immune cells release pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), which mediate the inflammatory process. Chronic inflammation can alter airway structure and function, resulting in conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma [10].
4. **Immune Dysregulation**: Exposure to pollutants has been shown to affect systemic immune function, which can lead to an allergic hypersensitivity response, particularly in susceptible individuals. The exacerbated immune response can result in further lung damage, compromising respiratory function and disease management, as evidenced in asthma exacerbation triggered by air pollution [10].

Long-Term Consequences of Lung Injury

The long-term consequences of pollutant-induced lung injury can be severe, contributing to chronic respiratory diseases. Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress can lead to epithelial and endothelial cell injury, promoting the development of pulmonary fibrosis and airway remodeling. Fibrosis is characterized by excessive deposition of collagen and extracellular matrix components, resulting in stiffening of lung tissue and impaired gas exchange.

Moreover, the effects of pollution are often not isolated to respiratory health; epidemiologic studies have linked air pollution exposure to cardiovascular diseases, lung cancer, and increased mortality rates. The acute effects of pollutants may also include acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), characterized by rapid deterioration in lung function, often necessitating urgent medical intervention [11].

Certain populations are more vulnerable to pollutant-induced lung injury, including children, the elderly, individuals with pre-existing respiratory or cardiac conditions, and those with lower socioeconomic status. Children are particularly susceptible due to their developing lungs and higher respiratory rates. The elderly often have diminished pulmonary reserve, making them vulnerable to the effects of inflammation and oxidative stress. Additionally, socioeconomic factors can exacerbate exposure levels due to living in urban environments or near industrial sites [12].

In Vitro Models for Assessing Air Pollutant Effects:

In recent decades, the deterioration of air quality due to urbanization, industrialization, and vehicular emissions has become a significant public health concern. Air pollutants, classified into primary and secondary pollutants, have been implicated in a myriad of respiratory diseases, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lung cancer. The growing burden of these conditions necessitates the development of laboratory models that can effectively evaluate the effects of air pollutants on lung injury [12].

Air pollutants can be categorized into several groups, including particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), ozone (O3), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and heavy metals. Particulate matter, particularly PM2.5 and PM10, poses serious health risks as these fine particles can penetrate deep into the lungs and enter the bloodstream. Ozone, while beneficial in the stratospheric layer, acts as a harmful respiratory irritant at ground level. Exposure to these pollutants has been associated with lung inflammation, oxidative stress, and cellular injury.

To understand the biological mechanisms underlying lung injury caused by air pollution, researchers employ various laboratory models that simulate the human respiratory system's response to pollutants. These models offer the ability to control environmental variables, isolate specific pollutants, and provide insights into the pathological mechanisms involved in lung injury. Additionally, they enable the testing of potential therapeutic strategies to mitigate the harmful effects of air pollutants on lung health [12].

In Vitro Models

In vitro models use cultured human or animal cells to study the cellular responses to air pollutants.

1. **Airway Epithelial Cell Cultures**: One of the most common in vitro models involves primary cultures of airway epithelial cells. These cultures can be derived from bronchial epithelial cells obtained from biopsy specimens or cell lines like BEAS-2B and A549. Airway epithelial cells play a crucial role in the respiratory immune response, and their exposure to pollutants like PM and O3 can lead to changes in gene expression, cytokine release, and cellular viability [13].
2. **Co-culture Systems**: More complex in vitro models involve co-cultures of airway epithelial cells with underlying immune cells, such as macrophages or dendritic cells. These models simulate the interaction between epithelial and immune cells in response to pollutants, allowing researchers to examine inflammatory responses and assess potential therapeutic interventions.
3. **3D Airway Models**: Advancements in tissue engineering have led to the development of three-dimensional (3D) airway organoids that better replicate the architecture and function of human lungs. These models can mimic the air-blood barrier more effectively, enabling researchers to study the transport and deposition of airborne pollutants more accurately [13].

In Vivo Models

In vivo models involve the use of live animal subjects, commonly rodents, to investigate the systemic and localized effects of air pollutants on lung injury.

1. **Inhalation Exposure Systems**: Rodent models exposed to specific air pollutants via inhalation chambers are commonly adopted to mimic the real-life exposure of humans to these harmful substances. This method allows for the assessment of acute and chronic effects of pollutants on lung

function, histopathology, and biomarkers of inflammation [14].

2. **Dose-Response Relationships**: Various concentrations of pollutants can be administered, enabling the determination of dose-response relationships that can inform risk assessment in humans. In vivo studies have shown that exposures leading to significant lung inflammation and injury correspond with higher particulate matter concentrations.

3. **Transgenic Models**: Genetic modification in animal models can provide insights into the role of specific genes and pathways involved in lung injury. For instance, transgenic mice with enhanced oxidative stress response pathways are valuable for investigating the impact of specific air pollutants on oxidative injuries in the lungs [14].

Key Findings from Laboratory Models

Laboratory models have contributed significantly to our understanding of the effects of air pollutants on lung injury. Research utilizing in vitro systems has demonstrated that exposure to PM can induce oxidative stress, leading to epithelial cell apoptosis and disruption of tight junctions, which can compromise the lung barrier function. Furthermore, pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-alpha have been shown to be upregulated in response to air pollutant exposure [15].

In animal studies, findings indicate that long-term exposure to airborne particulate matter exacerbates pre-existing lung diseases, increases susceptibility to infections, and can even induce changes consistent with the development of lung cancer. Researchers have observed alterations in lung function, evident through reduced forced expiratory volume (FEV1) and increased airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) in exposed animals.

The future of laboratory models in evaluating air pollution effects on lung injury is promising, particularly with advancements in technology. For instance, the integration of omics technologies, such as genomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, can provide comprehensive insights into cellular responses to pollutants. Furthermore, the rise of artificial intelligence and machine learning will enable the analysis of complex datasets, thus improving our understanding of the multi-faceted interactions between air pollutants and lung physiology [15].

Additionally, the advent of humanized models, where human tissues are integrated into animal models or advanced bioprinting techniques are applied, could pave the way for sex-specific or age-specific studies. These innovative approaches will enhance the translational potential of laboratory findings to human health outcomes [15].

In Vivo Studies: Understanding Long-Term Respiratory Consequences:

In the realm of biomedical research, gaining an in-depth understanding of respiratory diseases and their long-term implications is crucial for developing effective treatments and preventive strategies. One of the most effective methodologies employed to investigate these complex phenomena is in vivo study, which allows researchers to observe biological processes in living organisms within their natural states. In vivo studies provide significant insights into the dynamism of biological systems and their responses to environmental factors, thereby elucidating the long-term consequences of respiratory conditions [16].

In vivo studies, derived from the Latin term meaning "within the living," involve the examination of living organisms to understand the physiological processes and disease progression in real-time. These studies can take place in various animal models, including rodents, primates, and other species, depending on the condition being researched. The use of in vivo approaches is critical in respiratory research due to the intricate interactions between various cell types, environmental exposures, and genetic predispositions that characterize respiratory system responses [16].

The primary advantages of in vivo studies lie in their ability to replicate the complexity of living organisms. Unlike in vitro studies, which are conducted in controlled environments outside of a living organism (such as petri dishes or cell cultures), in vivo research considers the multifaceted nature of biological systems. The intricate interactions between different organ systems,

cellular responses to stimuli, and the influence of the organism's environment provide a richer and more comprehensive understanding of respiratory health.

To fully grasp the significance of in vivo studies, it is essential to understand common respiratory diseases, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pneumonia, and pulmonary fibrosis. Each of these conditions can produce long-term consequences that extend beyond immediate symptoms [16].

For instance, asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by airway hyperreactivity, airflow obstruction, and bronchial inflammation. In vivo studies have demonstrated that the long-term presence of inflammation leads to airway remodeling, which can manifest as thickened airway walls, increased smooth muscle mass, and altered extracellular matrix components. Such changes not only exacerbate asthma symptoms but may also predispose patients to other conditions, including respiratory infections and reduced lung function over time [17].

Similarly, in vivo studies have illuminated the pathophysiology of COPD, a progressive disease primarily caused by long-term exposure to harmful particles or gases, such as those found in cigarette smoke. Research has shown that chronic exposure leads to progressive airway obstruction, emphysema (destruction of the alveoli), and pulmonary hypertension. These long-term consequences necessitate ongoing intervention and management, as individuals with COPD face increased morbidity and mortality risks.

Furthermore, multifaceted studies exploring pneumonia or other infectious lung diseases have revealed that acute respiratory infections can lead to long-lasting lung dysfunction even after the resolution of initial symptoms. In vivo models have been instrumental in elucidating the mechanisms by which such infections promote scarring (fibrosis), inflammation, and diminished lung elasticity, resulting in persistent respiratory complications [17].

Environmental exposures play a critical role in respiratory health, and in vivo studies have been pivotal in uncovering the long-term consequences of various pollutants and allergens. Airborne pollutants, such as particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, and allergens, have been shown to exacerbate respiratory diseases and can produce long-lasting effects on lung function.

Several studies utilizing rodent models have provided evidence that chronic exposure to air pollutants can result in structural and functional changes in the lungs. In vivo examination has allowed researchers to observe alterations in inflammatory pathways, cellular responses to oxidative stress, and the development of neoplastic changes within the respiratory tract. Such findings underscore the adverse effects of air quality on respiratory health and the importance of addressing environmental factors to reduce disease burden [18].

One of the defining features of in vivo studies is their ability to explore various mechanisms underlying respiratory diseases. These studies often incorporate advanced imaging techniques, molecular biology, and genetic analysis to gain a nuanced understanding of disease processes.

Molecular imaging techniques, for example, enable researchers to visualize inflammation, structural changes, and cellular activity in real time. These techniques have been crucial in identifying how immunological responses develop in the lungs following exposure to allergens or irritants. Furthermore, genetic studies in animal models can provide insights into the hereditary factors that predispose individuals to respiratory diseases, aiding in the identification of potential biomarkers for early disease detection [18].

The insights gained from in vivo studies have profound implications for public health and clinical practice. Understanding the long-term respiratory consequences of various conditions has informed the development of guidelines for asthma management, smoking cessation, and exposure reduction to environmental pollutants [19].

For instance, findings from in vivo studies on asthma have prompted healthcare providers to adopt early intervention strategies that focus on controlling inflammation and preventing airway remodeling. By mitigating exposures to triggers and implementing personalized treatment plans, clinicians can improve quality of life for individuals living with chronic respiratory conditions.

Likewise, the evidence supporting the long-term impact of pollution on respiratory health has galvanized public health efforts aimed at reducing exposure to harmful air quality. Policies advocating for cleaner air and industrial regulations have emerged in response to findings from in vivo research, underscoring the potential for actionable change in the face of accumulating evidence [20].

Biomarkers of Exposure and Respiratory Health Outcomes:

The interplay between environmental exposures and respiratory health outcomes is an area of growing concern in public health. Increased industrialization, urbanization, and lifestyle changes have led to heightened exposure to various pollutants and toxicants, exacerbating respiratory ailments across population demographics. Biomarkers of exposure serve as critical tools to assess the relationship between environmental agents and respiratory health outcomes, providing insights into the mechanistic pathways of disease [21].

Biomarkers of exposure are biological indicators that reflect the presence, absorption, metabolism, or effects of environmental agents in the human body. These biomarkers can manifest in various forms, including metabolites in biological fluids, changes in cellular composition, or alterations in gene expression. They are classified into three primary categories: quantitative, qualitative, and functional biomarkers [21].

1. **Quantitative Biomarkers**: These serve to measure the concentration of specific chemicals or their metabolites in biologic systems. For instance, measuring cotinine in the bloodstream serves as a quantitative biomarker of tobacco smoke exposure.
2. **Qualitative Biomarkers**: These indicate the presence or absence of exposure without quantifying the level. An example could be the detection of specific antibodies that may arise from exposure to allergens.
3. **Functional Biomarkers**: These biomarkers reflect the biological response to an exposure, such as changes in lung function through spirometry measurements, which can indicate the impact of air pollutants on respiratory health [22].

Common Environmental Exposures and Respiratory Health Effects

A myriad of environmental factors contribute to respiratory health issues. Among these are pollutants such as particulate matter (PM), ozone (O_3), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and sulfur dioxide (SO_2). Each of these agents can be linked to various respiratory diseases, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lung cancer [23].

1. **Particulate Matter**: Ultrafine particulate matter (PM2.5) is particularly concerning due to its ability to penetrate deep into the lungs and enter systemic circulation. Studies have linked PM2.5 exposure to increased hospital admissions for respiratory conditions, exacerbating asthma symptoms, and decreasing lung function in both the general population and vulnerable groups, such as children and the elderly [24].
2. **Ozone**: Ground-level ozone, a prevalent air pollutant formed from sunlight reacting with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides, has been associated with respiratory irritation and exacerbation of asthma, leading to increased emergency room visits and hospitalizations.
3. **Nitrogen Dioxide**: Primarily emitted by vehicle exhaust and combustion processes, NO_2 exposure has been associated with higher rates of respiratory infections, asthma incidence, and reduced lung function, especially in children.
4. **Tobacco Smoke**: As a significant source of indoor pollution, tobacco smoke is linked to various respiratory diseases and is a well-recognized risk factor for lung cancer. Cotinine, a metabolite of nicotine, serves as a biomarker for both active smoking and secondhand smoke exposure [24].

Mechanisms of Action

The connection between environmental exposures and respiratory health outcomes involves complex

biological mechanisms. Exposure to harmful pollutants can lead to oxidative stress, inflammation, and changes in immune responses, which can result in structural and functional changes in the respiratory system[25].

1. **Oxidative Stress**: Pollutants can induce oxidative stress by generating reactive oxidants that damage lung epithelial cells, leading to inflammation and dysfunction of the airways. This cascade of effects contributes to conditions like asthma and COPD.
2. **Inflammatory Responses**: Prolonged exposure to environmental pollutants activates immune pathways, resulting in chronic inflammation in the respiratory tract. Biomarkers such as cytokines and inflammatory mediators can indicate this response and its severity.
3. **Altered Gene Expression**: External exposures can lead to epigenetic changes, modifying gene expression related to inflammatory processes and immune response. For instance, exposure to certain heavy metals may modify the expression of genes involved in antioxidant defense mechanisms [25].

The Role of Biomarkers in Research and Public Health

Biomarkers of exposure play an essential role in epidemiological studies that explore the intricate relationships between environmental exposures and respiratory health outcomes. By quantifying exposure levels, researchers can establish dose-response relationships and identify susceptible populations, thus informing public health interventions [26].

1. **Epidemiological Insights**: Biomarkers enable researchers to analyze large datasets, revealing trends and associations that may not be evident through traditional observational studies. For instance, studies utilizing biomarkers have demonstrated that children living near highways show higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers compared to those in less polluted areas, accentuating the impact of traffic-related air pollution [27].
2. **Risk Assessment and Management**: Biomarkers facilitate more precise risk assessment processes for various populations exposed to pollutants. By identifying individuals or groups at higher risk, public health initiatives can be better tailored, focusing on preventive measures such as education, health screenings, and policy changes aimed at reducing exposure.
3. **Regulatory Frameworks**: The data derived from biomarker studies can inform regulatory agencies regarding permissible exposure limits to specific pollutants. Establishing stronger regulations to mitigate hazards could significantly improve overall respiratory health outcomes in the population [27].

The Role of Oxidative Stress in Respiratory Pathologies:

The Role of Oxidative Stress in Respiratory Pathologies

Oxidative stress is an emerging area of research within the fields of medicine and biology that has garnered attention for its implications in a variety of health conditions. Among these, respiratory pathologies such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pneumonia, and lung cancer have shown significant links to oxidative stress [28].

At its core, oxidative stress refers to an imbalance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the body's ability to detoxify those reactive intermediaries or repair the resultant damage. ROS include free radicals such as superoxide (O_2^-) and hydroxyl radical (•OH), as well as non-radical species like hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The body produces ROS during various metabolic processes, including mitochondrial respiration, inflammatory responses, and the metabolism of xenobiotics, among other functions [29].

Under normal physiological conditions, ROS play a pivotal role in cell signaling, homeostasis, and immune defense. However, an overproduction of

ROS or a concurrent depletion of antioxidant defenses leads to oxidative stress, which can damage biomolecules, including lipids, proteins, and DNA. The pathophysiological consequences of oxidative stress are profound, as the ensuing cellular damage can contribute to inflammation, cellular apoptosis, and, ultimately, disease progression in various organ systems, including the respiratory system [29].

Oxidative Stress and Respiratory Pathologies

Asthma

Asthma is characterized by chronic inflammation of the airways, leading to episodic bronchoconstriction, airflow limitation, and respiratory distress. Research has indicated that oxidative stress plays a key role in both the initiation and exacerbation of asthma symptoms. Increased levels of ROS have been observed in the lungs of asthmatic patients, often correlating with heightened airway inflammation and hyperreactivity. Environments laden with pollutants (such as tobacco smoke, ozone, and particulate matter) further exacerbate oxidative stress, compounding the severity of asthma symptoms [30].

Furthermore, the inflammatory mediators involved in asthma, such as interleukins and leukotrienes, can elevate ROS production, creating a vicious cycle of oxidant generation and inflammation. Considering these interrelated processes, antioxidants have been proposed as potential adjunctive therapies to mitigate oxidative damage and improve asthma control [30].

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)

COPD is a progressive lung disease marked by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible, primarily caused by cigarette smoking and environmental pollutants. Similar to asthma, oxidative stress is a critical component in the pathology of COPD. The lungs of individuals with COPD exhibit significant oxidative damage, with heightened levels of ROS reported in lung tissues and exhaled breath condensate [31].

The chronic nature of COPD entails persistent inflammation and protease-antiprotease imbalance, both of which are influenced by oxidative stress. For instance, abnormal ROS levels can activate matrix metalloproteinases, leading to extracellular matrix degradation and emphysema development. Moreover, oxidative stress is believed to increase airway hyperresponsiveness, exacerbating respiratory symptoms. Emerging therapies utilizing antioxidants are being explored in clinical trials, aiming to provide relief by targeting oxidative damage [31].

Pneumonia

Pneumonia, an infection of the lungs, can also be significantly impacted by oxidative stress. During bacterial or viral infections, the immune system mounts an inflammatory response that inherently produces ROS as part of its pathogen-fighting strategy. However, excessive ROS generation can result in tissue damage, lung injury, and impaired gas exchange, complicating recovery from pneumonia [32].

Several studies have indicated that the degree of oxidative stress correlates with the severity of pneumonia, with high levels of oxidative markers associated with unfavorable outcomes. Antioxidant therapy, such as N-acetylcysteine, has been assessed for its potential to improve outcomes in hospitalized patients, highlighting the need for further exploration of oxidative stress modulation in infectious respiratory diseases [32].

Lung Cancer

Lung cancer represents a critical public health challenge, often linked to chronic exposure to environmental carcinogens and oxidative stress. Oxidative damage to DNA is one mechanism through which ROS contribute to oncogenesis. Carcinogens, including components of tobacco smoke, can induce oxidative stress, leading to mutations that drive malignant transformation of lung cells [33].

The relationship between ROS and cancer progression is complex; while some ROS may promote cancer cell proliferation and metastasis, others can induce apoptosis in cancerous cells. Hence, the dual role of oxidative stress as both a promoter and inhibitor of cancer raises important questions regarding the timing and application of antioxidant therapies in lung cancer patients [34].

Sources of Reactive Oxygen Species

The sources of ROS are multifaceted and can include both endogenous and exogenous factors.

Endogenously, ROS are generated during aerobic respiration, particularly within the mitochondria. Immune cells, such as neutrophils and macrophages, produce ROS in response to infection or injury, functioning as a defense mechanism. Exogenously, environmental factors such as cigarette smoke, air pollution, and occupational hazards significantly contribute to the oxidative burden experienced by the lungs [35].

The convergence of these sources often results in a heightened state of oxidative stress during respiratory illnesses, indicating the urgent need for comprehensive public health strategies aimed at minimizing exposure to pollutants and promoting respiratory health.

The recognition of oxidative stress as a pivotal factor in respiratory pathologies has led to various therapeutic considerations. Antioxidants, both natural and synthetic, have been examined for their potential to counteract oxidative damage. Vitamins C and E, glutathione, and polyphenols derived from fruits and vegetables represent some dietary antioxidants capable of reducing oxidative stress [36].

More recently, pharmaceutical interventions—such as N-acetylcysteine (a mucolytic and antioxidant), corticosteroids (to suppress inflammation), and novel antioxidants—are being evaluated in clinical settings. The ongoing challenge lies in effectively delivering these interventions to patients while balancing their potential benefits against acceptable safety profiles [37].

Interventions and Policy Implications for Air Quality Improvement:

The quality of air we breathe is a critical determinant of health, particularly in relation to respiratory diseases. With increasing urbanization, industrial activity, and vehicle emissions contributing to air pollution, the need for effective interventions and policy measures has become more urgent than eve [38]r.

Understanding the Link Between Air Quality and Respiratory Health

Air pollution consists of a complex mixture of substances, including particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NOx), sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). These pollutants can cause or exacerbate various respiratory diseases, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lung cancer. The World Health Organization (WHO) has reported that air pollution is responsible for millions of premature deaths worldwide, making it a significant public health crisis. Inhalation of polluted air leads to inflammatory responses in the lungs and can affect overall lung function, particularly in susceptible populations such as children, the elderly, and individuals with pre-existing health conditions [38].

Interventions to Improve Air Quality

1. **Regulatory Measures and Legislation**: Governments have the responsibility to enforce regulations that limit emissions from industrial sources and vehicles. Implementing stricter emission standards for industries, coupled with regular compliance monitoring, can mitigate harmful pollutant discharges. In addition, establishing low-emission zones in urban areas can lead to reduced vehicular emissions in densely populated districts [39].
2. **Promoting Public Transportation**: Enhancing public transportation systems encourages individuals to use buses, trains, and other forms of mass transit instead of personal vehicles. This shift not only reduces the number of cars on the road but also decreases the overall emissions associated with transportation. Creating incentives for the use of public transit—such as subsidized fares and increased service frequency—can significantly lessen the pollution burden.
3. **Investment in Clean Energy**: Transitioning from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources—such as wind, solar, and hydroelectric power—can reduce air pollution at its source. Governments should invest in research and development of clean energy technologies and provide incentives for businesses to adopt sustainable practices. The use of cleaner fuels in both industrial and residential heating is paramount in controlling air quality [40].

4. **Urban Planning and Green Infrastructure**:
   Thoughtful urban planning can significantly enhance air quality. Incorporating green spaces such as parks, gardens, and urban forests can help to absorb pollutants and improve oxygen levels. Green infrastructure includes the creation of green roofs, walls, and rain gardens that incorporate vegetation into urban settings, thus providing natural filtration for city air [40].

5. **Public Awareness and Education**: Raising awareness about the health risks associated with air pollution is crucial. Educational campaigns can empower communities to take action, promote lifestyle changes that reduce exposure to pollutants, and advocate for cleaner air policies. Public participation in air quality monitoring can also increase accountability and drive community-led initiatives [41].

Policy Implications

Addressing air quality through effective interventions comes with significant policy implications. Policymakers need to recognize that improving air quality is not just an environmental issue—it is a public health imperative. Strategies must take a multi-faceted approach combining regulation, education, and community engagement [42].

1. **Interdisciplinary Collaboration**: Effective air quality management requires collaboration between various sectors, including health, urban planning, transportation, and environmental management. Policymakers should promote inter-agency partnerships to establish a holistic approach to air quality improvement that incorporates public health considerations [43].

2. **Equity in Policy Design**: Air pollution affects marginalized communities disproportionately. Policymakers need to ensure that interventions are equitable, providing adequate support and resources to vulnerable populations. This could involve targeted air quality improvement programs in low-income neighborhoods, where exposure to pollution is often the highest [43].

3. **Long-term Commitment to Funding**: Policymaking should prioritize sustainable funding for air quality research and public health initiatives. Investments in air quality monitoring and technological advancements in pollution control will yield long-term health benefits. The economic argument for investing in air quality must be emphasized; healthier populations lead to reduced healthcare costs and increased worker productivity [44].

4. **Global Cooperation**: Air pollution knows no borders. International cooperation is essential, especially for transboundary pollution issues. Global agreements on air quality standards, such as those led by the WHO or the United Nations, can help set benchmarks that countries strive to meet collectively, sharing best practices and technologies for cleaner air [45].

5. **Regular Review and Adaptation**: Policies should be adaptive, incorporating the latest scientific evidence and technological advancements. Regularly reviewing air quality standards and health outcomes can help ensure that interventions remain relevant and effective [46].

Future Directions in Research on Air Quality and Respiratory Health:

Air quality has emerged as a crucial determinant of respiratory health, and its impact has been increasingly recognized by scientists, health policymakers, and the public. As urbanization continues to accelerate and climate change presents new challenges, understanding the complex interplay between air pollution and respiratory diseases is more essential than ever. [47]

The advancement of research methodologies has the potential to transform our understanding of air quality and respiratory health. Traditional

epidemiological studies have served as the foundation for understanding the correlation between air pollutants and health outcomes. However, future research can benefit from integrating more sophisticated techniques, such as longitudinal studies that follow individuals over extended periods, and the use of cohort studies that examine specific populations vulnerable to air quality issues [48].

Moreover, the development of advanced air quality modeling techniques can provide insights into the spatial and temporal variations of pollutants. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies allow researchers to map pollution exposure with high precision, providing a clearer picture of the relationship between air quality and specific health outcomes. These tools can facilitate more granular studies that explore the effects of short-term versus long-term exposure to different types of pollutants [49].

Advancements in technology are also changing how researchers assess air quality and its health impacts. The proliferation of low-cost air quality sensors has democratized the ability to monitor pollution levels, allowing citizens and community organizations to gather data in real-time. This grassroots approach can enhance traditional research by incorporating community experiences and observations. Future studies could focus on validating these low-cost sensors against reference-grade equipment to ensure data accuracy and reliability [50].

Moreover, the integration of big data analytics into research on air quality is gaining traction. Utilizing machine learning algorithms can help to analyze vast amounts of air quality and health data, identifying complex patterns and predicting health outcomes based on exposure levels. For instance, combining data on air pollution from local sensors, meteorological data, and public health records can enable researchers to model the health burden associated with specific air pollutants with greater accuracy [51].

Addressing the intricate relationship between air quality and respiratory health necessitates an interdisciplinary approach. Future research should bring together epidemiologists, toxicologists, engineers, public health experts, and social scientists to create a holistic understanding of the issue. Collaborative efforts can yield insights into how airborne pollutants affect biological mechanisms in the body, leading to respiratory diseases such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lung cancer [52].

Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration can facilitate the exploration of co-factors affecting health outcomes. For instance, social determinants of health, such as socioeconomic status, access to healthcare, and community resources, can influence vulnerability to pollution exposure. Future studies should aim to unravel these complexities and explore how policies addressing these social determinants can lead to improved health outcomes [53].

One of the pressing issues in the realm of air quality and respiratory health research is the existence of health disparities across different populations. Marginalized communities often experience disproportionately high exposure to air pollutants due to factors such as proximity to industrial zones, faulty zoning laws, and limited access to health services. Future research should focus on understanding the specific vulnerabilities of these populations, examining not only the health impacts of poor air quality but also the social and economic factors contributing to these disparities [54].

Moreover, community-engaged research approaches can empower affected populations by involving them in the research process. This participatory model can enhance the relevance of the research findings and facilitate the development of tailored interventions designed to reduce exposure and enhance resilience. By prioritizing health equity in air quality research, scientists can help to bridge the gap and ensure that all communities benefit from improved air quality measures [55].

As the evidence linking air quality to respiratory health grows, the need for effective public health policies and interventions becomes increasingly evident. Future research should focus on translating scientific findings into actionable strategies that policymakers can implement. For instance, studies examining the impact of specific air quality regulations on public health outcomes can provide valuable insights for lawmakers seeking to legislate improvements in air quality [56].

Additionally, researchers can play a critical role in advocacy by engaging with policymakers and

sharing data-driven narratives that highlight the health risks associated with air pollution. Educational campaigns that raise public awareness about the health impacts of poor air quality can also mobilize communities to advocate for change. Future research should investigate the most effective communication strategies to ensure that the public understands the urgency of addressing air quality issues [57].

Conclusion:

The findings from this study affirm that air quality plays a vital role in respiratory health, with strong evidence from laboratory studies highlighting the detrimental effects of air pollutants on lung function and overall respiratory well-being. Through the use of in vitro and in vivo models, researchers have elucidated the mechanisms by which common airborne contaminants, such as particulate matter and volatile organic compounds, induce inflammation, oxidative stress, and cellular damage. These effects contribute not only to immediate respiratory issues but also to the long-term exacerbation of chronic conditions such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

As the global burden of air pollution continues to rise, it is imperative for public health policymakers to implement measures aimed at improving air quality and protecting vulnerable populations. This study underscores the importance of ongoing research into the interactions between respiratory health and environmental factors, as well as the need for effective interventions to mitigate exposure to harmful pollutants. By advancing our understanding of the laboratory-documented effects of air quality on respiratory health, we can better inform strategies that promote a healthier environment and ultimately contribute to improved respiratory outcomes across communities.

References:

1. Peden D, Reed CE. Environmental and occupational allergies. J Allerg Clinl Immunol. 2010;125(2) Suppl 2:S150–S160. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.10.073.
2. Naeher LP, Brauer M, Lipsett M, Zelikoff JT, Simpson CD, Koenig JQ, et al. Woodsmoke health effects: a review. Inhal Toxicol. 2007;19(1):67–106. doi: 10.1080/08958370600985875.
3. Torres-Duque C, Maldonado D, Perez-Padilla R, Ezzati M, Viegi G. Forum of International Respiratory Studies Task Force on Health Effects of Biomass E. Biomass fuels and respiratory diseases: a review of the evidence. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2008;5(5):577–590. doi: 10.1513/pats.200707-100RP.
4. Gilber NL, Woodhouse S, Stieb DM, Brook JR. Ambient nitrogen dioxide and distance from a major highway. Sci Total Environ. 2003;312(1–3):43–46. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00228-6.
5. D'Amato G, Cecchi L, D'Amato M, Liccardi G. Urban Air Pollution and Climate Change as Environmental Risk Factors of Respiratory Allergy: An Update. J Invest Allerg Clin. 2010;20(2):95–102.
6. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope I, Arden C, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010;121:2331–2378. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181dbece1.
7. Fruin SA, Winer AM, Rodes CE. Black carbon concentrations in California vehicles and estimation of in-vehicle diesel exhaust particulate matter exposures. Atmos Environ. 2004;38(25):4123–4133.
8. Zhang JJ, Smith KR. Household air pollution from coal and biomass fuels in China: measurements, health impacts, and interventions. Environ Health Perspect. 2007 Jun;115(6):848–855. doi: 10.1289/ehp.9479.
9. Kelly FJ, Fussell JC. Air pollution and airway disease. Clin Exp Allergy. 2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2011.03776.x. Epub ahead of print.
10. Fullerton DG, Bruce N, Gordon SB. Indoor air pollution from biomass fuel smoke is a major health concern in the developing world. Trans Royal Soc Trop Med Hygiene. 2008;102(9):843–851. doi: 10.1016/j.trstmh.2008.05.028.
11. Smith KR, Mehta S, Maeusezahl-Feuz M. Indoor air-pollution from solid fuel use. In: Ezzatti M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray CJL, editors. Comparative Quantification

of Health Risks: Global and regional burden of diseases attributable to selected major risk factors. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. pp. 1435–1493.
12. Brook RD. Cardiovascular effects of air pollution. Clin Sci. 2008;115:175–187. doi: 10.1042/CS20070444.
13. Ezzati M, Kammen DM. The health impacts of exposure to indoor air pollution from solid fuels in developing countries: knowledge, gaps, and data needs. Environ Health Perspect. 2002;110(11):1057–1068. doi: 10.1289/ehp.021101057.
14. Reddy A, editor. Prospects and challenges. New York: United Nations Development Programme; 1996. Energy after Rio.
15. Utell MJ, Frampton MW. Acute health effects of ambient air pollution: the ultrafine hypothesis. J Aerosol Med. 2000;13(4). doi: 10.1089/jam.2000.13.355.
16. Zhou Y, Levy JI. The impact of urban street canyons on population exposure to traffic-related primary pollutants. Atmos Environ. 2008;42(13):3087–3098.
17. Salama MT, Islam T, Gilliland FD. Recent evidence for adverse effects of residential proximity to traffic sources on asthma. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2008;14(1):3–8. doi: 10.1097/MCP.0b013e3282f1987a.
18. Balmes JR. When smoke gets in your lungs. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2010;7(2):98–101. doi: 10.1513/pats.200907-081RM.
19. Zhu Y, Hinds WC, Shen S, Sioutas C. Seasonal Trends of Concentration and Size Distribution of Ultrafine Particles Near Major Highways in Los Angeles. Aerosol Sci Technol. 2004;38:5–13.
20. Health Effects Institute. Traffic-related air pollution: A critical review of the literature on emissions, exposure and health effects. 2010 Special Report #17.
21. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope I, Arden C, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, et al. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010;121:2331–2378.
22. Zhu Y, Fung DC, Kennedy N, Hinds WC, Eiguren-Fernandez A. Measurements of ultrafine particles and other vehicular pollutants inside a mobile exposure system on Los Angeles freeways. J Air Waste Manag Assoc. 2008;58(3):424–434. doi: 10.3155/1047-3289.58.3.424.
23. UNICEF UK. Children's rights in the new normal: 5. housing. Date last updated: February 2022.
24. Raw GJ, Coward SKD, Brown VM, et al. Exposure to air pollutants in English homes. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 2004; 14: S85–S94. doi: 10.1038/sj.jea.7500363.
25. Sly PD, Flack F. Susceptibility of children to environmental pollutants. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2008; 1140: 163–183. doi: 10.1196/annals.1454.017.
26. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: dampness and mould. Copenhagen, World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, 2009.
27. BBC News. Awaab Ishak: Mould in Rochdale flat caused boy's death, coroner rules. Date last updated: 15 November 2022.
28. Kim JJ. Ambient air pollution: health hazards to children. Pediatrics 2004; 114: 1699–1707. doi: 10.1542/peds.2004-2166.
29. Dick S, Friend A, Dynes K, et al. A systematic review of associations between environmental exposures and development of asthma in children aged up to 9 years. BMJ Open 2014; 4: e006554. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006554.
30. O'Leary C, de Kluizenaar Y, Jacobs P, et al. Investigating measurements of fine particle (PM2.5) emissions from the cooking of meals and mitigating exposure using a cooker hood. Indoor Air 2019; 29: 423–438. doi: 10.1111/ina.12542.
31. Heinrich J, Slama R. Fine particles, a major threat to children. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2007; 210: 617–622. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2007.07.012.
32. UK Government, Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities and Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. Decent Homes Standard: review. Date last updated: 8 February 2021.

33. Brown T, Dassonville C, Derbez M, et al. Relationships between socioeconomic and lifestyle factors and indoor air quality in French dwellings. Environ Res 2015; 140: 385–396. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.04.012.
34. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Forno E, Rodriguez-Martinez CE, et al. Risk and protective factors for childhood asthma: what is the evidence? J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2016; 4: 1111–1122. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2016.05.003.
35. UK Government, Department for Energy Security and Net Zero and Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy. Energy Follow Up Survey (EFUS) 2017 reports. Date last updated: 17 September 2021.
36. Global Action Plan. UN acknowledges children's demand for right to clean air. Date last updated: 17 November 2021.
37. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, Royal College of Physicians. The inside story: Health effects of indoor air quality on children and young people. London, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, 2020.
38. Hoffman K, Lorenzo A, Butt CM, et al. Exposure to flame retardant chemicals and occurrence and severity of papillary thyroid cancer: a case-control study. Environ Int 2017; 107: 235–242. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2017.06.021.
39. Odd D, Stoianova S, Sleap V, et al. Child Mortality and Social Deprivation: National Child Mortality Database Programme Thematic Report. Data from April 2019 to March 2020. Date last updated: May 2021.
40. Bjerg A, Rönmark E. Asthma in school age: prevalence and risk factors by time and by age. Clin Respir J 2008; 2: Suppl., 123–126. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-699X.2008.00095.x.
41. Barnes M, Cullinane C, Scott S, et al. People living in bad housing – numbers and health impacts. Date last updated: October 2013.
42. Haghighat F, De Bellis L. Material emission rates: literature review, and the impact of indoor air temperature and relative humidity. Build Environ 1998; 33: 261–277. doi: 10.1016/S0360-1323(97)00060-7.
43. UK Government, Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities. English Housing Survey 2021 to 2022: headline report. Date last updated: 15 December 2022.
44. United Nations. Convention on the Rights of the Child. Geneva, United Nations, 1989.
45. Zhang JJ, Wei Y, Fang Z. Ozone Pollution: A Major Health Hazard Worldwide. Front Immunol 2019;10:2518. 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02518.
46. D'Amato G, Bergmann KC, Cecchi L, et al. Climate change and air pollution: Effects on pollen allergy and other allergic respiratory diseases. Allergo J Int 2014;23:17-23. 10.1007/s40629-014-0003-7.
47. De Matteis S, Forastiere F, Baldacci S, et al. Issue 1 - "Update on adverse respiratory effects of outdoor air pollution". Part 1): Outdoor air pollution and respiratory diseases: A general update and an Italian perspective. Pulmonology 2022;28:284-96. 10.1016/j.pulmoe.2021.12.008.
48. Hankey S, Marshall JD. Urban Form, Air Pollution, and Health. Curr Environ Health Rep 2017;4:491-503. 10.1007/s40572-017-0167-7.
49. Thurston GD, Kipen H, Annesi-Maesano I, et al. A joint ERS/ATS policy statement: what constitutes an adverse health effect of air pollution? An analytical framework. Eur Respir J 2017;49:1600419. 10.1183/13993003.00419-2016.
50. Liang L, Gong P. Urban and air pollution: a multi-city study of long-term effects of urban landscape patterns on air quality trends. Sci Rep 2020;10:18618. 10.1038/s41598-020-74524-9.
51. Stafoggia M, Oftedal B, Chen J, et al. Long-term exposure to low ambient air pollution concentrations and mortality among 28 million people: results from seven large European cohorts within the ELAPSE project. Lancet Planet Health 2022;6:e9-e18. 10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00277-1.

52. Li R, Zhou R, Zhang J. Function of PM2.5 in the pathogenesis of lung cancer and chronic airway inflammatory diseases. Oncol Lett 2018;15:7506-14. 10.3892/ol.2018.8355.
53. Hughes BD, Maharsi S, Obiarinze RN, et al. Correlation between air quality and lung cancer incidence: A county by county analysis. Surgery 2019;166:1099-104. 10.1016/j.surg.2019.05.036.
54. Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, et al. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. Lancet 2017;389:1907-18. 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6.
55. Thurston GD, Ito K, Kinney PL, et al. A multi-year study of air pollution and respiratory hospital admissions in three New York State metropolitan areas: results for 1988 and 1989 summers. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 1992;2:429-50.
56. Corrales L, Rosell R, Cardona AF, et al. Lung cancer in never smokers: The role of different risk factors other than tobacco smoking. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2020;148:102895. 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2020.102895.
57. Ross K, Chmiel JF, Ferkol T. The impact of the Clean Air Act. J Pediatr 2012;161:781-6. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.06.064.