Nursing Interventions for Infection Control Practices in Orthopedic and Maxillofacial Surgery

Budur Mohamad Fatal Alrwili [1], Mashael Alshayish Falah Aldahmashi [2], Dalal Tirad Alanazi [3], Tahani Yaseen Alanazi [4], Amany Yasean Ataalah Alenazi [5], Fatimah Sumaydan Hamoud Aljohani [6], Naflaa Mohammed Hamoud Alruwaili [7], Eman Hamoud Y Moafa [8], Inam Murayh Mulhid Alanazi [9], Moafa, Ikhlas Mousa F [10]

1- Nursing Specialist, Maternity, and Children's Hospital, Al-Jouf, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia
2- Technician-Nursing, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Arar
3- Technician-Nursing, Turaif General Hospital, Turaif, Saudi Arabia
4- Technician-Nursing, Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaed Specialist Dental Center – Arar, Saudi Arabia
5- Technician-Nursing, Badanh Primary Health Care Center in Arar, Saudi Arabia
6- Technician-Nursing, Al-Suraif Primary Health Care Center, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia
7- Technician-Nursing, Mansouriya Primary Health Care Center in Arar, Saudi Arabia
8- Technician-Nursing, Al-Zubayda Primary Health Care Center, Jazan, Saudi Arabia
9- Technician-Nursing, Northern borders health cluster, Saudi Arabia
10- Health assistant, Al-Zubayda Primary Health Care Center, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Nursing interventions for infection control in orthopedic and maxillofacial surgery are critical to patient outcomes, as these procedures often involve the manipulation of bones and tissues that can serve as vectors for infection. One primary intervention involves strict adherence to aseptic techniques during the surgical process, including proper hand hygiene, use of sterile gloves, and appropriate surgical draping. Nursing staff should ensure that all instruments and materials used in the surgery are sterilized and maintained in a sterile environment until they are needed. Additionally, preoperative patient assessment is crucial; nurses should educate patients about the importance of oral hygiene and ensure that any existing infections, particularly dental issues for maxillofacial patients, are addressed prior to surgery. They should also monitor for signs of infection such as redness, swelling, or unusual discharge in the postoperative period, providing timely interventions if these issues arise. Postoperative care is another essential aspect of infection control in orthopedic and maxillofacial surgeries. Nurses must implement a comprehensive wound care protocol, including regular assessments of surgical sites for any indications of infection. This involves changing dressings as needed and educating patients on how to care for their incisions at home. It is vital to promote proper nutrition and hydration in postoperative patients, as these factors significantly influence healing and immune function. Nurses should administer prophylactic antibiotics as prescribed and monitor their effectiveness while being vigilant for adverse reactions. Education about recognizing early signs of infection—such as fever, increased pain, or malaise—is also essential in empowering patients to seek timely medical attention, thus minimizing the risk of complications associated with infections in the surgical field.

Keywords: Infection Control, Orthopedic Surgery, Maxillofacial Surgery, Surgical Site Infections (SSIs), Aseptic Technique, Preoperative Measures, Prophylactic Antibiotics, Skin Antisepsis, Wound Care, Interdisciplinary Approach.

Introduction:

Infection control practices are critical components of modern surgical procedures, particularly in specialties such as orthopedic and maxillofacial surgery, where the complex nature of human anatomy and the intricacies of surgical interventions create a substantial risk for postoperative infections. As healthcare continues to evolve with advances in surgical techniques and an increased understanding of microbial behaviors, the significance of implementing effective infection control measures cannot be overstated. This introductory research piece aims to elucidate the importance of infection control practices in orthopedic and maxillofacial surgery, exploring the various strategies utilized, challenges confronted, and the potential consequences of inadequacies in these protocols [1].

Orthopedic surgery, which encompasses a wide array of procedures aimed at the musculoskeletal system, involves interventions such as arthroplasties, fracture fixations, and spinal surgeries. These operations frequently expose the skeletal system to external elements, rendering patients vulnerable to surgical site infections, which pose severe health risks and can substantially hinder recovery. Similarly, maxillofacial surgery, dealing with conditions affecting the facial skeleton and soft tissue, involves complex reconstructive techniques that often necessitate the use of grafts and implants. The proximity to the oral cavity and the associated microbial flora further complicate the infection control landscape [2].

The emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms has exacerbated the challenge of preventing surgical infections, necessitating the continuous refinement of infection control strategies. The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes that surgical site infections (SSIs) not only contribute to increased morbidity but also extend hospital stays and escalate healthcare costs. The financial burden associated with SSIs and the toll on patient wellbeing underscore the importance of research in this field, highlighting the need for innovative infection prevention measures [3].

Effective infection control practices are predicated on a comprehensive understanding of the modes of pathogen transmission, which can be categorized into three primary pathways: airborne, droplet, and contact transmission. A multifaceted approach incorporating administrative controls, environmental measures, and standard precautions is essential to mitigate these risks in both orthopedic and maxillofacial surgical settings. Administrative controls include rigorous preoperative screening to identify potential infectious carriers and the implementation of protocols that govern patient management, staff hygiene, and the surgical environment. Environmental measures, such as the maintenance of sterile operating rooms and the use of appropriate surgical instruments, form the backbone of infection prevention standards [4].

Moreover, the introduction of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols in recent years has presented an opportunity for advancing infection control practice. These protocols emphasize multidisciplinary approaches, streamlining the surgical pathway from preoperative assessment through postoperative recovery, all while focusing on minimizing complications—including infections. The role of perioperative antibiotics remains a contentious yet critical aspect of infection control, requiring careful deliberation to optimize their efficacy while avoiding unnecessary overuse that could lead to resistance [5].

Despite advancements in knowledge and technology, challenges remain in adhering to infection control guidelines consistently. Human factors, including staff compliance and patient education, play significant roles in the effectiveness of these infection control strategies. Behavioral interventions, continuous training, and the promotion of a culture of safety within healthcare facilities have been identified as vital components of successful infection prevention. As healthcare systems worldwide face pressures related to resource allocation and varying adherence levels to guidelines, understanding the dynamics underpinning these challenges is paramount [6].

Understanding the Importance of Infection Control in Orthopedic and Maxillofacial Procedures:

Infection control is a critical aspect of medical practice, particularly in fields such as orthopedics and maxillofacial surgery. The emphasis on infection prevention in these specialties stems from the complex nature of the procedures performed, the vulnerability of the patient population, and the significant consequences that infections can have on patient outcomes. Among the various surgical fields, orthopedic and maxillofacial procedures are notable for their reliance on surgical hardware, invasive techniques, and prolonged recovery times, all of which necessitate rigorous infection control protocols [7].

Surgical site infections (SSIs) remain one of the most concerning complications following any surgical intervention. Defined as infections that occur at or near the surgical incision within 30 days of the procedure, SSIs can arise from a variety of sources, including the patient's endogenous flora, environmental contaminants, and surgical personnel. In orthopedic procedures, where implants like screws, plates, and prosthetics are used, the stakes are particularly high. An infection can compromise the stability of the implant, lead to increased morbidity, prolonged hospitalization, and, in severe cases, limb loss or even death [8].

Maxillofacial surgery, which involves reconstructive techniques for the jaw, face, and neck, is similarly susceptible to SSIs. The oral cavity

is a reservoir for bacteria, increasing the likelihood of contamination during surgical operations. Both orthopedic and maxillofacial procedures often require meticulous planning and execution to minimize these risks [9].

Beyond the surgical techniques employed, patient-specific factors contribute to infection risk. Certain populations, such as the elderly, immunocompromised individuals, and those with chronic conditions like diabetes, present a higher susceptibility to infections due to diminished immune responses. Pre-existing conditions can further complicate surgical outcomes, making infection control protocols all the more vital. It is essential for healthcare providers to assess these risk factors preoperatively, aiming for tailored protocols that cater to individual patient needs [10].

Effective infection control involves a multi-faceted approach that encompasses preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative strategies. Understanding and implementing these measures is essential for minimizing the risk of SSIs in both orthopedic and maxillofacial procedures [11].

Preoperative infection control begins with patient education and preparation. Surgeons should assess a patient's medical history, identify potential risk factors, and may even recommend interventions aimed at reducing infectious risks. These may include antimicrobial prophylaxis—administering antibiotics before surgery to prevent infections—especially for procedures expected to involve contamination from oral flora in maxillofacial surgery [12].

Furthermore, surgical teams should ensure patient skin antisepsis through rigorous cleansing procedures with antimicrobial agents. The use of chlorhexidine gluconate or iodine preparations is common practice to reduce skin flora before incision. In some instances, nasal decolonization strategies may be employed to address Staphylococcus aureus, a bacteria associated with increased SSI rates [13].

During the procedure itself, maintaining a sterile environment is paramount. This involves the use of proper sterile fields, appropriate surgical attire, and adherence to aseptic techniques. The surgical team must practice meticulous hand hygiene—utilizing surgical scrubs and sterile gloves—to prevent cross-contamination [14].

Environmental control measures such as meticulous cleaning of the operating room before and after procedures and the use of laminar airflow systems can further reduce infection risks. Surgical instruments and implants must be sterilized according to established guidelines to eliminate any microbial contaminants. Additionally, minimizing the duration of surgery can reduce exposure and, consequently, the likelihood of infection [14].

Postoperative infection control is equally critical. Upon completion of the procedure, the surgical site should be carefully dressed, and the patient should be monitored closely for signs of infection. Education on wound care, signs of infection, and the importance of completing prescribed courses of antibiotics is essential for postoperative recovery [14].

Regular follow-up appointments enable early detection of SSIs, with prompt interventions being pivotal for successful patient outcomes. In some cases, further imaging or procedures may be necessary to address complications and ensure that any emerging infections are effectively managed [14].

To achieve optimal infection control, continuous education and training of the surgical staff are vital. Keeping medical personnel informed about current best practices in infection prevention helps to ensure compliance with hospital protocols and guidelines. Incorporating educational programs that discuss new evidence-based strategies, emerging pathogens, and advancements in technology can significantly enhance infection control practices in orthopedic and maxillofacial settings.

Moreover, it is important to foster an interdisciplinary approach to infection control. Collaborating with infection control specialists, microbiologists, and public health professionals can aid in developing comprehensive strategies suited for specific patient populations and procedures [14].

Preoperative Strategies for Infection Prevention:

Surgical procedures, while life-saving and necessary for numerous medical conditions, inherently carry the risk of postoperative infections. These infections can lead to increased morbidity, extended hospital stays, potential reoperations, and even mortality. Consequently, the implementation of robust preoperative strategies for infection prevention is critical in ensuring optimal patient outcomes. The multifaceted approach to infection control

encompasses various dimensions, including patient preparation, environment optimization, and the meticulous application of antibiotic prophylaxis [15].

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are one of the most common complications following surgery, affecting approximately 3-5% of patients undergoing general surgery and up to 30% in certain high-risk surgeries. SSIs can significantly complicate recovery, leading to extended hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and profound physical and emotional burdens on patients. There is a plethora of factors contributing to the risk of infection, including the type of surgery performed, the patient's overall health status, and the level of microbial contamination present. Therefore, effective preoperative strategies not only safeguard patient health but also enhance the overall quality of surgical care, underscore public health objectives, and improve surgical outcomes [15].

The journey toward infection prevention begins well before the patient enters the operating room. A thorough preoperative assessment examines the patient's medical history, comorbidities, nutritional status, and lifestyle factors that may predispose them to infections. Conditions such as diabetes mellitus, obesity, and immunosuppression can increase the risk of SSIs. Identifying these risk factors enables healthcare providers to tailor strategies that mitigate risks, such as optimizing glycemic control and enhancing nutritional support [15].

Informing and educating patients about their role in infection prevention is crucial. Patients should be made aware of the importance of adhering to preoperative instructions, including directives regarding hygiene practices, smoking cessation, and the use of prescribed antiseptic agents prior to surgery. Studies have shown that patients who engage in preoperative education exhibit higher compliance rates and lower infection rates, thereby reinforcing the notion that active participation in their healthcare can promote positive outcomes.

Proper preparation of the surgical site is essential in reducing microbial load. This includes the use of appropriate antiseptic agents that are applied to the skin before the surgical procedure. Chlorhexidine gluconate and iodine-based solutions have been widely utilized for skin antisepsis due to their broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity. Recommendations often suggest performing the antiseptic procedure immediately before closure to maximize efficacy. Additionally, the use of hair removal techniques, such as clippers rather than shaving, reduces the risk of skin irritation and protects the integrity of the skin barrier [16].

The surgical environment must support infection prevention efforts. This includes maintaining strict adherence to sterilization protocols for instruments and equipment used during surgical procedures. Implementing quality control measures to ensure the sterility of surgical supplies is fundamental in preventing the introduction of pathogens during surgery [16].

Ventilation systems play a vital role in controlling the atmospheric conditions of the operating room. Proper air filtration systems, such as High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters, help minimize airborne contaminants. Additionally, the maintenance of positive pressure airflow within the operating room can further decrease the risk of airborne microorganisms settling on the surgical site. Regular monitoring and maintenance of these systems are crucial to maintaining a safe surgical environment.

Education and adherence to infection control protocols by the surgical team significantly reduce infection risks. This includes the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), such as gowns, gloves, masks, and eye protection, as well as stringent hand hygiene practices before and after patient contact. Providing regular training sessions on infection prevention protocols for all surgical staff, including surgeons, nurses, and support personnel, fosters a culture of safety within the surgical environment [16].

The effective use of prophylactic antibiotics has stood the test of time as a key strategy in preventing SSIs. Administering appropriate antibiotics before surgery can significantly reduce the risk of infections, particularly in procedures associated with a higher risk of contamination. It is crucial to choose the right antibiotic based on the type of surgery and the patient's individual risks. The timing of administration is equally critical, with recommendations generally suggesting that antibiotics should be administered within one hour before incision to optimize tissue levels at the time of potential contamination [17].

Special populations, such as those with significant comorbidities or undergoing high-risk surgeries, may require tailored antibiotic prophylaxis protocols. For instance, patients undergoing orthopedic surgery may require longer courses of

prophylaxis to account for implant-related infection risks. In such cases, the correct choice of antibiotic, dose, and duration should be carefully considered in collaboration with infectious disease consultants when necessary [18].

Intraoperative Techniques to Maintain Asepsis:

Surgical procedures are complex undertakings that require meticulous planning and execution to minimize the risk of infection and ensure patient safety. One of the cardinal principles of surgery is the maintenance of asepsis, which is the absence of infectious agents. Achieving and maintaining asepsis during the intraoperative phase is critical to promoting optimal surgical outcomes [19].

Asepsis is accomplished through a combination of practices designed to create and preserve a sterile environment. The primary aim is to prevent the introduction of pathogens during surgical interventions. To achieve this, a comprehensive understanding of both surgical and microbial contamination is crucial. Contaminants can be introduced through various means, including the surgical team, instruments, the surgical site itself, and the atmospheric environment within the operating room [20].

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) outlines strategies for asepsis that encompass a wide array of practices. These strategies can be categorized into preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative phases, with intraoperative techniques playing a particularly pivotal role in infection prevention [20].

Intraoperative Techniques for Maintaining Asepsis

1. **Preoperative Preparation of the Patient**

Effective asepsis begins before the patient enters the operating room. Preoperative skin antisepsis is paramount. The surgical team typically cleans the surgical site with an antiseptic solution, such as chlorhexidine or iodine-based preparations, to reduce microbial flora on the skin's surface. This step significantly lowers the risk of postoperative infections. The choice of antiseptic agent and the technique of application are guided by guidelines that stress the importance of thorough and effective disinfection [20].

2. **Surgical Attire and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**

All members of the surgical team are required to don sterile surgical attire and appropriate PPE, including gloves, gowns, masks, and hair coverings. These garments serve as a barrier to prevent shedding of skin flora and other potential contaminants. Maintaining strict adherence to dress code protocols is essential. For instance, the surgical scrub, typically performed with an antiseptic solution, is an important practice to remove transient bacteria from the hands and forearms before donning sterile gloves [20].

3. **Sterile Instrumentation and Equipment**

Instruments used during surgery must be thoroughly sterilized before use to eliminate any viable pathogens. The sterilization process typically involves methods such as steam sterilization, ethylene oxide gas, or radiation. Instruments should remain wrapped until needed, minimizing exposure to environmental contaminants. During surgery, maintaining a strict inventory and proper handling techniques ensures that sterile instruments are not inadvertently contaminated.

4. **Maintaining Aseptic Technique During Surgical Procedures**

Aseptic technique encompasses a series of practices that the surgical team follows during an operation. This includes ensuring that sterile fields are created and maintained throughout the procedure. Surgeons and staff must be constantly vigilant in their movements and interactions to prevent contamination of sterile supplies. For example, surgeons are trained to avoid reaching over sterile fields and to avoid touching any non-sterile surfaces once they have scrubbed and donned sterilized gloves [21].

Furthermore, only essential personnel should remain in the operating room to reduce the risk of introduced contaminants. When entering or exiting the sterile field, surgical team members must exercise caution and follow established protocols to avoid disruption of the sterile environment [21].

5. **Utilization of Barriers to Asepsis**

Involvement of physical barriers such as drapes is crucial in maintaining asepsis at the surgical site. Drapes are strategically placed to delineate the sterile field from the surrounding area and are often impermeable to fluid, preventing contamination

from operating room fluids or other substances. Furthermore, the use of a sterile cover for surgical devices and instruments, such as electrocautery units and suction devices, helps in maintaining aseptic conditions.

6. **Environmental Controls**

The operating room (OR) must be carefully controlled to aid in asepsis. This includes maintaining a clean and organized workflow, controlling airflow, and ensuring that the OR is free from unnecessary equipment and personnel that could introduce contaminants. Air filtration systems, such as laminar airflow, help reduce the microbial load in the OR by directing airflow and minimizing turbulence. Regular cleaning protocols should also be implemented between surgeries to ensure that the space is as free from contaminants as possible [22].

7. **Monitoring Aseptic Protocols**

Continuous monitoring of aseptic techniques by the surgical team is essential for maintaining infection control. Compliance audits and checklists can be employed to ensure that all team members adhere to established aseptic protocols. Furthermore, surgical site infections (SSIs) should be closely tracked to identify trends and areas for improvement in aseptic practices. Continuous education and training for all team members reinforces the importance of aseptic techniques, fostering a culture of safety [22].

Postoperative Care and Monitoring for Infection Control:

Postoperative care is a critical component of surgical management that significantly impacts patient recovery and outcomes. Among the various aspects of postoperative care, infection control remains one of the most paramount concerns. Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a common complication following surgical procedures, leading to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and higher morbidity and mortality rates. Therefore, understanding the protocols and practices involved in postoperative care and monitoring for infection control is essential for healthcare professionals, patients, and caregivers alike [22].

Postoperative care serves multiple purposes, including the monitoring of vital signs, management of pain, assessment of surgical wounds, and promotion of mobility. Each element plays a vital role in ensuring a favorable recovery trajectory. Adequate postoperative care can significantly reduce the incidence of SSIs, thereby enhancing patient safety and optimizing surgical outcomes [22].

Effective infection control during the postoperative phase not only improves individual patient experiences but also contributes to overall public health by limiting the spread of hospital-acquired infections. Understanding the factors leading to SSIs, as well as the best practices designed to mitigate them, is necessary for healthcare practitioners in various settings, including hospitals, outpatient surgical centers, and even long-term care facilities [22].

Risk Factors for Surgical Site Infections

Several factors contribute to the risk of SSIs, ranging from patient-specific attributes to surgical techniques employed. Patient-related risk factors can include:

1. **Diabetes Mellitus**: Diabetes can impair immune function and wound healing, making infection more likely [23].
2. **Obesity**: Excess body weight can complicate surgical sites, reduce blood supply, and increase the likelihood of wound complications.
3. **Age**: Older adults often have diminished immunity, making them more susceptible to infections.
4. **Nutritional Status**: Malnutrition can adversely affect immune functions and wound healing processes.

Surgical-related factors also contribute to infection risk, including:

1. **Duration of Surgery**: Longer surgeries can lead to increased exposure to pathogens and greater chances of contamination.
2. **Surgical Technique**: Proper use of aseptic techniques, as well as the experience of the surgical team, significantly impact the likelihood of infections.
3. **Type of Procedure**: Certain types of surgery, especially those that involve the gastrointestinal or respiratory tracts, carry a higher risk of infection [23].

Recognizing and mitigating these risk factors is vital in planning effective postoperative care strategies [23].

Guidelines for Infection Control in Postoperative Care

Effective infection control measures can be categorized into several key components. These include:

1. **Preoperative Preparation**: Proper planning begins before the actual surgery. This includes screening patients for existing infections, optimizing comorbid conditions, and educating them about preoperative hygiene practices. Antimicrobial prophylaxis may be administered when appropriate [24].
2. **Aseptic Technique During Surgery**: Maintaining a sterile environment during surgical procedures is essential. The use of sterile instruments, gowns, gloves, and drapes helps prevent contamination. Surgical teams should adhere to strict protocols during both the procedure and dressing changes [24].
3. **Wound Care**: Immediate postoperative care involves proper dressing placement and monitoring of the surgical site. Wounds should be kept clean and dry to prevent the introduction of pathogens. Guidelines often recommend changing dressings according to a set schedule and based on clinical assessments.
4. **Monitoring for Signs of Infection**: After surgery, healthcare providers should vigilantly monitor patients for signs and symptoms of infection, such as redness, warmth, swelling, drainage, and fever. Regular assessments of the wound area and the patient's overall condition are essential components of postoperative care.
5. **Patient Education**: Educating patients on signs of infection and the importance of following postoperative guidelines at home can greatly enhance infection control. Instructions on wound care, activity limitations, and the importance of keeping follow-up appointments should be clearly conveyed [24].
6. **Use of Antibiotics Judiciously**: Prolonged use of postoperative antibiotics can lead to antibiotic resistance. Therefore, the practice of using prophylactic antibiotics should be limited to the appropriate indications and used only for the recommended duration [25].
7. **Multidisciplinary Approach**: Successful infection control requires collaboration among various healthcare professionals, including surgeons, nurses, anesthesiologists, and infectious disease specialists. Regular communication among team members helps ensure that infection control protocols are being followed and facilitates timely interventions when issues arise [25].

The Role of Technology in Infection Control

Advancements in technology have also enhanced infection control strategies in postoperative care. Innovations such as electronic health records (EHR) facilitate better communication among care teams, ensuring that all members are aware of a patient's risk factors and history. Additionally, advanced monitoring devices allow for real-time tracking of vital signs and detection of early signs of infection. Telemedicine can aid in patient follow-up, enabling healthcare professionals to assess postoperative wounds remotely and provide timely interventions [25].

Role of Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Reducing Surgical Site Infections:

Surgical site infections (SSIs) remain one of the most significant complications associated with surgical procedures, impacting patient morbidity, length of hospital stay, healthcare costs, and, in some cases, mortality. With the increasing complexity of surgical techniques and the evolution of pathogens, addressing the risk of SSIs has become a pivotal focus within the field of medicine. A key strategy in the prevention of SSIs is the use of antibiotic prophylaxis [26].

Before delving into the role of antibiotic prophylaxis, it is essential to define what constitutes a surgical site infection. SSIs are infections that occur in the incisional area or deep tissues surrounding the surgical site within 30 days of the procedure, or within one year if an implant is involved. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classifies SSIs into three primary

categories: superficial incisional SSIs, which affect the skin and subcutaneous tissues; deep incisional SSIs, which involve the deeper soft tissues such as fascia and muscle; and organ/space SSIs, which occur in any part of the anatomy that was opened or manipulated during the operation. SSIs can result from a variety of bacterial pathogens, and the infectious process can lead to prolonged hospitalization, additional medical expenses, and heightened emotional distress for patients [27].

Antibiotic prophylaxis refers to the administration of antibiotics prior to a surgical procedure with the intent of preventing postoperative infections. The rationale for this strategy rests on the reality that surgical interventions disrupt the skin barrier, creating pathways for bacteria to enter the body. Consequently, the introduction of prophylactic antibiotics aims to eliminate potential pathogens before they can take hold during the surgical event [28].

The effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis has been robustly documented in various studies, indicating a significant reduction in the risk of SSIs across multiple types of surgical procedures. Numerous factors contribute to the risk of SSIs, including the patient's overall health status, the nature of the surgical procedure, the duration of the surgery, the technique used, and the environment of the surgical unit. Understanding these risk factors allows healthcare professionals to tailor antibiotic prophylaxis strategies more effectively [29].

Various medical organizations, including the CDC and the American College of Surgeons, have developed comprehensive guidelines detailing when and how to use antibiotic prophylaxis effectively. According to these guidelines, prophylactic antibiotics should generally be administered within one hour before the surgical incision (or within two hours for certain antibiotics with a longer infusion time) and should be discontinued within 24 hours postoperatively to minimize the risk of antibiotic resistance [30].

The choice of antibiotic is primarily determined by the type of surgery and the most common pathogens associated with SSIs in that specific surgical context. For instance, procedures involving the gastrointestinal tract may warrant coverage against gram-negative bacteria and anaerobes, while orthopaedic surgeries may necessitate coverage against Staphylococcus aureus. Additionally, surgical techniques that are known to be at a higher risk for SSIs, such as those involving the implantation of prosthetic devices, may require specific protocols for prophylaxis.

The benefits of employing antibiotic prophylaxis in the context of surgical procedures are multifaceted. Firstly, the most apparent advantage is the reduction in the incidence of surgical site infections. Studies have shown that adequate prophylaxis can lower SSI rates by approximately 30% to 50%, depending on factors such as the type of surgery and the patient population involved [31].

In addition to reducing infection rates, effective antibiotic prophylaxis can provide significant economic benefits to healthcare systems by decreasing the costs associated with prolonged hospital stays, repeat surgeries, and the management of complications stemming from infections. The overall improvement in patient outcomes—such as reduced morbidity and improved quality of life—can foster a more favorable environment within healthcare settings and contribute to the efficiency of surgical services [32].

Despite the well-documented benefits of antibiotic prophylaxis, there are associated risks that necessitate careful consideration. The inappropriate use of antibiotics can contribute to the development of drug-resistant pathogens, a growing global health concern. The emergence of multi-drug resistant organisms, such as Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE), underscores the critical need for judicious use of antibiotics in health care, including in prophylactic contexts [33].

Moreover, antibiotic prophylaxis is not without its side effects. Patients may experience allergic reactions, gastrointestinal disturbances, and other adverse effects related to antibiotic use. It is essential for healthcare providers to consider these factors when determining the necessity and appropriateness of prophylactic antibiotics [34].

Emerging Technologies and Practices in Infection Control:

As the world grapples with the consequences of pandemics and the rising incidence of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), the need for innovative approaches to infection control has taken on newfound urgency. Infection control is a multifaceted discipline that encompasses a range of strategies and practices aimed at preventing the transmission of infectious diseases within healthcare settings and the wider community. Emerging

technologies and practices play a crucial role in enhancing the efficacy and efficiency of infection control measures, ultimately saving lives and improving public health [35].

Infection control is essential for safeguarding the health of patients, healthcare workers, and the general population. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), millions of patients worldwide develop infections while receiving medical care, contributing to significant morbidity and mortality rates. Effective infection control strategies aim to prevent HAIs, optimize antibiotic use, and limit the spread of antibiotic-resistant organisms, which have emerged as a significant global health threat. As pathogens continue to evolve, traditional practices alone may not suffice in curtailing their spread; thus, the integration of emerging technologies and practices into infection control protocols has become imperative [36].

One of the cornerstones of effective infection control is timely and accurate data collection. Advanced surveillance systems have emerged as pivotal tools in tracking infection rates, identifying outbreaks, and monitoring trends. Technologies such as electronic health records (EHR) and data analytics software facilitate the real-time collection and analysis of hospital-acquired infection data. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms can analyze vast datasets to predict the likelihood of infection outbreaks, allowing healthcare facilities to implement proactive measures before an outbreak escalates [36].

Furthermore, syndromic surveillance systems, which utilize data from various sources—such as emergency department visits and over-the-counter medication sales—can provide early indicators of infection trends in communities. This data-driven approach enhances the capacity of public health officials to deploy resources and respond to emerging threats effectively [37].

The use of robotics in infection control is on the rise, with robotic systems designed specifically for decontamination and sterilization. Ultraviolet (UV) light robots have garnered attention in recent years for their ability to sanitize hospital rooms and equipment with minimal human intervention. These robots emit UV-C light, which has been shown to inactivate a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria and viruses. Hospitals that have adopted UV robots report significant reductions in infection rates, particularly in high-risk areas such as intensive care units [38].

Emerging technologies also include automated disinfection systems, which utilize advanced cleaning agents and techniques to eliminate pathogens effectively. These systems enable hospitals to enhance their cleaning protocols, particularly in high-traffic areas where the risk of infection transmission is elevated [38].

Wearable technology is another innovative approach to infection control that allows for real-time monitoring of healthcare workers and patients. Devices such as smart wristbands and contact sensors can track vital signs, detect infection-related symptoms, and monitor hand hygiene compliance among healthcare personnel. These wearables can alert staff to potential breaches in infection control practices, such as failing to wash hands before patient contact [39].

Wearable technology can also enhance patient monitoring in clinical settings. For example, sensors that continuously monitor temperature or other vital signs can quickly identify early symptoms of infection, enabling prompt medical intervention. The integration of wearable technology into infection control protocols could lead to improved patient outcomes and reduced rates of HAIs.

Telehealth has gained prominence as a valuable tool in infection control, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. By facilitating remote consultations, telehealth minimizes the risk of infection transmission between healthcare providers and patients. Patients with infectious diseases can receive care and monitoring from the safety of their own homes, reducing the likelihood of spreading infections in healthcare settings [40].

Remote patient monitoring technologies allow healthcare providers to track patients' health metrics remotely, ensuring that those at risk for infections receive timely interventions. By reducing the need for in-person visits, telehealth also helps conserve healthcare resources during outbreaks and mitigates the burden on healthcare facilities [40].

The development of antimicrobial coatings and materials is a promising area in infection control. These coatings can be applied to high-touch surfaces, medical devices, and personal protective equipment (PPE) to inhibit the growth of pathogens. For instance, researchers are exploring materials embedded with silver nanoparticles or copper, both of which possess inherent antimicrobial properties [40].

Incorporating these materials into the design of everyday healthcare products can significantly reduce the risk of surface contamination, serving as another layer of protection in infection control protocols. As manufacturers invest in R&D to create such advanced materials, the use of antimicrobial coatings is likely to expand beyond healthcare into public spaces, enhancing overall community sanitation [40].

Despite the promising advancements in technologies and practices for infection control, several challenges warrant consideration. One significant hurdle is the need for rigorous testing and validation to establish the efficacy and safety of new technologies. For instance, while UV robots have demonstrated success in reducing infections, the variability of their effectiveness can depend on factors such as light dosage, surface characteristics, and existing contaminant levels.

Moreover, the integration of advanced technologies requires financial investment and training. Healthcare facilities, particularly smaller ones, may face barriers to implementing new systems due to budget constraints. Adequate staff training on utilizing these technologies is also crucial to ensure compliance and maximize their potential benefits [41].

Another critical consideration is the issue of privacy and data security, especially concerning wearable technologies and telehealth. The collection and transmission of health data must adhere to strict regulatory standards to protect patient confidentiality while still providing healthcare professionals with the critical insights they need [41].

Challenges and Future Directions in Infection Prevention Strategies:

Infection prevention has emerged as a critical area of focus for public health officials, healthcare institutions, and the scientific community, particularly in light of the increasing incidence of both communicable and non-communicable diseases. The complexities involved in preventing the spread of infections—ranging from viral and bacterial illnesses to healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)—underscore the multifaceted approach required for effective control and prevention. Despite notable advancements in medical science and public health policy, several challenges persist, necessitating innovative strategies for the future of infection prevention [42].

Current Challenges in Infection Prevention

1. **Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR)**: One of the most pressing challenges in infection prevention is the rise of antimicrobial resistance. Over-prescription and misuse of antibiotics, coupled with inadequate infection control practices, have contributed to the emergence of resistant strains of bacteria, which complicate treatment options and recovery rates. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), AMR leads to 700,000 deaths globally each year, a figure that is projected to rise if current trends continue. This necessitates a robust response through enhanced stewardship programs, research, and public education [43].

2. **Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs)**: HAIs remain a significant concern within healthcare settings. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that one in 31 hospital patients has at least one HAI on any given day. Factors contributing to HAIs include invasive procedures, the use of devices, and the transmission of pathogens in clinical environments. This highlights the need for stringent infection control practices, including proper hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) [44].

3. **Vaccine Hesitancy**: Despite the proven efficacy of vaccines in preventing infectious diseases, vaccine hesitancy poses a substantial obstacle to achieving herd immunity and controlling outbreaks. Misinformation, cultural beliefs, and a lack of understanding about vaccines contribute to skepticism. The COVID-19 pandemic has amplified these issues, emphasizing the need for transparent communication strategies and community engagement initiatives aimed at rebuilding trust in vaccines.

4. **Global Inequities**: The disparity in healthcare access and resources across different regions continues to hamper efforts in infection prevention. Low- and middle-income countries often lack the infrastructure, funding, and trained personnel necessary to implement effective

infection prevention measures. The global nature of infectious diseases necessitates international collaboration and equitable distribution of resources to combat common threats, as demonstrated by recent pandemics [45].

5. **Emerging Infectious Diseases (EIDs)**: The emergence of new infectious diseases, amplified by globalization and climate change, presents an ever-changing landscape for infection prevention. Outbreaks such as Ebola, Zika, and COVID-19 illustrate the speed at which pathogens can spread across borders, underscoring the need for adaptable and proactive public health strategies. Surveillance, rapid response teams, and the integration of technology in tracking potential outbreaks are essential components in addressing this challenge [46].

Future Directions in Infection Prevention Strategies

To address these challenges and improve infection prevention strategies, several future directions can be pursued:

1. **Enhanced Antimicrobial Stewardship**: Developing and implementing comprehensive antimicrobial stewardship programs in healthcare settings is critical. These programs should focus on optimizing the use of antibiotics, educating healthcare workers and patients about appropriate use, and monitoring antibiotic prescriptions. Furthermore, research into alternative therapies, such as bacteriophage therapy and the use of probiotics, should be encouraged to combat resistant bacteria [47].

2. **Education and Training**: Ongoing education and training for healthcare personnel are vital for fostering a culture of safety and compliance with infection prevention protocols. Simulation-based training can enhance skills in infection control, and mentorship programs can promote knowledge-sharing among healthcare workers. Public education campaigns can also address vaccine hesitancy by providing accurate and relatable information about the benefits and safety of vaccinations [48].

3. **Leveraging Technology**: The integration of technology into infection prevention strategies can prove transformative. Electronic health records (EHRs) can assist in tracking infection trends and antimicrobial use, while artificial intelligence and data analytics can enhance predictive modeling for outbreak management. Additionally, telemedicine platforms can facilitate remote consultations, thereby minimizing the risk of infection transmission in healthcare settings [49].

4. **Investing in Global Health Infrastructure**: Building robust healthcare infrastructures in low- and middle-income countries is essential for effective infection prevention. This includes improving access to clean water, sanitation, and healthcare facilities, as well as training local healthcare workers. International collaborations and partnerships between governments, NGOs, and academic institutions can facilitate resource allocation and capacity building [50].

5. **One Health Approach**: A One Health approach, which recognizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health, is crucial in addressing infectious disease threats. This strategy emphasizes collaborative research and surveillance efforts across sectors to better understand and mitigate the factors driving infection outbreaks. By integrating environmental health and agriculture into public health strategies, the likelihood of EIDs can be reduced [51].

6. **Policy Development and Advocacy**: Stronger policies and regulations on infection prevention are essential for fostering a culture of compliance in healthcare systems. Advocacy for better funding, resources, and support for research can help build a resilient public health infrastructure that is prepared for future challenges [52].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, infection control practices in orthopedic and maxillofacial surgery are paramount to ensuring patient safety and optimizing surgical outcomes. The multifaceted nature of these practices requires a comprehensive approach, encompassing preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative strategies tailored to the specific risks associated with surgical interventions. Key elements such as thorough patient screening, strict adherence to aseptic techniques, and effective antibiotic prophylaxis play essential roles in minimizing the incidence of surgical site infections.

As the landscape of surgical care evolves, ongoing education and training for healthcare professionals, coupled with the integration of emerging technologies and evidence-based guidelines, are crucial in enhancing infection prevention efforts. Addressing challenges such as antibiotic resistance and variability in practice can further improve infection control measures. Ultimately, fostering a collaborative culture among surgical teams and infection control specialists will be vital to maintaining high standards of care and safeguarding patient well-being in orthopedic and maxillofacial surgery settings.

References:

1. Kurtz SM, et al. Are we winning or losing the battle with periprosthetic joint infection: trends in periprosthetic joint infection and mortality risk for the medicare population. J Arthroplasty. 2018;33(10):3238–3245. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.05.042.
2. Yang Z, et al. DRUGS system enhancing adherence of chinese surgeons to antibiotic use guidelines during perioperative period. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(8):5. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102226.
3. Haenle M, et al. Economic impact of infected total knee arthroplasty. Sci World J. 2012;212:196515. doi: 10.1100/2012/196515.
4. Peters DH, et al. Republished research: Implementation research: what it is and how to do it: implementation research is a growing but not well understood field of health research that can contribute to more effective public health and clinical policies and programmes. This article provides a broad definition of implementation research and outlines key principles for how to do it. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(8):731–736. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f6753.
5. Leaper DJ, et al. Surgical site infection: poor compliance with guidelines and care bundles. Int Wound J. 2015;12(3):357–362. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12243.
6. Douglas P, et al. Prevention of orthopaedic wound infections: a quality improvement project. J Qual Clin Pract. 2001;21(4):149–153. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1762.2001.00435.x.
7. Kurtz SM, et al. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27(8):61–51. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2012.02.022.
8. Shirley R, et al. Costs and renumeration of osteomyelitis treatment involving free flaps: implications of return to theatre. J Bone Jt Infect. 2018;3(1):15–19. doi: 10.7150/jbji.22186.
9. Ivers N, et al. Audit and feedback effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;6:CD00259. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000259.pub3.
10. Berrios-Torres SI, et al. Centers for disease control and prevention guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. JAMA Surg. 2017;152(8):784–791. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0904.
11. World Health Organisation Global guidelines on the prevention of surgical site. Infection. 2016;40:87.
12. Son MS, et al. What are the frequency, associated factors, and mortality of amputation and arthrodesis after a failed infected tka? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2017;475(12):2905–2913. doi: 10.1007/s11999-017-5285-x.
13. Parvizi J, et al. Periprosthetic joint infection: the economic impact of methicillin-resistant infections. J Arthroplasty. 2010;25(6):103–107. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2010.04.011.
14. Slim K, et al. Methodological index for non-randomized studies (minors): development and validation of a new instrument. ANZ J Surg. 2003;73(9):712–716. doi: 10.1046/j.1445-2197.2003.02748.x.
15. Moher D, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.
16. Willy C, Rieger H, Stichling M. Prevention of postoperative infections: risk factors and the current WHO guidelines in musculoskeletal surgery. Unfallchirurg. 2017;120(6):472–485. doi: 10.1007/s00113-017-0362-x.

17. Khodyakov D, et al. Project JOINTS: what factors affect bundle adoption in a voluntary quality improvement campaign? BMJ Qual Saf. 2015;24(1):38–47. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2014-003169.
18. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ. 2010;340:c332. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c332.
19. Kurtz SM, et al. Trends and outcomes in the treatment of failed septic total knee arthroplasty: comparing arthrodesis and above-knee amputation. J Arthroplasty. 2016;31(7):1574–1577. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2016.01.010.
20. Schneider EC, et al. Does a quality improvement campaign accelerate take-up of new evidence? A ten-state cluster-randomized controlled trial of the IHI's Project JOINTS. Implement Sci. 2017;12(1):51. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0579-7.
21. Eggers M, Koburger-Janssen T, Eickmann M, Zorn J. In vitro bactericidal and virucidal efficacy of povidone-iodine gargle/mouthwash against respiratory and oral tract pathogens. Infect Dis Ther. 2018;7:249–259. doi: 10.1007/s40121-018-0200-7.
22. Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, Haynes AB, Lipsitz SR, Berry WR, Gawande AA. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. Lancet. 2008;372(9633):139–144. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60878-8.
23. Cheng H, Chen BP, Soleas IM, Ferko NC, Cameron CG, Hinoul P. Prolonged operative duration increases risk of surgical site infections: a systematic review. Surg Infect. 2017;18(6):722–735. doi: 10.1089/sur.2017.089.
24. Bali RK, Chaudhry K. Maxillofacial surgery and COVID-19, The pandemic!! J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2020;19(2):159–161.
25. B'erard F. Postoperative wound infections: the influence of ultraviolet irradiation of the operating room and of various other factors. Ann Surg. 1964;160(1):1–92. doi: 10.1097/00000658-196407000-00001.
26. Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, Lipsitz SR, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T, Fu R, Azad T, Chao TE, Berry WR, Gawande AA. Size and distribution of the global volume of surgery in 2012. Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94(3):201. doi: 10.2471/BLT.15.159293.
27. Knight R, Charbonneau P, Ratzer E, Zeren F, Haun W, Clark J. Prophylactic antibiotics are not indicated in clean general surgery cases. Am J Surg. 2001;182(6):682–686. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9610(01)00823-6.
28. Meng L, Hua F, Bian Z. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Emerging and future challenges for dental and oral medicine. J Dent Res. 2020;99(5):481–487. doi: 10.1177/0022034520914246.
29. Petersen BT, Chennat J, Cohen J, Cotton PB, Greenwald DA, Kowalski TE, Krinsky ML, Park WG, Pike IM, Romagnuolo J, Rutala WA. Multisociety guideline on reprocessing flexible gastrointestinal endoscopes: 2011. Gastrointest Endosc. 2011;73(6):1075–84.
30. Haley RW, Culver DH, Morgan WM, White JW, Emori TG, Hooton TM. Identifying patients at high risk of surgical wound infection: a simple multivariate index of patient susceptibility and wound contamination. Am J Epidemiol. 1985;121(2):206–215. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a113991.
31. Edmiston CE, Lee CJ, Krepel CJ, Spencer M, Leaper D, Brown KR, Lewis BD, Rossi PJ, Malinowski MJ, Seabrook GR. Evidence for a standardized preadmission showering regimen to achieve maximal antiseptic skin surface concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate, 4%, in surgical patients. JAMA Surg. 2015;150(11):1027–1033. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2015.2210.
32. Bratzler DW, Houck PM, Workgroup SI. Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgery: an advisory statement from the National Surgical Infection Prevention Project. Am J Surg. 2005;189(4):395–404. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2005.01.015.
33. Soballe PW, Nimbkar NV, Hayward I, Nielsen TB, Drucker WR. Electric cautery lowers the contamination threshold for infection of laparotomies. Am J Surg. 1998;175(4):263–266. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9610(98)00020-8.
34. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect. 2020;104(3):246–251.
35. Bali R, Sharma P, Nagrath S, Gupta P. Microbial isolations from maxillofacial operation theatre and its correlation to fumigation in a teaching hospital in India. Journal of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery. 2014;13(2):128–132. doi: 10.1007/s12663-012-0458-3.
36. Safety WP, World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for safe surgery: 2009: safe surgery saves lives. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
37. Datarkar A, Purohit S, Tayal S, Bhawalkar. Operating room protocols in OMFS during

Corona virus (Covid-19) pandemic. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2020;19(3):327–331.
38. Altemeier WA, Culbertson WR, Hummel RP. Surgical considerations of endogenous infections—sources, types, and methods of control. Surg Clin N Am. 1968;48(1):227–240. doi: 10.1016/S0039-6109(16)38448-1.
39. National Health Service. Getting It Right First Time (GIRFT) programme.
40. Kirkland KB, Briggs JP, Trivette SL, Wilkinson WE, Sexton DJ. The impact of surgical-site infections in the 1990s: attributable mortality, excess length of hospitalization, and extra costs. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol Off J Soc Hosp Epidemiol Am. 1999;20:725–730. doi: 10.1086/501572.
41. Catchpole K, Mishra A, Handa A, McCulloch P. Teamwork and error in the operating room: analysis of skills and roles. Ann Surg. 2008;247:699–706. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181642ec8.
42. Ayub Khan MN, Verstegen DML, Bhatti ABH, Dolmans DHJM, van Mook WNA. Factors hindering the implementation of surgical site infection control guidelines in the operating rooms of low-income countries: a mixed-method study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 2018;37:1923–1929. doi: 10.1007/s10096-018-3327-2.
43. Berríos-Torres Sandra I., Umscheid Craig A., Bratzler Dale W., Leas Brian, Stone Erin C., Kelz Rachel R., Reinke Caroline E., Morgan Sherry, Solomkin Joseph S., Mazuski John E., Dellinger E. Patchen, Itani Kamal M. F., Berbari Elie F., Segreti John, Parvizi Javad, Blanchard Joan, Allen George, Kluytmans Jan A. J. W., Donlan Rodney, Schecter William P. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, 2017. JAMA Surgery. 2017;152(8):784. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0904.
44. Okuyama A, Wagner C, Bijnen B. Speaking up for patient safety by hospital-based health care professionals: a literature review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:61. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-61.
45. Harbarth S, Sax H, Gastmeier P. The preventable proportion of nosocomial infections: an overview of published reports. J Hosp Infect. 2003;54:258–266. doi: 10.1016/S0195-6701(03)00150-6.
46. Allegranzi B, Zayed B, Bischoff P, Kubilay NZ, de Jonge S, de Vries F, et al. New WHO recommendations on intraoperative and postoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16:e288–e303. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30402-9.
47. Atun R, de Jongh T, Secci F, Ohiri K, Adeyi O. Integration of targeted health interventions into health systems: a conceptual framework for analysis. Health Policy Plan. 2010;25:104–111. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czp055.
48. Ridelberg M, Nilsen P. Using surveillance data to reduce healthcare-associated infection: a qualitative study in Sweden. J Infect Prev. 2015;16:208–214. doi: 10.1177/1757177415588380.
49. Michie S, Fixsen D, Grimshaw JM, Eccles MP. Specifying and reporting complex behaviour change interventions: the need for a scientific method. Implement Sci IS. 2009;4:40. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-4-40.
50. Lugtenberg M, Zegers-van Schaick JM, Westert GP, Burgers JS. Why don't physicians adhere to guideline recommendations in practice? An analysis of barriers among Dutch general practitioners. Implement Sci IS. 2009;4:54. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-4-54.
51. Engemann JJ, Carmeli Y, Cosgrove SE, Fowler VG, Bronstein MZ, Trivette SL, et al. Adverse clinical and economic outcomes attributable to methicillin resistance among patients with Staphylococcus aureus surgical site infection. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2003;36:592–598. doi: 10.1086/367653.
52. de Leval MR, Carthey J, Wright DJ, Farewell VT, Reason JT. Human factors and cardiac surgery: a multicenter study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;119:661–672. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5223(00)70006-7.