The Role of Nursing in Preoperative Assessment for Respiratory Surgery Patients

Ayman Khalil I Alaqoul [1], Zainab Yousef Albasrawi [2], Hood Mohammed Ahmed Alessa [3], Ismail Yousef Alabdullah [4], Alhasawi, Khadija Abdulla H [5], Amal Diffallah Almaymoni [6], Abdullah Abbas Alsabi [7], Ibrahim Khalil Alahmed [8] , Hawra Abdullah Nasser Al-Dubaisi [9], Beshayer Hussien Khaled Alsaleh [10]

1- General Surgery Consultant, Ras Tanura General Hospital, Saudi Arabia
2- Respiratory Therapist, Al Afaleq Hospital for Long Term Care, Saudi Arabia
3- Nursing Technician, Eradah Complex and Mental Health, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
4- Nurse Technician, Al-Omran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia
5- Nurse Technician, PHC, Qatif, Saudi Arabia
6- Nurse Specialist, King AbdulAziz Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
7- Nurse, Eradah Complex and Mental Health, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
8- Nursing, King Fahad Hospital, Saudi Arabia
9- Nursing Specialist, King Faisal General Hospital, Saudi Arabia
10- Nurse, Eradah Hospital and Mental Health, Al Kharj, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Nursing plays a critical role in the preoperative assessment of respiratory surgery patients by ensuring comprehensive evaluations that address physical, emotional, and educational needs. Nurses begin by conducting thorough health histories and physical examinations, focusing on the patient's respiratory history, comorbidities, and current medication use. This assessment helps identify potential risks and informs the surgical team of necessary precautions or preoperative interventions, such as optimizing lung function, managing chronic conditions, and ensuring smoking cessation when applicable. Moreover, nurses provide valuable education to patients regarding the surgical procedure, potential complications, and postoperative expectations, promoting a sense of empowerment and readiness. In addition to clinical assessments, nursing involvement in preoperative care includes effective communication and emotional support. Nurses serve as a bridge between the patient and the surgical team, ensuring that any concerns or anxiety related to the surgery are addressed. They facilitate preoperative testing, such as pulmonary function tests and imaging studies, and assess the patient's understanding of the procedures and post-surgical care instructions. By fostering a supportive environment and advocating for the patient's needs, nurses significantly contribute to enhanced patient outcomes and reduced risk of complications during and after respiratory surgeries.

Keywords: Nursing, preoperative assessment, respiratory surgery, patient education, health history, physical examination, lung function optimization, emotional support, communication, patient advocacy.

Introduction:

In the realm of healthcare, nursing plays a pivotal role in the preparation and management of patients undergoing surgical procedures. Among these, the preoperative assessment for respiratory surgery patients emerges as a critical domain, given the unique complexities surrounding respiratory health. Surgical interventions on the respiratory system, which may encompass procedures such as lobectomies, wedge resections, or lung transplants, often require meticulous planning and thorough evaluation of a patient's health status. The nursing profession is intimately involved in this preparatory phase, and as such, their role cannot be overstated. This essay delves into the essential contributions of nursing to the preoperative assessment of patients slated for respiratory surgeries, emphasizing the necessity of comprehensive evaluations to optimize patient outcomes [1].

Preoperative assessment serves as a foundational component of surgical care, aimed at minimizing risk and enhancing recovery. For respiratory surgery patients, this assessment entails a thorough evaluation of both physiological readiness and

psychosocial factors that may influence surgical outcomes. The complexity associated with respiratory surgeries demands a multifaceted approach, wherein the nurse plays a central role in collecting and analyzing pertinent data, conducting patient education, and coordinating care among various healthcare providers [2].

The primary objective of the preoperative assessment is to identify any existing conditions or risk factors that could complicate the surgical procedure or hinder recovery. Respiratory patients often present with a range of underlying conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, or pneumonia, all of which may necessitate tailored interventions prior to surgery. The nurse's responsibilities include comprehensive patient histories, medication reviews, and physical examinations to uncover any red flags that require attention. Moreover, nurses are well-positioned to interpret diagnostic tests, such as pulmonary function tests and imaging studies, to facilitate informed decision-making [3].

The nursing process, encompassing assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, and evaluation, serves as a structured framework for nurses in preoperative settings. During the initial assessment phase, nurses gather important baseline data through meticulous interviews and physical examinations. This is critical as respiratory surgery patients may present with atypical symptoms or have a limited ability to articulate their health concerns due to respiratory distress. Effective communication skills are essential in this context, allowing the nurse to create a rapport and foster a therapeutic environment where patients feel comfortable voicing their worries [4].

Diagnosis involves synthesizing the gathered information to identify potential risks and complications. For example, a nurse may recognize that a patient has a high risk of postoperative complications due to poor baseline respiratory function, prompting further action or referral to a specialist for optimization. This phase is crucial as it lays the groundwork for personalized care plans that are tailored to the individual patient's medical history and surgical needs [5].

Planning and implementing the care plan is where the nurse's role becomes multifaceted. Education is a cornerstone of nursing care; thus, nurses must ensure that patients comprehend the procedure, the anticipated outcomes, and any lifestyle modifications necessary to enhance surgical success. For respiratory surgery patients, this may involve smoking cessation programs, respiratory therapy exercises, and the use of incentive spirometry postoperatively. Furthermore, coordinating care with anesthesiologists and surgical teams is imperative as it ensures that all healthcare providers are on the same page regarding the patient's status and needs [6].

An often-overlooked aspect of the preoperative assessment is the evaluation of patients' psychosocial status. Respiratory surgery can provoke significant anxiety owing to its perceived risks and the impact on patients' quality of life. Nurses are often frontline providers for mental health support, utilizing their training in counseling and emotional support to help alleviate patient anxiety. Assessing psychological readiness to undergo surgery is paramount; patients with high levels of stress or anxiety may require additional interventions, such as referrals to mental health professionals or the inclusion of coping strategies in their care plans [7].

Understanding Respiratory Surgery: Implications for Nursing Care:

Respiratory surgery encompasses a range of surgical procedures aimed at diagnosing, treating, and managing diseases and disorders of the respiratory system, particularly the lungs and the thoracic cavity. As more advancements are made in surgical techniques, care delivery, and perioperative management, the role of nursing professionals has become increasingly vital in ensuring patient safety, optimizing outcomes, and promoting recovery. This essay aims to explore the various aspects of respiratory surgery, the types of procedures commonly performed, and the essential implications these have on nursing care [8].

Respiratory surgery is generally grouped into two major categories: diagnostic and therapeutic. Diagnostic procedures are primarily aimed at identifying the nature of a respiratory condition. These may include bronchoscopy, mediastinoscopy, and thoracoscopy, which allow healthcare professionals to visualize internal structures, obtain biopsies, and conduct assessments. Therapeutic procedures, on the other hand, involve corrective interventions such as lung resection, lung transplantation, and pleurodesis. Each surgery has distinct goals, risks, and implications for patient management [9].

Types of Respiratory Surgical Procedures

1. **Lung Resection**: This procedure involves the removal of part or all of a lung and is indicated in cases of lung cancer, severe lung infections, or trauma. Surgical options include lobectomy (removal of a lobe), pneumonectomy (removal of an entire lung), and wedge resection (removal of a small, wedge-shaped portion of lung) [10].

2. **Lung Transplantation**: This complex procedure is considered for patients with end-stage lung disease, where other treatment modalities have failed. It requires extensive preoperative evaluation, donor matching, and long-term postoperative care to manage rejection and other complications [10].

3. **Pleurodesis**: Often performed in patients with recurrent pleural effusions, this procedure involves obliterating the pleural space to prevent fluid reaccumulation. It is typically conducted using chemical agents or mechanical abrasion and avoidance of substantial lung collapse.

4. **Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS)**: A minimally invasive technique used for various thoracic conditions, VATS reduces recovery time, minimizes pain, and offers the precision lacked in traditional open surgeries [10].

5. **Bronchoscopy**: As a diagnostic measure, bronchoscopy allows visual examination of the airways and retrieval of tissue samples. It can also be therapeutic, such as removing foreign bodies, draining abscesses, or treating lesions [10].

Implications for Nursing Care

The nursing care delivered to patients undergoing respiratory surgery is multifaceted and critical in influencing patient outcomes. Understanding the implications of respiratory surgery on nursing care begins with recognizing the unique needs and risks associated with the respiratory surgeries [11].

Preoperative nursing care involves comprehensive patient assessment, education, and preparation for surgery. Nurses must take thorough medical histories, which includes evaluation of respiratory function, history of smoking, comorbid conditions, and current medications. Understanding the patient's anxiety levels and providing emotional support is essential, as anxiety can adversely affect surgical outcomes.

Patient education is a pivotal component of preoperative care. Nurses should provide information regarding the procedure, recovery expectations, and the importance of postoperative respiratory therapy (e.g., incentive spirometry, deep breathing exercises) to promote lung function and prevent complications such as atelectasis and pneumonia. Additionally, preoperative screenings, such as blood tests and imaging studies, may be coordinated to optimize surgical readiness [12].

During the intraoperative phase, nurses maintain a collaborative role within the surgical team. They must ensure that sterile techniques are employed to prevent infections, monitor vital signs, and assist with the management of anesthesia. Additionally, nurses need to be vigilant for potential complications such as surgical bleeding or respiratory distress. Effective communication with the surgical team is crucial in managing any intraoperative emergencies [13].

The postoperative phase is where nursing care dramatically impacts patient recovery. Close monitoring of respiratory status is vital, as surgery can lead to atelectasis, pneumonia, and other respiratory complications. Nurses should assess oxygen saturation levels frequently and administer supplemental oxygen as needed to maintain adequate oxygenation [14].

Pain management is another critical focus in postoperative care. Due to the nature of respiratory surgeries, pain can significantly affect a patient's ability to breathe deeply and participate in pulmonary rehabilitation. Nurses should employ multimodal pain management strategies, including pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, to facilitate comfort and promote early mobilization [14].

Additionally, nurses should educate patients about the signs and symptoms of postoperative complications, such as increased dyspnea, fever, or abnormal chest X-ray findings, so they understand when to seek help. Furthermore, providing clear discharge instructions and follow-up appointments is essential for ensuring continuity of care [14].

Lastly, understanding that the care of surgical patients extends beyond nursing is crucial. Respiratory therapists, rehabilitation specialists, dietitians, and social workers all play a role in enhancing recovery outcomes. Multidisciplinary collaboration facilitates a holistic approach, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive care tailored to their unique circumstances [15].

Comprehensive Patient Health History Collection:

The practice of collecting a comprehensive patient health history is an essential element in the delivery of effective healthcare. It serves as a foundation upon which clinicians build their understanding of a patient's health, inform diagnosis and treatment plans, and enhance overall care. This essay explores the significance, methodology, challenges, and implications of thorough patient health history collection in contemporary healthcare settings [16].

Importance of Comprehensive Health History Collection

At the core of patient care is the need for comprehensive health history collection. This process involves gathering detailed information about a patient's past and present health status, including medical history, family history, lifestyle factors, and psychosocial elements. There are several critical reasons for this approach [16].

1. Informed Clinical Decision-Making

A well-compiled health history enables healthcare providers to make informed clinical decisions. Understanding a patient's previous illnesses, treatments, and outcomes can guide diagnosis and management. For instance, knowing a patient's history of allergic reactions can prevent adverse drug reactions, as healthcare providers can avoid prescribing contraindicated medications [17].

2. Identifying Risk Factors

Comprehensive health histories allow clinicians to identify risk factors for various diseases. A family history of conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, or certain cancers can prompt preventive measures or closer monitoring. Similarly, lifestyle factors such as smoking, physical inactivity, and dietary habits can inform healthcare providers about potential health risks, allowing for targeted counseling and intervention [18].

3. Holistic Patient Care

Health is multifaceted, encompassing physical, mental, and social well-being. Collecting a comprehensive health history promotes holistic patient care. A thorough assessment includes an understanding of a patient's social support system, occupational exposures, and mental health status. Such an approach recognizes that health cannot be viewed in isolation from a patient's environment and lifestyle [18].

4. Baseline for Future Care

The comprehensive patient health history acts as a baseline against which future health changes can be measured. It provides clinicians with an initial snapshot of a patient's health, allowing for the monitoring of health changes over time. This longitudinal view is essential in chronic disease management, ensuring timely interventions in response to deteriorating health status [19].

Methodology of Health History Collection

The process of collecting a comprehensive health history has evolved over time, with healthcare professionals employing various methodologies to gather relevant information [20].

1. Interview Techniques

One of the most common methods of collecting patient history is through structured or semi-structured interviews. Clinicians often employ open-ended questions to encourage patients to share detailed information about their health experiences. Effective communication skills, active listening, and empathy are crucial in establishing rapport and trust, facilitating a more comprehensive disclosure of health information [20].

2. Standardized Questionnaires

Standardized questionnaires and health assessment forms are valuable tools in collecting health histories. These instruments often cover a wide range of topics and can systematically capture important health information quickly. They can be administered digitally or on paper, allowing for flexible and efficient collection [21].

3. Collaboration with Other Healthcare Providers

In some cases, gathering a comprehensive health history may require collaboration with other

healthcare providers. Accessing past medical records, diagnostic tests, and previous treatment details can be invaluable in painting a complete picture of a patient's health. This inter-professional communication is especially important for patients with complex medical histories or those transitioning from one care setting to another [22].

Challenges in Health History Collection

Despite the essential nature of comprehensive health history collection, several challenges can impede the effectiveness of this process [23].

1. Time Constraints

In busy clinical settings, healthcare providers often face time constraints that limit the depth of patient interactions. The pressure to see a high volume of patients may lead to abbreviated visits where critical health history details go unaddressed. This challenge emphasizes the importance of balancing efficiency with comprehensive care [24].

2. Patient Recall Bias

Patients may struggle to recall significant health information or may inadvertently omit details due to various reasons, including embarrassment or lack of awareness. Consequently, healthcare providers must employ techniques that facilitate accurate recollection and encourage patients to provide complete information [24].

3. Cultural Sensitivity and Language Barriers

Understanding a patient's cultural background and addressing potential language barriers are crucial in the health history collection process. Misinterpretations stemming from cultural differences can lead to incomplete or inaccurate histories. Healthcare providers need to demonstrate cultural competence and sensitivity in their approach to ensure inclusivity and accuracy in assessing diverse populations [25].

Implications of Comprehensive Health History Collection

The implications of comprehensive patient health history collection extend beyond individual patient care.

1. Quality Improvement in Healthcare Delivery

A robust health history collection process contributes to the quality of care delivered at the systems level. By identifying common health issues and risk factors within a specific population, healthcare organizations can develop targeted public health interventions and preventive strategies that improve overall health outcomes [26].

2. Facilitating Research and Epidemiology

Comprehensive health history records are invaluable assets in research and epidemiological studies. Analyzing large datasets can help identify risk patterns, disease prevalence, and treatment efficacy. This information is crucial for advancing medical knowledge and public health initiatives geared towards mitigating health disparities [27].

3. Enhancing Patient Engagement

Encouraging active participation in health history collection empowers patients and fosters engagement in their healthcare journey. It opens up opportunities for patient education regarding their health and self-management strategies. Engaged patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans, follow preventive measures, and maintain open communication with their healthcare providers [28].

Physical Assessment Techniques for Respiratory Patients:

The respiratory system is essential for sustaining life, facilitating the exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the body. Given its critical role, assessing lung function is paramount in identifying respiratory disorders and ensuring appropriate treatment. Physical assessment techniques for respiratory patients are vital tools utilized by healthcare providers for evaluating respiratory health. This essay will explore various assessment techniques, their significance, and the underlying principles that guide them [29].

A thorough respiratory assessment begins with a detailed patient history and a careful physical exam. Understanding the patient's presenting symptoms, medical history, exposure to environmental hazards, and lifestyle factors such as smoking can provide crucial context for the assessment. Symptoms like cough, dyspnea (difficulty in breathing), wheezing, or hemoptysis (coughing up blood) often guide healthcare providers in determining the scope of physical examination needed [30].

The physical exam typically encompasses inspection, palpation, percussion, and auscultation, each serving a specific purpose in evaluating the respiratory system. By integrating subjective patient reports with objective examination findings, healthcare professionals can form a comprehensive understanding of a patient's respiratory health [30].

Inspection

The initial step in the physical examination involves visual inspection of the patient's general appearance and respiratory patterns. Healthcare providers observe for signs such as:

- **Respiratory Rate**: Normal respiratory rates for adults range from 12 to 20 breaths per minute. An increased rate, or tachypnea, may indicate respiratory distress, while bradypnea suggests a potential respiratory depression [31].
- **Effort of Breathing**: Observing the use of accessory muscles, nasal flaring, and the presence of retractions can indicate labored breathing. A patient in distress may exhibit orthopnea, finding relief only in an upright position.
- **Skin Color**: Cyanosis, a bluish discoloration, presents signals of inadequate oxygenation. Peripheral cyanosis may indicate circulatory issues, while central cyanosis reflects respiratory or cardiac abnormalities.
- **Posture**: Patients with respiratory distress may prefer positions that facilitate breathing, such as leaning forward, which is often referred to as the "tripod position."
- **Cough Characteristics**: Examining the nature of any cough—dry, productive, or acute—yields valuable information about possible underlying conditions [31].

Palpation

Palpation entails the use of the hands to assess various physical properties of the chest. Key aspects include:

- **Chest Expansion**: Placing hands on the posterior thorax while the patient breathes allows the examiner to assess symmetric expansion. Asymmetry can indicate conditions such as pneumothorax or pleural effusion [32].
- **Tactile Fremitus**: This assessment involves placing the palms on the back of the patient while they vocalize ("99" or similar phrases). Increased fremitus can indicate consolidated lung tissue, as seen in pneumonia, while decreased fremitus often occurs in the presence of fluid or air in the pleural space.
- **Tenderness and Masses**: Palpation can reveal areas of tenderness that may correlate with lung pathology or musculoskeletal issues. Detection of masses or unusual bumps can prompt further evaluation or imaging studies [32].

Percussion

Percussion is a technique that involves striking the surface of the chest to produce sound waves and vibrations. This method can help to discern the underlying character of lung tissue based on:

- **Resonance**: Normally, the lungs produce a resonant sound. Dullness may suggest the presence of fluid (as in pleural effusion or pneumonia), while hyper-resonance can indicate air accumulation (such as in pneumothorax).
- **Diaphragmatic Excursion**: By percussing the lower lung fields during maximal inspiration and exhalation, providers can evaluate the distance the diaphragm moves. Poor excursion may reflect restrictive lung disease or pleural disease [33].

Auscultation

Auscultation is one of the most critical components of respiratory assessment. Utilizing a stethoscope, clinicians listen carefully to breath sounds, which can provide significant diagnostic information:

- **Normal Breath Sounds**: Vesicular sounds are typically heard over healthy lung fields, while bronchial sounds are heard over the trachea and major bronchi [34].
- **Abnormal Breath Sounds**: Adventitious sounds such as wheezes, crackles (rales), and rhonchi can indicate various pathologies. Wheezes commonly arise in

obstructive conditions like asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Crackling sounds may signify fluid in the alveoli, as seen in pneumonia or heart failure.

- **Voice Sounds**: Analyzing modifications of normal voice sounds (bronchophony, egophony, and whispered pectoriloquy) can offer further insights into lung pathology. Increased clarity of these sounds may suggest the presence of consolidation [34].

Risk Identification and Management in Preoperative Care:

Effective management of preoperative respiratory care is a vital component of enhancing surgical outcomes and optimizing patient recovery. With the growing complexity of surgical procedures and the increasing prevalence of respiratory conditions in the general population, a comprehensive approach to risk identification and management is warranted. This essay explores the key factors that contribute to risks in preoperative respiratory care, outlines strategies for risk assessment, and discusses best practices for managing these risks to ensure enhanced safety and efficacy in surgical interventions [35].

Identifying Risks in Preoperative Respiratory Care

The identification of risks in preoperative respiratory care begins with a thorough understanding of the various factors that can compromise respiratory function. Several patient-related and procedural-related variables contribute to the risks associated with respiratory care in the preoperative setting [35].

1. **Patient-Related Factors**:
    - **Preexisting Respiratory Conditions**: Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, obesity hypoventilation syndrome, and other chronic respiratory illnesses present unique challenges. Such conditions can lead to increased susceptibility to perioperative complications, such as hypoxemia, pneumonia, and acute respiratory failure [36].
    - **Age and Comorbidities**: Advanced age is commonly associated with decreased pulmonary reserve and compromised respiratory function. The presence of comorbidities, such as cardiovascular disease or diabetes, can further exacerbate respiratory risks during the perioperative period.
    - **Smoking History**: Smoking significantly impacts lung function and increases the risk of postoperative complications, such as atelectasis and prolonged respiratory symptoms. Identifying smokers and advising them to quit prior to surgery can mitigate some of these risks [36].
2. **Procedural-Related Factors**:
    - **Type of Surgery**: Certain surgical procedures, particularly those involving general anesthesia, thoracic surgery, or upper abdominal surgery, pose greater risks for respiratory complications. The extent of the surgical approach and potential for airway manipulation requires careful consideration of the patient's respiratory status [37].
    - **Anesthetic Considerations**: The selection of anesthetic agents, administration technique, and postoperative ventilation strategies significantly influence respiratory outcomes. Understanding how anesthetic choices interact with the patient's respiratory health is essential for minimizing risks [37].

Risk Assessment in Preoperative Respiratory Care

Effective risk assessment necessitates a systematic approach that encompasses comprehensive patient evaluation, clinical history review, and diagnostic testing. The preoperative assessment must involve the following key components:

1. **Clinical History and Physical Examination**:
   Obtaining a detailed clinical history is fundamental in identifying respiratory risk factors. Questions should address the patient's previous respiratory illnesses, smoking habits, history of respiratory infections, and any prior surgical complications. A thorough physical examination, including auscultation of lung sounds and evaluation of the patient's breathing pattern, should be performed to gain insights into their respiratory function [38].
2. **Diagnostic Testing**:
   - **Pulmonary Function Tests (PFTs)**: These tests assess the patient's lung function and can provide critical information in anticipating postoperative respiratory outcomes. PFTs help identify patients with obstructive or restrictive lung disease and allow for targeted preoperative management [39].
   - **Chest Imaging**: Imaging studies, such as chest X-rays or computed tomography scans, may be necessary for evaluating the lung structure and identifying any pathologies, such as effusions or consolidations, that could pose risks during surgery [39].
3. **Assessment Tools**:
   Various assessment tools and scoring systems have been developed to quantify respiratory risk. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification system and the Fried frailty index are examples of tools that can help healthcare providers stratify patients based on their risk profiles, ensuring that appropriate interventions are instituted based on individual assessments [40].

Managing Risks in Preoperative Respiratory Care

Once risks have been identified, the focus shifts to applying strategies that mitigate these risks and enhance patient safety. The following approaches are key to managing respiratory risks in the preoperative care of patients:

1. **Preoperative Optimization**:
   Implementing preoperative optimization strategies is critical for patients at risk. Smoking cessation programs have been associated with improved postoperative outcomes. Similarly, interventions such as bronchodilator therapy for those with obstructive lung diseases can enhance pulmonary function prior to surgical intervention [41].
2. **Multidisciplinary Collaboration**:
   Collaboration across various specialties, including surgeons, anesthesiologists, pulmonologists, and nursing staff, enhances preoperative care. This teamwork fosters comprehensive management tailored to individual patient needs. Regular communication and case discussions can help identify potential issues and develop coordinated approaches to address them [42].
3. **Anesthetic Management**:
   Anesthesiologists play a central role in managing respiratory risks during surgery. Selecting the appropriate anesthetic agents and considering the airway management technique is imperative for minimizing respiratory complications. Additionally, meticulous intraoperative monitoring of respiratory parameters allows for early identification and intervention in case of emerging respiratory distress [42].
4. **Postoperative Care and Monitoring**:
   Postoperative respiratory management is crucial, as it sets the stage for recovery. Implementing effective pain control strategies, employing incentive spirometry, and ensuring adequate oxygenation are vital components of postoperative care. Early mobilization and respiratory physiotherapy can further reduce the likelihood of complications such as atelectasis [43].

Patient Education and Preoperative Counseling:

In the realm of healthcare, effective communication between medical professionals and patients is vital for ensuring optimal treatment outcomes and enhancing the overall healthcare experience. Among the myriad aspects of this communication, patient education and preoperative counseling stand out as critical components in surgical settings. This essay delves into the significance, methodologies, barriers, and outcomes associated with patient education and preoperative counseling, underscoring their role in improving patient knowledge, satisfaction, and surgical results [44].

Patient education constitutes an essential component of the healthcare delivery process, serving multiple purposes. It encompasses the dissemination of information regarding diagnoses, treatment options, and self-care methods, aiming to empower patients to participate actively in their healthcare. When it comes to surgeries, understanding the procedure, potential risks, recovery expectations, and preoperative preparations can significantly impact a patient's mindset and readiness for surgery [45].

Educated patients are more likely to adhere to preoperative instructions, such as dietary restrictions or medication management, which can directly influence surgical outcomes. For instance, patients who understand the importance of abstaining from anticoagulants or smoking before surgery are less likely to experience complications such as excessive bleeding or delayed healing. Furthermore, education lowers anxiety levels, as individuals possess a clearer understanding of what to expect from their surgical experience, thus enhancing their overall psychological preparedness [46].

Preoperative Counseling: A Multifaceted Approach

Preoperative counseling is a focused area of patient education that occurs in the lead-up to a surgical intervention. The objectives of this counseling are multifold: to inform, prepare, and assess patients prior to surgery [47].

1. **Information Dissemination:** The primary goal of preoperative counseling is to provide patients with comprehensive information regarding their upcoming procedure. This includes discussing the nature of the surgery, the anticipated duration, potential risks and benefits, and possible alternative treatments. By presenting this information in an accessible manner, healthcare professionals can dispel fears and misconceptions [48].
2. **Preparation for Surgery:** Counseling sessions also cover the practical aspects of surgery preparation. Patients receive guidance on necessary lifestyle changes, such as dietary modifications and smoking cessation, and detailed instructions regarding the day of the surgery, including arrival times, transportation, and fasting protocols. Preparing patients helps reduce the likelihood of surgical delays and cancellations caused by non-compliance with instructions.
3. **Assessment of Patient Understanding and Emotional Support:** Effective preoperative counseling involves assessing a patient's understanding of the information conveyed. Employing teach-back methods, where patients articulate their understanding of the surgical process, ensures they comprehend the information necessary for their preparation. Additionally, emotional support is a critical facet of preoperative counseling, as patients often experience anxiety and uncertainty before surgery. Healthcare providers must offer reassurance, actively listen to patient concerns, and provide adequate time for questions [48].

Barriers to Effective Patient Education and Counseling

Despite the recognized importance of patient education and preoperative counseling, several barriers hinder their effective implementation. These include:

1. **Time Constraints:** In busy healthcare settings, providers often face significant time limitations. However, rushed interactions can lead to inadequate patient education, where crucial information may be overlooked or poorly communicated [49].
2. **Health Literacy:** Not all patients have the same level of health literacy, which can complicate the communication process. Patients may struggle to comprehend

medical jargon or complex concepts, leading to confusion and misinformed decisions about their care.

3. **Cultural and Language Differences:** Cultural beliefs and language barriers can present challenges in patient education. Tailoring information to align with cultural contexts and utilizing translators when necessary can significantly enhance understanding.

4. **Emotional Distress:** Patients undergoing surgery may experience high levels of emotional distress, which can impede their ability to absorb information. Addressing these emotional barriers is crucial in facilitating effective education [49].

Strategies to Enhance Patient Education and Counseling

To address these barriers, healthcare professionals can employ several strategies to enhance the effectiveness of patient education and preoperative counseling [50].

1. **Utilizing Educational Materials:** Visual aids, pamphlets, and videos can serve as supplementary tools that reinforce verbal communication. These resources can simplify complex information and cater to various learning styles [51].

2. **Engaging in Active Communication:** Employing a patient-centered approach fosters a dialogue between healthcare providers and patients. Open-ended questions encourage patients to express themselves, share concerns, and seek clarification. It is vital to create an environment where patients feel comfortable discussing their worries and preferences.

3. **Leveraging Technology:** The use of telehealth and mobile health applications has revolutionized patient education. These tools can provide easy access to information, allowing patients to review preoperative materials at their convenience. Online platforms can also facilitate follow-up discussions after initial counseling sessions, ensuring ongoing support.

4. **Training Healthcare Providers:** Ongoing training in communication skills for healthcare providers is paramount. Workshops focused on empathy, active listening, and health literacy can bolster clinicians' ability to convey information effectively and compassionately [51].

Outcomes of Comprehensive Patient Education and Counseling

The impact of effective patient education and preoperative counseling is profound. Numerous studies have demonstrated that informed patients experience lower levels of anxiety and higher satisfaction rates regarding their surgical experience. Moreover, patients who receive thorough counseling are more likely to adhere to preoperative guidelines, leading to improved clinical outcomes, such as reduced complication rates and shortened recovery times [52].

Additionally, fostering a strong patient-provider relationship through comprehensive education enhances trust and promotes a collaborative healthcare model. When patients feel informed and involved, they are more likely to engage positively with their healthcare team, resulting in better continuity of care post-surgery [52].

Psychosocial Considerations: Addressing Patient Anxiety and Suppo:

Respiratory surgery, including procedures such as lung resections for cancer or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, often represents a significant medical intervention for patients. While the physical aspects of these surgeries are critical, the psychological dimensions warrant equal attention, as they can profoundly influence not only patient outcomes but also the overall experience of care. This essay delves into the psychosocial considerations related to patient anxiety in the context of respiratory surgery, highlighting the importance of understanding, addressing, and supporting patients through their journey [53].

Anxiety is a natural emotional response to uncertainty, particularly when faced with health concerns and surgical interventions. Patients scheduled for respiratory surgery may experience anxiety for various reasons, including fear of the unknown, concerns about postoperative recovery, fear of pain, and worries about the potential for complications or adverse outcomes. Additionally,

the impact of pre-existing mental health conditions, such as generalized anxiety disorder or depression, can exacerbate feelings of anxiety surrounding surgical procedures [54].

The prevalence of anxiety among surgical patients is notably high, with studies indicating that as much as 30% to 50% of patients experience significant levels of preoperative anxiety. Such heightened anxiety can lead to poor health outcomes, increased postoperative pain, longer recovery times, and reduced satisfaction with care. Thus, it is imperative for healthcare providers to recognize and address anxiety proactively as an integral component of the surgical experience [55].

Psychosocial factors play a substantial role in surgical patients' preparedness, recovery, and overall satisfaction with their healthcare experience. Anxiety and depression can adversely affect physiological responses, including immune function and pain perception, subsequently influencing recovery trajectories. It has been demonstrated that patients who report higher levels of anxiety preoperatively might require increased analgesia and exhibit more complications post-surgery compared to their less anxious counterparts [55].

Moreover, psychosocial factors such as social support, coping mechanisms, and patient education significantly impact anxiety levels. Supportive environments that encourage open communication and facilitate emotional expression can help alleviate fear and uncertainty. Thus, healthcare providers must adopt a holistic approach that considers both physical and emotional well-being to enhance surgical outcomes [56].

Addressing Patient Anxiety: Best Practices

1. **Preoperative Education**: One of the most effective ways to mitigate anxiety is through thorough and clear preoperative education. Providing patients with comprehensive information about the surgical procedure, recovery process, and potential outcomes can help alleviate uncertainty. Educational materials such as brochures, videos, and face-to-face discussions with surgical teams can empower patients by ensuring they understand what to expect. Highlighting success stories and discussing expected challenges candidly can also provide a more balanced view that reduces anxiety [57].
2. **Cognitive Behavioral Interventions**: Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) techniques can be beneficial in reducing anxiety levels. Preoperative psychological support programs that incorporate CBT principles aim to help patients develop healthier thinking patterns, coping strategies, and relaxation techniques. For instance, guided imagery, mindfulness meditation, or structured problem-solving approaches can assist patients in managing their anxieties effectively [57].
3. **Support Systems**: The presence of a supportive network can significantly alleviate anxiety. Encouraging family involvement and fostering strong communication channels between patients and healthcare providers can create a more comforting environment. Healthcare teams should also consider referral to clinical psychologists or mental health professionals when a patient's anxiety is persistently high or associated with pre-existing mental health conditions [57].
4. **Pharmacological Interventions**: In some cases, medication may be necessary to manage severe anxiety symptoms, particularly for patients with diagnosed anxiety disorders. Anxiolytics or antidepressants can provide symptom relief, thereby enhancing patients' ability to cope with the preoperative period. However, these pharmacological interventions should be employed judiciously and under close medical supervision to avoid potential side effects or complications [58].
5. **Postoperative Support**: The management of anxiety shouldn't end at the operating room door. Providing ongoing psychological support during postoperative recovery is vital in addressing lingering anxiety and ensuring comprehensive care. Follow-up consultations, access to psychological resources, and support groups can help patients adjust to their new realities after surgery [58].

The Importance of a Multidisciplinary Approach

Addressing patient anxiety in respiratory surgery necessitates a multidisciplinary approach that involves surgeons, nurses, psychologists, social workers, and support staff. Each professional can contribute unique perspectives and resources that together create a comprehensive care plan tailored to individual patients' needs. By fostering collaboration among different specialties, healthcare providers can ensure that all aspects of a patient's experience—from preoperative assessments to postoperative care—are cohesively addressed [59].

Collaboration with Multidisciplinary Teams in Surgical Planning:

Surgical planning is a vital component of patient care that hinges on the effective collaboration of various healthcare professionals. With advances in technology, increasing complexities in surgical procedures, and heightened patient expectations, the need for collaborative, multidisciplinary approaches has never been more pressing. This essay delves into the importance of collaborating with multidisciplinary teams in surgical planning, exploring its benefits, challenges, and practical implications while emphasizing the essential pathways toward creating a cohesive surgical environment [60].

At its core, multidisciplinary collaboration in surgical planning involves the integration of diverse expertise to devise the optimal strategy for patient management. Teams typically consist of various professionals, including surgeons, anesthesiologists, nurses, radiologists, physiotherapists, and social workers. Each of these professionals brings a unique perspective and skill to the table, enabling comprehensive assessments that enrich the decision-making process. Such collaboration promotes holistic patient care by encompassing the physical, psychological, and social factors influencing surgical outcomes [61].

In an era where healthcare is rapidly evolving, surgical teams must work in concert to address the unique needs of individual patients. This approach can be especially crucial when dealing with complex cases such as those involving multiple comorbidities, anatomical variations, or previous surgeries, all of which necessitate specialized insights [61].

The Benefits of Multidisciplinary Collaboration

1. **Improved Patient Outcomes**: One of the primary advantages of multidisciplinary collaboration is the potential for improved patient outcomes. Studies indicate that surgical teams that engage in collaborative planning are more likely to minimize complications, reduce surgical times, and improve recovery rates. By pooling their expertise, team members can identify potential risks and devise targeted strategies to mitigate them, resulting in safer procedures [62].
2. **Enhanced Decision-Making**: Collaborative environments foster open communication, enabling all team members to express their professional opinions and insights freely. This exchange can lead to more informed decision-making processes, as diverse perspectives offer a comprehensive understanding of a patient's condition. By considering varying angles of a patient's care—from surgical techniques to perioperative management—teams can arrive at well-rounded and evidence-based decisions [62].
3. **Increased Efficiency**: Efficient surgical planning hinges on the coordination of multiple moving parts, including scheduling, resource allocation, and post-operative care. Multidisciplinary teams can streamline these processes, ensuring that all aspects of the patient's journey are carefully coordinated. Early identification of potential issues during planning can lead to a more synchronized approach, ultimately saving time and resources for both the healthcare facility and the patient [63].
4. **Patient-Centered Care**: A significant benefit of multidisciplinary collaboration is the emphasis on patient-centered care. Surgeons, anesthesiologists, and other professionals can collectively evaluate a patient's situation, considering not only their medical needs but also their personal preferences, social circumstances, and psychological state. This comprehensive understanding allows teams to tailor their approach, ensuring that the chosen surgical plan aligns with the patient's goals and expectations [64].

Challenges to Effective Collaboration

While the advantages of a multidisciplinary approach are numerous, various challenges can hinder effective collaboration in surgical planning [64].

1. **Communication Barriers**: One of the foremost obstacles is the potential for communication breakdown among team members. Differences in terminology, professional backgrounds, and hierarchical structures can lead to misinterpretations or overlooked concerns. Establishing a common language and ensuring open, transparent communication channels are essential for fostering a collaborative environment [65].
2. **Time Constraints**: Scheduling conflicts and time limitations can impede the ability of multidisciplinary teams to meet regularly for collaborative discussions. The complexity of surgical cases necessitates thorough discussions, which may be difficult to achieve in a high-pressure clinical environment. Thus, the development of efficient meeting schedules and utilizing technology for virtual meetings can alleviate some of these time constraints [66].
3. **Leadership and Roles**: Clear delineation of roles and leadership is vital in multidisciplinary collaborations. However, misunderstandings regarding authority and decision-making can lead to conflicts within teams. The establishment of a formal leadership structure that encourages inclusion and input from all team members is critical for maintaining focus on the common goal—patient care [67].
4. **Cultural Differences**: Different professional cultures—such as those of surgeons and nurses—can also create friction. Building a culture of mutual respect and understanding requires ongoing team-building efforts and initiatives that encourage collaboration and appreciation of each discipline's contributions [67].

Practical Applications of Multidisciplinary Collaboration

To harness the benefits of multidisciplinary collaboration effectively, healthcare institutions can adopt various practical strategies:

1. **Regular Team Meetings**: Establishing regular multidisciplinary team meetings allows healthcare professionals to discuss case updates, share insights, and collaboratively solve challenges. Regular interaction not only enhances communication but also helps build rapport and trust among team members [68].
2. **Standardized Protocols for Communication**: Implementing standardized communication protocols, such as checklists and collaborative decision-making tools, can enhance clarity and prevent misunderstandings. Utilizing technological platforms for real-time updates and team discussions can further streamline communication efforts [68].
3. **Interdisciplinary Training**: Encouraging interdisciplinary training programs can enhance understanding and respect among team members. Joint workshops that focus on team-building, conflict resolution, and familiarization with each other's roles can go a long way toward fostering a culture of collaboration [69].
4. **Patient Involvement**: Including patients in the surgical planning process is another valuable approach to ensuring that a multidisciplinary team's efforts reflect patient values and preferences. By valuing the patient's voice and incorporating their feedback, surgical teams can promote a true sense of collaboration that extends beyond provider-to-provider interactions [70].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, nursing plays an indispensable role in the preoperative assessment of respiratory surgery patients, serving as a vital link between patients and the surgical team. Through comprehensive evaluations that include detailed health histories, thorough physical assessments, risk management, and patient education, nurses not only identify potential complications but also enhance patient preparedness and overall well-being. Their ability to address psychosocial factors, alleviate anxiety, and

foster clear communication contributes significantly to positive patient outcomes and satisfaction.

Moreover, the collaborative efforts of nurses with multidisciplinary teams ensure that each patient's individual needs are met, thereby optimizing care and reducing the likelihood of postoperative complications. As the landscape of healthcare continues to evolve, the importance of skilled nursing assessment in preoperative care cannot be overstated. Investing in nursing education and training in this area will be crucial to maintaining high standards of care and improving the surgical experience for respiratory patients in the future.

References:

1. Coleman EA. Falling through the cracks: challenges and opportunities for improving transitional care for persons with continuous complex care needs. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003;51(4):549–555. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51185.x.
2. Nagpal K, Vats A, Ahmed K, Vincent C, Moorthy K. An evaluation of information transfer through the continuum of surgical care: a feasibility study. Ann Surg. 2010;252(2):402–407. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181e986df.
3. Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, et al. The Safe Surgery Saves Lives Study Group A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(5):491–499. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa0810119.
4. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, et al. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg. 2009;250(2):187–196. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b13ca2.
5. Garcia-Miguel FJ, Serrano-Aguilar PG, Lopez-Bastida J. Preoperative assessment. Lancet. 2003;362(9397):1749–1757. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)14857-x.
6. Fuji KT, Abbott AA, Norris JF. Exploring care transitions from patient, caregiver, and health-care provider perspectives. Clin Nurs Res. 2013;22(3):258–274. doi: 10.1177/1054773812465084.
7. Poldermans D, Hoeks SE, Feringa HH. Pre-operative risk assessment and risk reduction before surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51(20):1913–1924. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.03.005.
8. Naylor MD. Advancing high value transitional care: the central role of nursing and its leadership. Nurs Adm Q. 2012;36(2):115–126. doi: 10.1097/NAQ.0b013e31824a040b.
9. Forster AJ, Murff HJ, Peterson JF, Gandhi TK, Bates DW. The incidence and severity of adverse events affecting patients after discharge from the hospital. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138(3):161–167. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-138-3-200302040-00007.
10. Greenwald JL, Denham CR, Jack BW. The hospital discharge: a review of high risk care transition with highlights of a reengineered discharge process. J Patient Saf. 2007;3(2):97–106.
11. Kripalani S, LeFevre F, Phillips CO, Williams MV, Basaviah P, Baker DW. Deficits in communication and information transfer between hospital-based and primary care physicians: implications for patient safety and continuity of care. JAMA. 2007;297(8):831–841. doi: 10.1001/jama.297.8.831.
12. Fudickar A, Horle K, Wiltfang J, Bein B. The effect of the WHO surgical safety checklist on complication rate and communication. Medicine. 2012;109(42):695–701. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2012.0695.
13. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation for Noncardiac Surgery). Circulation. 2007;116(17):e418–e499. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.185699.
14. Brock J, Mitchell J, Irby K, et al. The Care Transitions Project Team. Association between quality improvement for care transitions in communities and rehospitalizations among Medicare beneficiaries. JAMA. 2013;309(4):381–391. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.216607.

15. Nagpal K, Vats A, Ahmed K, et al. A systematic quantitative assessment of risks associated with poor communication in surgical care. Arch Surg. 2010;145(6):582–588. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.2010.105.
16. Braddy C, Trotta R, Bixby MB, et al. A qualitative analysis of an advanced practice nurse-directed transitional care model intervention. Gerontologist. 2012;52(3):394–407. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnr078.
17. Christian CK, Gustafson ML, Roth EM, et al. A prospective study of patient safety in the operating room. Surgery. 2006;139(2):159–173. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2005.07.037.
18. Ong MS, Coiera E. A systematic review of failures in handoff communication during intrahospital transfers. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2011;37(6):274–284. doi: 10.1016/s1553-7250(11)37035-3.
19. Laine C, Williams SV, Wilson JF. In the clinic. Preoperative evaluation. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151(1):2–16. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-1-200907070-01006.
20. Myers K, Hajek P, Hinds C, McRobbie H. Stopping smoking shortly before surgery and postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(11):983–989. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.97.
21. Canet J, Mazo V. Postoperative pulmonary complications. Minerva Anestesiol. 2010;76(2):138–143.
22. Smetana GW, Conde MV. Preoperative pulmonary update. Clin Geriatr Med. 2008;24(4):607–624. vii. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2008.06.004.
23. Wong J, Lam DP, Abrishami A, Chan MT, Chung F. Short-term preoperative smoking cessation and postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Anaesth. 2012;59(3):268–279. doi: 10.1007/s12630-011-9652-x.
24. Bruells CS, Rossaint R. Physiology of gas exchange during anaesthesia. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2011;28(8):570–579. doi: 10.1097/EJA.0b013e32834942a3.
25. Staehr-Rye AK, Eikermann M. Eliminate postoperative respiratory complications: preoperative screening opens the door to clinical pathways that individualise perioperative treatment. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2015;32(7):455–457. doi: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000000210.
26. Kor DJ, Lingineni RK, Gajic O, et al. Predicting risk of postoperative lung injury in high-risk surgical patients: a multicenter cohort study. Anesthesiology. 2014;120(5):1168–1181. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000000216.
27. Gupta H, Gupta PK, Fang X, et al. Development and validation of a risk calculator predicting postoperative respiratory failure. Chest. 2011;140(5):1207–1215. doi: 10.1378/chest.11-0466.
28. Fisher BW, Majumdar SR, McAlister FA. Predicting pulmonary complications after nonthoracic surgery: a systematic review of blinded studies. Am J Med. 2002;112(3):219–225. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9343(01)01082-8.
29. Arozullah AM, Daley J, Henderson WG, Khuri SF. Multifactorial risk index for predicting postoperative respiratory failure in men after major noncardiac surgery. The National Veterans Administration Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Ann Surg. 2000;232(2):242–253. doi: 10.1097/00000658-200008000-00015.
30. Johnson RG, Arozullah AM, Neumayer L, Henderson WG, Hosokawa P, Khuri SF. Multivariable predictors of postoperative respiratory failure after general and vascular surgery: results from the patient safety in surgery study. J Am Coll Surg. 2007;204(6):1188–1198. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2007.02.070.
31. Smetana GW, Lawrence VA, Cornell JE, American College of Physicians. Preoperative pulmonary risk stratification for noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(8):581–595. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-8-200604180-00009.
32. Degani-Costa LH, Faresin SM, dos Reis Falcao LF. Preoperative evaluation of the patient with pulmonary disease. Braz J Anesthesiol. 2014;64(1):22–34. doi: 10.1016/j.bjane.2012.11.002.
33. Qaseem A, Snow V, Fitterman N, et al. Risk assessment for and strategies to reduce perioperative pulmonary complications for patients undergoing noncardiothoracic surgery: a guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(8):575–580.

doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-8-200604180-00008.
34. Lawrence VA, Cornell JE, Smetana GW, American College of Physicians. Strategies to reduce postoperative pulmonary complications after noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2006;144(8):596–608. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-8-200604180-00011.
35. Blüm JM, Stentz MJ, Dechert R, et al. Preoperative and intraoperative predictors of postoperative acute respiratory distress syndrome in a general surgical population. Anesthesiology. 2013;118(1):19–29. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3182794975.
36. Malbouisson LM, Humberto F, Rodrigues Rdos R, Carmona MJ, Auler JO. Atelectasis during anesthesia: pathophysiology and treatment. Rev Bras Anestesiol. 2008;58(1):73–83. doi: 10.1590/s0034-70942008000100011.
37. Sweitzer BJ, Smetana GW. Identification and evaluation of the patient with lung disease. Anesthesiol Clin. 2009;27(4):673–686. doi: 10.1016/j.anclin.2009.09.004.
38. Canet J, Sabaté S, Mazo V, et al. Development and validation of a score to predict postoperative respiratory failure in a multicentre European cohort: a prospective, observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2015;32(7):458–470. doi: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000000223.
39. Bayram AS, Candan T, Gebitekin C. Preoperative maximal exercise oxygen consumption test predicts postoperative pulmonary morbidity following major lung resection. Respirology. 2007;12:505–10.
40. Loewen GM, Watson D, Kohman L, Herndon JE, 2nd, Shennib H, Kernstine K, et al. Cancer and Leukemia Group B. Preoperative exercise Vo2 measurement for lung resection candidates: Results of Cancer and Leukemia Group B Protocol 9238. J Thorac Oncol. 2007;2:619–25.
41. Lim E, Baldwin D, Beckles M, Duffy J, Entwisle J, Faivre-Finn C, et al. Guidelines on the radical management of patients with lung cancer. Thorax. 2010;65(Suppl 3):iii1–27.
42. Jones LW, Peddle CJ, Eves ND, Haykowsky MJ, Courneya KS, Mackey JR, et al. Effects of presurgical exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness among patients undergoing thoracic surgery for malignant lung lesions. Cancer. 2007;110:590–8.
43. Ferguson MK, Little L, Rizzo L, Popovich KJ, Glonek GF, Leff A, et al. Diffusing capacity predicts morbidity and mortality after pulmonary resection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1988;96:894–900.
44. Brunelli A, Charloux A, Bolliger CT, Rocco G, Sculier JP, Varela G, et al. ERS/ESTS clinical guidelines on fitness for radical therapy in lung cancer patients (surgery and chemo-radiotherapy). Eur Respir J. 2009;34:17–41.
45. Wilson RJ, Davies S, Yates D, Redman J, Stone M. Impaired functional capacity is associated with all-cause mortality after major elective intra-abdominal surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2010;105:297–303.
46. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS. Different diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide as predictors of respiratory morbidity. Ann Thorac Surg. 2009;88:405–10.
47. Ferguson MK, Vigneswaran WT. Diffusing capacity predicts morbidity after lung resection in patients without obstructive lung disease. Ann Thorac Surg. 2008;85:1158–64.
48. Brunelli A, Kim AW, Berger KI, Addrizzo-Harris DJ. Physiologic Evaluation of the Patient With Lung Cancer Being Considered for Resectional Surgery. Chest. 2013;143(Suppl):e166S–90S.
49. Ninan M, Sommers KE, Landreneau RJ, Weyant RJ, Tobias J, Luketich JD, et al. Standardized exercise oximetry predicts postpneumonectomy outcome. Ann Thorac Surg. 1997;64:328–32.
50. Agnew N. Preoperative cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Continuing Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain. 2010;10:33–7.
51. Snowden CP, Prentis JM, Anderson HL, Roberts DR, Randles D, Renton M, et al. Submaximal cardiopulmonary exercise testing predicts complications and hospital length of stay in patients undergoing major elective surgery. Ann Surg. 2010;251:535–41.
52. Guidelines on the selection of patients with lung cancer for surgery. Thorax. 2001;56:89–108.
53. Charloux A, Brunelli A, Bolliger CT, Rocco G, Sculier JP, Varela G, et al. Lung function evaluation before surgery in lung cancer patients: How are recent advances put into practice? A survey among members of the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) and of the

Thoracic Oncology Section of the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2009;9:925–31.
54. Balady GJ, Arena R, Sietsema K, Myers J, Coke L, Fletcher GF, et al. Clinician's guide to cardiopulmonary exercise testing in adults: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010;122:191–225.
55. Wang J, Olak J, Ultmann RE, Ferguson MK. Assessment of pulmonary complications after lung resection. Ann Thorac Surg. 1999;67:1444–7.
56. Yuan N, Fraire JA, Margetis MM, Skaggs DL, Tolo VT, Keens TG. The effect of scoliosis surgery on lung function in the immediate postoperative period. Spine. 2005;30:2182–5.
57. Joint Statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP). ATS/ACCP statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167:211–77.
58. Karpman C, Benzo R. Gait speed as a measure of functional status in COPD patients. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2014;9:1315–1320.
59. Tyson AF, Kendig CE, Mabedi C, Cairns BA, Charles AG. The effect of incentive spirometry on postoperative pulmonary function following laparotomy: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg. 2015;150(3):229–236.
60. Regan EA, Lynch DA, Curran-Everett D, et al. Clinical and radiologic disease in smokers with normal spirometry. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175(9):1539–1549.
61. Hausman MS, Jr, Jewell ES, Engoren M. Regional versus general anesthesia in surgical patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: does avoiding general anesthesia reduce the risk of postoperative complications? Anesth Analg. 2015;120(6):1405–1412.
62. Guay J, Ochroch EA. Intraoperative use of low volume ventilation to decrease postoperative mortality, mechanical ventilation, lengths of stay and lung injury in patients without acute lung injury. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;12:CD011151.
63. Hedenstierna G, Edmark L. The effects of anesthesia and muscle paralysis on the respiratory system. Intensive Care Med. 2005;31(10):1327–1335.
64. Forster A, Gardaz JP, Suter PM, Gemperle M. Respiratory depression by midazolam and diazepam. Anesthesiology. 1980;53(6):494–497.
65. Albano J, de Oliveira F, de Sá M, et al. Factors associated with mortality and prolonged length of stay in patients undergoing pulmonary resection. Ann Thorac Surg. 2014;97(6):2082–2088.
66. Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C, et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(5):428–437.
67. Mans CM, Reeve JC, Elkins MR. Postoperative outcomes following preoperative inspiratory muscle training in patients undergoing cardiothoracic or upper abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta analysis. Clin Rehabil. 2015;29(5):426–438.
68. McAlister FA, Bertsch K, Man J, Bradley J, Jacka M. Incidence of and risk factors for pulmonary complications after nonthoracic surgery. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;171(5):514–517.
69. Ladha K, Vidal Melo MF, McLean DJ, et al. Intraoperative protective mechanical ventilation and risk of postoperative respiratory complications: hospital based registry study. BMJ. 2015;351:h3646.
70. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preanesthesia Evaluation. Practice advisory for preanesthesia evaluation: a report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preanesthesia Evaluation. Anesthesiology. 2002;96(2):485–496.