Advances in Root Canal Treatment: Techniques and Outcomes

Abdulrahman Omar Alahmadi [1], Omar Mansour Alqurashi [2], Abdullah Mohammed Aljabri [3], Waleed Abdullah Alamri [4], Ibrahim- Salah – Lamutairi [5], Ahmed Suwaydir Aljohani [6], Meshal Jehad Fahed Alharbi [7], Mohammed Saleh Awdah Alraddadi [8], Almohammadi, Hamdan Hameed S [9], Waad Qubayl Mughayran Alruwaili [10]

1- Dentist , Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
2- Dentist, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
3- Dentist , Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
4- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
5- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
6- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
7- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
8- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
9- Dental technician, Islamic University Medical Center, Al-Madinah, Saudi Arabia
10- Dental assistant technician, Muhammadiyah Primary Health Care Center in Arar, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Recent advances in root canal treatment have significantly enhanced both the efficacy and efficiency of this vital dental procedure. One of the most notable developments is the integration of digital technologies, including cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) for precise diagnosis and treatment planning. This imaging technique allows clinicians to visualize the root canal system in three dimensions, improving the identification of complex anatomy and potential hidden canals. Additionally, the use of rotary endodontic instruments, which are designed for efficient canal shaping, has streamlined the preparation process, reducing treatment time and minimizing patient discomfort. Enhanced irrigation techniques utilizing sonic and ultrasonic activation further improve the cleaning and disinfection processes, ensuring better outcomes by effectively eliminating bacteria from the canal system. The outcomes of these advanced techniques have shown marked improvement in both the success rates of root canal treatments and patient satisfaction. Studies indicate that the use of biocompatible materials, such as bioceramics for obturation, contributes to better sealing properties and reduced post-operative pain. Furthermore, advancements in the field, such as regenerative endodontics, have opened new avenues for treating non-vital teeth by promoting the regeneration of pulp tissue. Continuous education and training in these new methodologies also play a crucial role in enhancing the skills of dental practitioners, ultimately leading to improved clinical outcomes. Overall, these innovations are transforming root canal therapy, making it more effective and less daunting for patients.

Keywords: Root Canal Treatment, Digital Technology, Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT), Rotary Endodontic Instruments, Irrigation Techniques, Bacterial Elimination, Biocompatible Materials, Regenerative Endodontics, Clinical Outcomes, Patient Satisfaction.

Introduction:

Root canal treatment (RCT) is an essential endodontic procedure aimed at preserving a tooth affected by pulpitis or necrosis, thereby preventing the need for extraction. Over the past few decades, significant advancements in technology, materials, and techniques have revolutionized the practice of root canal therapy, enhancing its efficacy, safety, and predictability. The evolution of RCT encompasses a range of innovations—from improvements in imaging techniques to the introduction of bioceramics, as well as advancements in rotary instruments and disinfection protocols. Each of these developments has profound implications not only for the immediate outcomes of root canal procedures but also for long-term success and patient satisfaction [1].

Historically, root canal therapy, although a routine procedure in dentistry, was often associated with high levels of patient anxiety due to misconceptions about treatment pain and its complexity. Traditionally, the procedure involved manual instrumentation and cumbersome techniques that required a significant investment of time and could lead to complications such as perforation or incomplete cleaning of the root canal system. However, the emergence of new technologies has facilitated a paradigm shift in how dental professionals approach endodontic treatment [2].

One notable advancement is the utilization of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), which provides three-dimensional imaging of the tooth structure. This technology allows for better visualization of complex root canal systems, including variations in canal anatomy that can predispose a tooth to treatment failure. As a result, practitioners can develop more tailored treatment plans, leading to improved outcomes and decreased morbidity during procedures. Alongside imaging advancements, the development and refinement of rotary files have drastically altered the mechanical preparation of the root canal. Rotary instruments made from heat-treated nickel-titanium alloy are now standard in many practices, enabling dentists to achieve efficient canal shaping with increased safety [3].

Moreover, advances in irrigation techniques, especially the incorporation of activated irrigation methods, have transformed the cleaning and disinfection phase of RCT. Traditional irrigation often fell short in effectively removing debris and biofilm from intricate canal systems. With the advent of ultrasonic and laser-assisted irrigation, practitioners can enhance the disinfection process, thereby reducing the risk of post-treatment infections. The role of bioceramic materials in filling the root canal space has also gained traction, as these materials possess superior sealing properties and promote the regeneration of surrounding tissues. These innovations represent a significant leap forward in ensuring the longevity of endodontically treated teeth [4].

In tandem with these technological advancements, clinical studies have begun to provide extensive data underpinning the efficacy of these new techniques and materials. Research indicates that the integration of advanced instrumentation, improved cleaning protocols, and biocompatible filling materials is correlated with enhanced success rates and lower incidences of post-operative complications. Meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials highlight a trend toward more positive patient-reported outcomes, with patients experiencing less postoperative discomfort when contemporary techniques are employed as compared to traditional methodologies [5].

Beyond the clinical implications, these advances contribute to a broader understanding of endodontic treatment within the context of patient-centered care. As dental practitioners increasingly prioritize patient education and emotional support throughout the treatment process, the incorporation of new technologies not only aids in clinical outcomes but also enhances the overall patient experience. The focus on minimally invasive techniques, reduced chair time, and better management of pain is paramount in the modern approach to root canal treatment [6].

Digital Imaging and Diagnosis in Endodontics:

Endodontics, the branch of dentistry concerned with the diagnosis and treatment of dental pulp and the surrounding tissues, has evolved significantly over the years, particularly with the integration of digital imaging technologies. As practitioners seek to enhance diagnostic accuracy, treatment planning, and patient outcomes, digital imaging continues to revolutionize the field. Historically, dental imaging relied heavily on traditional film-based radiography. Although effective, this method had limitations in terms of image clarity, interpretation, and the time-intensive process associated with film development. The advent of digital radiography in the late 20th century brought a substantial improvement to diagnostic capabilities in dentistry. Digital images can be captured, processed, and displayed almost instantaneously, allowing for rapid evaluation and improved patient communication [7].

Digital imaging encompasses various modalities, including digital radiography, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), intraoral cameras, and computer-aided detection systems. Each of these technologies contributes uniquely to the endodontic diagnosis process, providing clinicians with enhanced visualization and information about the anatomic complexities of the tooth and surrounding structures [8].

Digital radiography has become a cornerstone in endodontic diagnosis. This technology employs a digital sensor or a phosphor plate to capture images instead of traditional film. One of the most significant advantages of digital radiography is its reduced radiation exposure for patients. Compared to conventional film radiography, digital images require less than half the amount of radiation to produce an image of comparable quality [9].

Additionally, digital radiographs provide immediate feedback, enabling practitioners to assess images in real-time. Enhanced image manipulation, including adjustment of contrast and brightness, allows for improved visualization of subtle pathologies, such as periapical lesions and root fractures. Moreover, digital images can be easily stored, shared, and integrated into electronic health records, enhancing case documentation and improving interdisciplinary communication [10].

Despite these advantages, digital radiography is not without its limitations. Image analysis can sometimes be complicated by artifacts, and the resolution can be influenced by the type of sensor used. Furthermore, the quality of the diagnostic interpretation depends significantly on the clinician's experience and proficiency with digital imaging technologies [11].

CBCT represents a remarkable advancement in imaging technology, offering three-dimensional visualization of the dental anatomy. This modality provides detailed cross-sectional views that identify anatomy not visible through traditional two-dimensional radiography. In endodontics, CBCT is particularly valuable in evaluating complex root canal systems, detecting fractures, and assessing the extent of pathoses [12].

One of the primary benefits of CBCT is its ability to assist in treatment planning for retreatment cases, surgical interventions, or cases with an atypical anatomy, such as unusual root canal configurations or accessory canals. The precision offered by CBCT improves the clinician's understanding of the three-dimensional relationships between various dental structures, leading to better-informed clinical decisions [12].

However, as with any imaging modality, CBCT comes with considerations. The radiation dose is higher than that of conventional dental imaging, necessitating careful justification for its use. Clinicians must weigh the benefits against the potential risks, ensuring that CBCT is utilized appropriately based on the clinical scenario [13].

Intraoral cameras are a useful tool for improving the diagnostic process in endodontics. These small, handheld devices capture high-resolution images of the intraoral environment, allowing practitioners to visualize the tooth and surrounding tissues from multiple angles. Intraoral photography enhances the assessment of clinical conditions such as caries, fractures, and the health of gingival tissues [13].

The images obtained through intraoral cameras can be an invaluable adjunct during consultations, as they provide patients with a visual understanding of their dental conditions, promoting informed decision-making regarding treatment options. Furthermore, intraoral cameras can aid in monitoring treatment outcomes, allowing practitioners to document and compare pre- and post-treatment conditions effectively [13].

Nevertheless, it is essential to recognize the limitations of intraoral cameras. Although they provide excellent visual documentation, they do not deliver diagnostic information about the internal structures of the tooth, necessitating the complementary use of radiographic imaging [14].

Computer-aided detection (CAD) systems represent a burgeoning field that harnesses artificial intelligence and machine learning to assist in the diagnostic process. These systems analyze digital radiographs to identify potential pathologies, enhancing early detection of conditions such as periapical pathology and root fractures. By automating aspects of image interpretation, CAD systems can augment the dentist's ability to diagnose and treat more accurately [14].

The integration of CAD into clinical practice has the potential to reduce human error, improve diagnostic agreement among practitioners, and ultimately enhance patient care. However, reliance on such systems must be approached with caution. The necessity for a clinician's expertise remains paramount, as CAD should serve as a support tool rather than a replacement for the diagnostic acumen honed through years of training and experience [15].

As the field of endodontics continues to advance, the integration of digital imaging technologies will likely expand. Ongoing innovations in imaging resources, such as enhanced resolution, reduced radiation exposure, and improved image processing techniques, will provide endodontists with even more sophisticated diagnostic capabilities. Additionally, the development of integrated software platforms that combine imaging with electronic health records may streamline case management and enhance collaborative care among dental professionals [16].

Moreover, emerging technologies such as augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) could revolutionize the endodontic landscape by offering immersive visualization experiences that enable more effective treatment planning and better outcomes for patients [16].

Innovative Instrumentation: Rotary Systems and Beyond:

Instrumentation serves as a fundamental aspect of modern technology, enabling the monitoring, measurement, and control of various processes in fields such as engineering, medicine, environmental science, and manufacturing. Among the diverse array of instruments developed over decades, rotary systems have emerged as significant tools due to their versatility and precision [17].

Rotary systems are mechanical devices that produce circular motion, converting energy from one form to another. They can be broken down into various categories such as rotary sensors, actuators, and encoders. Rotary sensors, for instance, measure angular displacement or speed and are pivotal in applications ranging from robotics to aeronautics. Actuators, on the other hand, utilize rotary motion to control mechanisms or systems in automation, while encoders provide feedback on position and speed, essential for navigating complex movements in machinery [17].

One of the innovative breakthroughs in rotary systems is the integration of smart technologies. Smart sensors equipped with Internet of Things (IoT) capabilities allow for real-time data collection and remote monitoring, significantly enhancing efficiency in industrial processes. This offers industries immense flexibility and adaptability, enabling predictive maintenance and immediate fault detection, thereby minimizing downtime and facilitating operational efficiency [18].

The applications of rotary systems are vast and varied, extending across multiple sectors. In manufacturing, for instance, rotary systems play a critical role in CNC (Computer Numerical Control) machines, where precise cutting tools need to rotate with exact accuracy to form parts. In the aerospace sector, rotary systems are integral in the design of aircraft engines, where they measure the rotational speed of turbines to ensure safety and efficiency. Similarly, in the automotive industry, components such as alternators and electric motors rely heavily on rotary measurement systems for optimal performance [18].

In medical instrumentation, rotary systems contribute to the refinement of surgical robotics. These systems allow for high-precision movements that are vital in minimally invasive surgeries, leading to better patient outcomes and reduced recovery times. Additionally, applications in the field of renewable energy, particularly in wind turbines, utilize advanced rotary systems to maximize the conversion of wind energy into electricity [18].

The advantages of employing rotary systems in various applications are manifold. First and foremost, their ability to provide high precision is invaluable in industries where even the smallest errors can lead to significant consequences. Rotary systems are known for their reliability and stability, offering consistent performance over prolonged periods [19].

Moreover, with the advent of digital technologies, rotary systems are becoming increasingly adaptable to changing operational demands. Their integration with automation and IoT not only enhances data accuracy but also facilitates easier data analysis. Such capabilities are instrumental for industries aiming to implement continuous improvement practices, further driving productivity and efficiency [19].

The reduced number of moving parts in rotary systems compared to linear systems can also lead to lower maintenance requirements. This efficiency can translate into cost savings, as fewer parts need replacement over time, reducing operational downtime and improving overall productivity [20].

As technology progresses, the future of instrumentation, particularly rotary systems, promises to be increasingly innovative. The growing trend towards automation and artificial intelligence (AI) suggests that rotary systems will become even smarter. The integration of machine learning algorithms can potentially enhance predictive analytics, allowing these systems to not only monitor performance but also make recommendations for improvements over time [20].

Sustainability is another aspect that is expected to shape the future of rotary systems. With global emphasis placed on environmentally friendly practices, innovations that contribute to the efficiency of energy use will become more pronounced. For instance, advancements in gear reduction technologies may lead to rotary systems that require less energy while delivering higher torque, thereby reducing the carbon footprint of operations [21].

Furthermore, nanotechnology and materials science are expected to play pivotal roles in the evolution of rotary systems. The development of lighter and stronger materials can improve the efficiency and lifespan of these systems, paving the way for applications in unprecedented domains such as miniature robotics and biomedical devices [21].

Enhancements in Irrigation Techniques for Root Canal Disinfection:

Root canal treatment, often considered one of the most intricate and critical procedures in endodontics, aims to remove infected or necrotic pulp tissue, effectively preventing further infection and preserving the integrity of the tooth. A pivotal aspect of this procedure is root canal disinfection, which is traditionally accomplished through mechanical cleaning, but has evolved significantly with advancements in irrigation techniques [22].

The primary goal of root canal therapy is to achieve a sterile environment, free from bacteria and remnants of pulp tissue. While mechanical instrumentation can effectively remove a significant volume of tissue, it often falls short of addressing the biofilm within the complex architecture of root canal systems. Bacteria can reside not only in the primary canal but also in the intricate lateral canals and dentinal tubules, which presents a challenge for achieving thorough disinfection. Herein lies the essential role of irrigation: it aids in flushing out debris, disinfecting the canal, and facilitating the sealing of the root canal system through various irrigants [22].

Historically, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) has been the gold standard for root canal irrigation due to its potent antimicrobial properties and ability to dissolve organic tissue. Initially, irrigation techniques were relatively straightforward, with clinicians using syringe delivery systems to administer NaOCl into the canal. Moreover, studies have shown that improved mechanical cleaning and use of appropriate irrigants can significantly enhance disinfection and reduce the risk of endodontic failure [23].

One of the prominent limitations of traditional irrigation techniques is the inadequate penetration of irrigants into the complexities of the root canal system. The use of a simple syringe often fails to distribute the irrigation solution adequately, especially in curved canals or when significant anatomical variations exist. Consequently, practitioners sought to refine these techniques to maximize efficacy [23].

Advancements in Irrigation Techniques

In light of these challenges, advancements in irrigation techniques have emerged, leading to a more thorough and efficient disinfection process. Some significant improvements include the utilization of specialized delivery systems, the incorporation of advanced irrigants, and the application of novel techniques such as ultrasound and laser-assisted irrigation [23].

1. **Positive Pressure and Negative Pressure Irrigation**: One advancement in the delivery of irrigants involves the use of systems that create positive or negative pressure to improve the penetration of irrigants. Positive pressure irrigation involves using a specialized syringe or pump to deliver the irrigant under pressure, ensuring better flow and reducing the likelihood of debris accumulation within the canal. Conversely, negative pressure systems utilize suction to enhance the removal of infected debris, contributing to improved disinfection outcomes [24].

2. **Ultrasonic Irrigation**: The integration of ultrasonic technology has revolutionized root canal disinfection. Ultrasonic devices utilize high-frequency vibrations to create cavitation bubbles in the irrigant solution, enhancing its ability to penetrate complex canal systems. Current research indicates that ultrasonic irrigation methods can significantly improve debris removal and promote more effective disinfection compared to traditional delivery techniques [24].

3. **Continuous Flow Irrigation Systems**: Continuous flow irrigation systems, which maintain a constant flow of irrigant into the canal, are another promising development. These systems help ensure uninterrupted delivery of disinfectant while simultaneously removing debris. The continuous irrigation process allows for better contact of the irrigant with the canal walls and lateral openings, thereby enhancing the disinfection process [25].

4. **Laser-Assisted Irrigation**: Another remarkable advancement in this field is laser-assisted irrigation, which utilizes lasers to enhance the disinfection of root canals. The application of laser energy creates heat and agitation within the irrigant, resulting in improved penetration and biofilm disruption, ultimately leading to enhanced disinfection efficacy [26].

5. **Biocompatible Irrigants**: Alongside advancements in delivery methods, the formulation of biocompatible irrigants has gained prominence. Researchers have investigated natural substances such as chitosan, green tea extract, and various essential oils, finding them to possess potent antimicrobial properties. Incorporating these irrigants into contemporary practice can help alleviate concerns regarding toxicity while promoting effective disinfection [26].

Current Practices and Challenges

Despite the innovative techniques that have emerged, challenges in implementing these advancements persist. Clinicians must balance the adoption of new technologies with their practicality and costs, as many advanced irrigation systems can be expensive and require specific training. Additionally, the evidence supporting the superiority of certain irrigation methods over others continues to evolve, resulting in variability in clinical practice [27].

Moreover, the intricacies of human anatomy necessitate that dental professionals adapt their technique based on individual cases. The unpredictable nature of root canal morphology, paired with inflammation and infection presence, adds a layer of complexity to disinfection strategies [27].

Looking forward, the future of root canal irrigation and disinfection appears promising. With continued research, the effectiveness and efficiency of disinfection protocols will undoubtedly improve. Greater emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration between dentists, materials scientists, and microbiologists will pave the way for more refined irrigation techniques tailored to specific anatomical characteristics and patient needs [28].

Additionally, advancements in imaging technologies, such as Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT), could assist clinicians in better understanding root canal anatomy, enabling more informed decisions about appropriate irrigation strategies and techniques [29].

Biomaterials in Endodontics: Improving Sealing and Healing:

Endodontics, a specialized branch of dentistry focused on the diagnosis and treatment of diseases of the dental pulp and periapical tissues, has seen remarkable advancements in recent years. The success of endodontic procedures is heavily contingent upon the materials employed throughout treatment, particularly in the context of sealing and healing. Biomaterials—natural or synthetic substances that interact with biological systems—have played a pivotal role in revolutionizing endodontic therapies [30].

Endodontic treatments, commonly known as root canal therapies, aim to eliminate the infection within the tooth pulp, protect the tooth from future microbial invasions, and restore its function. Traditional approaches have relied on various materials for obturation, the process of filling the empty root canal space post-treatment. Historically, materials such as gutta-percha and resilon were favored, but they had limitations in terms of sealing ability and biocompatibility. These challenges underscored the necessity for advanced materials that not only fill the canals but also foster biological healing responses [30].

An ideal endodontic biomaterial should possess four critical properties: biocompatibility, bioactivity, adequate sealing ability, and appropriate mechanical strength. Biocompatibility ensures that materials do not evoke adverse reactions in surrounding tissues, while bioactivity promotes healing by facilitating tissue regeneration or repair. The sealing ability is paramount to prevent microbial invasions, and mechanical strength is vital to withstand the functional forces during mastication [31].

Types of Biomaterials in Endodontics

Several categories of biomaterials have emerged, providing innovative solutions for root canal treatments. These include bioceramics, calcium silicate-based materials, and polymer-based composites [31].

1. **Bioceramics**: Bioceramic materials, such as calcium silicate and mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), are gaining significant attention in endodontics. Their bioactive properties enable them to bond with dentin and stimulate reparative processes. MTA, in particular, has been recognized for its excellent sealing capabilities and osteogenic potential. It promotes the formation of a mineralized tissue layer, effectively facilitating healing at the periapical level [32].

2. **Calcium Silicate-Based Materials**: Beyond MTA, other calcium silicate-based materials have surfaced, such as biodentin and bioaggregate. These materials mimic the composition of natural tooth structure and provide superior sealing properties. Their hydrophilic nature allows them to set in the presence of moisture, making them particularly advantageous in the inherently moist environment of the root canal. Studies have shown that they can significantly enhance the outcome of endodontic treatments [32].

3. **Polymer-Based Composites**: In addition to mineral-based materials, polymer-based composites are being utilized in

endodontics. These materials can offer flexibility and decreased fracture risk while providing sufficient sealing ability. The development of new bioactive glass formulations has also shown promise, as they can release therapeutic ions that may inhibit bacterial growth [33].

Sealing Ability: A Critical Factor for Success

The sealing ability of endodontic materials is critical to preventing the ingress of bacteria and the subsequent failure of treatment. Recent studies have highlighted that biomaterials like MTA exhibit superior sealing performance compared to traditional materials. Moreover, the incorporation of newer materials improved overall sealing efficacy through enhanced hydration and filling capacity, allowing for a hermetic closure of the root canal. Effective sealing not only prevents reinfection but also minimizes the risk of periapical lesions, thus laying the groundwork for successful healing [34].

Healing in endodontics is not merely an absence of disease; it involves the regeneration of the bone and dental pulp. Biomaterials are not only bridging the gap in sealing but also actively promoting the healing process. Calcium silicate-based materials induce the differentiation of stem cells into odontoblast-like cells, fostering new dentin-like tissue formation. Furthermore, they support angiogenesis, which is critical for delivering nutrients and immune responses to the affected area, thus expediting the healing process [35].

Additionally, the antimicrobial properties of certain biomaterials can further enhance healing by reducing the bacterial load within the canal space. These dual functions underscore the importance of selecting materials that do not only fill cavities but also contribute actively to tissue regeneration [36].

Despite significant advancements, challenges remain in the utilization of biomaterials in endodontics. Issues such as material cost, clinical handling, and variability in properties can hinder their widespread adoption. Ongoing research is essential to develop improved formulations that combine the advantageous features of current biomaterials while addressing these challenges. Furthermore, understanding the long-term biological responses to these materials will be critical for their effective application in diverse clinical scenarios [36].

Regenerative Endodontics: A Novel Approach to Non-Vital Teeth:

Endodontics, the branch of dentistry concerned with the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases and injuries of the dental pulp and surrounding tissues, has traditionally relied on techniques such as root canal therapy to manage non-vital teeth. However, recent advancements in regenerative endodontics signal a transformative shift in this field. By focusing on biological repair and regeneration rather than simply eliminating pathogens and filling canals, regenerative endodontics offers innovative solutions for non-vital teeth [37].

Regenerative endodontics is an emerging field that seeks to restore the biological vitality of teeth through the use of living tissues. Unlike conventional endodontic approaches, which typically involve the removal of the infected pulp and the sealing of the root canal space with inert materials, regenerative endodontics emphasizes the regeneration of the pulp-dentin complex. The primary goal of this approach is to enable the tooth to regain its vitality and functional capability [38].

The foundation of regenerative endodontics is based on the principles of tissue engineering and cellular therapy. The process typically involves three integral components: the use of scaffolding materials that provide structural support, the introduction of suitable stem cells that can differentiate into various cell types, and the incorporation of growth factors that promote cellular proliferation and tissue regeneration. When combined, these elements create a conducive environment for the regeneration of the dental pulp and surrounding tissues [39].

Methodologies in Regenerative Endodontics

1. **Biomaterials and Scaffolds:** The selection of appropriate biomaterials is crucial for scaffolding in regenerative endodontics. These materials serve as a temporary matrix for cell attachment and proliferation. Options such as calcium silicate cements and hydrogels have been widely studied for their biocompatibility and ability to promote cellular growth. These scaffolds can also facilitate the controlled release of growth factors, enhancing the regenerative potential of the treatment [39].

2. **Stem Cell Therapy:** Stem cells, particularly those derived from

dental tissues such as dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) and stem cells from apical papilla (SCAP), play a pivotal role in regenerative endodontics. These cells possess the unique ability to differentiate into various cell types, including odontoblasts, which are essential for the regeneration of the pulp-dentin complex. Clinicians often harvest stem cells from the patient's own tissues to minimize the risk of immune rejection and complications associated with allogenic transplantations [40].

3. **Growth Factors:** Growth factors are naturally occurring proteins that stimulate cellular growth and differentiation. In regenerative endodontics, factors such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) are used to enhance stem cell activity and promote vascularity in the newly formed tissue. The application of these factors during the regenerative procedure is critical to achieving optimal outcomes [40].

4. **Bioactive Agents:** In addition to using stem cells and growth factors, biocompatible agents like mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and bioactive glass have been utilized to create a favorable microenvironment for pulp regeneration. These materials not only help in sealing the canal system but also exhibit bioactive properties that facilitate healing and regeneration of dental tissues [40].

Advantages of Regenerative Endodontics

The shift from traditional endodontic practices to regenerative endodontics brings with it a myriad of benefits:

1. **Restoration of Vitality:** One of the most significant advantages is the potential to restore the vitality of a non-vital tooth. By regenerating pulp tissue, patients may be able to retain their natural tooth's function, which is not achieved through conventional root canal treatments [41].

2. **Improved Prognosis:** Teeth treated with regenerative endodontic techniques reportedly have better success rates and long-term outcomes compared to those undergoing conventional treatments. Regeneration of the pulp-dentin complex can enhance the structural integrity of the tooth [41].

3. **Reduction in the Need for Extraction:** The ability to regenerate the dental pulp may significantly decrease the need for tooth extraction, preserving the patient's natural dentition and contributing to overall oral health [41].

4. **Enhanced Patient Comfort:** Regenerative procedures often cause less discomfort and promote a more positive patient experience. The biological healing process may lead to a reduction in post-operative pain and complications linked to traditional endodontic procedures [41].

5. **Potential for Regeneration of Other Tissues:** Research in regenerative endodontics opens the possibility of regenerating not just the pulp but also ancillary structures, such as periodontal tissues, leading to more comprehensive dental treatments in the future [42].

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the promising aspects of regenerative endodontics, there are several challenges that need to be addressed for broader clinical implementation.

1. **Standardization of Protocols:** There is currently a lack of standardized protocols for regenerative endodontic procedures. Variability in techniques and treatment outcomes can lead to inconsistent results, and further research is necessary to develop universally accepted guidelines [43].

2. **Limited Understanding of Biological Processes:** Our understanding of the underlying biological mechanisms involved in pulp regeneration is still evolving. More research is needed to elucidate how stem cells interact with various biomaterials and growth factors to optimize treatment protocols [44].

3. **Regulatory and Ethical Considerations:** The use of stem cells and bioactive materials presents ethical and regulatory challenges that must be navigated to ensure patient safety and compliance with medical standards [44].

4. **Patient-Specific Factors:** Individual patient variables, such as age, systemic health conditions, and the extent of pulp disease, can significantly impact treatment outcomes. Tailoring regenerative approaches to fit each patient's unique situation remains an area of active investigation [45].

Clinical Outcomes: Success Rates and Patient Satisfaction:

Root canal treatment (RCT) is one of the most common and effective dental procedures aimed at treating infected or damaged pulp tissue within a tooth. As a vital component of endodontics, RCT is designed to alleviate pain, eliminate infection, and save natural teeth, thereby avoiding more invasive procedures like tooth extraction. The success of this treatment hinges on several factors, including the technical proficiency of the clinician, the complexity of the tooth's anatomy, and the patient's own health and compliance. Research has demonstrated a generally high success rate for root canal treatments, but a comprehensive evaluation also includes aspects of patient satisfaction, which plays a crucial role in assessing overall clinical outcomes [46].

Success rates for root canal therapy are often reported with figures ranging between 85% and 97%, depending on factors such as the type of tooth being treated, the severity of the infection, and the technical skill of the practitioner. A systematic review published in the journal *Endodontics* indicated that the overall success rate of nonsurgical root canal treatment is approximately 90%. This figure encompasses cases where the treatment leads to the resolution of symptoms, healing of periapical lesions, and the restoration of normal tooth function [46].

The definition of success can vary. Traditionally, it focuses on the absence of clinical symptoms, but it can also include radiographic evidence of healing and patient-reported outcomes. A study conducted by Peters et al. found that teeth with post-operative pain had a success rate of around 83%, whereas those without pain achieved a success rate of nearly 97%. This variation indicates the importance of symptom management in assessing treatment success [47].

Several factors play a critical role in determining the success of root canal treatments. Among these, the complexity of the tooth's root canal system stands out. Molars, with their multiple canals and challenging anatomy, generally have lower success rates (approximately 85%) compared to incisors and canines, which have fewer canals and straightforward configurations [47].

The expertise of the clinician is another significant factor. Studies show that the skill and experience of the dentist can influence outcomes remarkably. A well-trained endodontist, for instance, may have higher success rates due to their specialization and experience in managing difficult cases. Additionally, advancements in technology, such as the use of magnification tools, improved irrigation techniques, and modern filling materials, have significantly enhanced clinical outcomes [48].

Patient-related factors also contribute to success rates. For instance, individuals with compromised immune systems, uncontrolled diabetes, or other systemic health issues may experience lower success rates due to their body's healing capacity. Moreover, adherence to post-treatment care instructions is essential for success; patients who follow up with their dentists and maintain good oral hygiene are more likely to enjoy favorable outcomes [49].

While success rates are a crucial metric, patient satisfaction adds another dimension to evaluating the effectiveness of root canal treatments. This aspect can significantly influence a patient's perception of care quality and their likelihood of seeking future dental treatments. Factors affecting patient satisfaction include the procedural experience, pain management, communication from the provider, and the outcome of the treatment [49].

Studies show that patients often report high satisfaction rates with RCT when they are well-informed and actively involved in their treatment decisions. Communication becomes vital, as patients who understand the procedure, potential risks, and post-treatment care are generally more satisfied with their experience. A survey conducted by the *Journal of Endodontics* indicated that patients who received thorough explanations about the procedure and what to expect afterward reported higher satisfaction levels, even if they experienced some discomfort post-treatment [50].

Pain management is another critical component of patient satisfaction. Effective pain control during and after the procedure contributes significantly to the overall experience. Advances in anesthesia options and post-operative pain management strategies have enhanced patients' comfort levels during and after the process. Research indicates that patients who have minimal pain during the procedure and manageable post-operative discomfort exhibit much higher levels of satisfaction [51].

Furthermore, follow-up visits and the thoroughness of the clinician in addressing any concerns post-treatment have shown to influence satisfaction. A 2021 study published in *Clinical Oral Investigations* emphasized that patients who felt cared for and had their concerns addressed in follow-up visits reported higher satisfaction rates and indicated a greater willingness to recommend the procedure to others [52].

Future Directions in Root Canal Therapy Research and Development:

Root canal therapy (RCT) has been a cornerstone of endodontics for over a century, providing effective treatment for pulpally involved teeth. Traditionally, the objective of RCT has been to remove infected tissue, disinfect the root canal system, and fill the canal to prevent reinfection. However, with advancements in technology, materials, and a deeper understanding of dental pathology, the future of root canal therapy is poised for transformation [53].

One of the most promising areas of research in root canal therapy is the innovation of techniques aimed at improving the efficiency and outcomes of treatment. The evolution from hand instrumentation to rotary and reciprocating systems has significantly enhanced the ability to clean and shape the canal system effectively. Future innovations may further refine these techniques, incorporating smart technologies such as robotics and machine learning [54].

Robotic-assisted endodontic systems are being developed that promise precision and enhanced access to complex canal anatomy. These systems can automate the shaping of root canals, reduce operator fatigue, and minimize the risk of procedural errors. Incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) could also lead to advancements in treatment planning. AI algorithms can analyze radiographs and patient data to predict the complexity of endodontic cases, assisting dentists in tailoring their approach to individual patients [55].

Moreover, the introduction of guided endodontics, where 3D imaging and computer-aided design (CAD) are used to create surgical guides, could facilitate better outcomes for difficult cases. By allowing clinicians to visualize the canal system in three dimensions before performing the procedure, guided endodontics can help ensure precise access, ultimately improving treatment success rates [56].

The materials utilized in root canal therapy have evolved significantly, and ongoing research continues to uncover new possibilities. The development of biocompatible and bioactive materials represents a major advance in endodontic therapy. Traditionally, gutta-percha has been the gold standard for root canal filling; however, emerging materials such as bioceramics are gaining attention due to their superior sealing properties and ability to promote healing [57].

Bioceramics are bioinert and biocompatible, which means they do not elicit adverse reactions in the body. This characteristic allows them to stimulate the regeneration of periapical tissues, thereby promoting better healing outcomes. Ongoing research is focused on the optimization of bioceramic compositions to enhance their physical and chemical properties, including their setting times, flowability, and radiopacity [58].

Furthermore, the advancement of irrigation strategies, which are critical for effective disinfection of the canal system, is another area ripe for innovation. Traditional sodium hypochlorite solutions are effective but have certain drawbacks, including cytotoxicity and lack of ability to dissolve certain types of tissue. The development of novel irrigants, such as nanomaterials and antimicrobial agents, holds great promise in this area. Research into the use of electrochemically activated solutions, which can effectively reduce microbial load without the drawbacks of traditional irrigants, is underway and could redefine disinfection protocols in endodontics [59].

The future of root canal therapy may also embrace regenerative endodontics, a field focused on repairing and regenerating the dental pulp and associated tissues. Significant advancements in stem cell research and biomaterials have opened up new avenues for regenerating the dental pulp, allowing for the potential restoration of vitality to previously non-vital teeth [60].

One of the notable approaches in regenerative endodontics is pulp revascularization, which aims to restore the vitality of a tooth through the regeneration of pulp tissue. Research is examining the use of stem cells derived from various sources, such as dental pulp itself, to facilitate this regeneration. The integration of growth factors and scaffolds in conjunction with these stem cells enhances the prospects for successful pulp regeneration [61].

Additionally, the exploration of injectable biomaterials that can mimic the composition and properties of natural dental pulp is an intriguing area of research. These materials could provide both structural support and promote the growth of new tissue in the canal space, further enhancing the healing processes [62].

As with many aspects of healthcare, a paradigm shift toward patient-centric models is anticipated in root canal therapy. Customizing treatments to meet individual patient needs and preferences is a growing trend in modern dentistry. Research is now focusing on understanding patient psychology, preferences, and anxiety levels associated with RCT, allowing clinicians to foster a more supportive environment for treatment [63].

Emerging tools such as virtual reality and augmented reality could be employed not only for treatment planning but also for patient education. These technologies can help demystify the process of root canal therapy, reduce anxiety, and improve patient compliance. Engaging patients in their treatment decisions through shared decision-making models may lead to better treatment outcomes and satisfaction [64].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the advancements in root canal treatment techniques have fundamentally transformed the landscape of endodontic therapy. By leveraging digital technologies such as cone-beam computed tomography and improving instrumentation with rotary systems, dental professionals can achieve greater precision in diagnosis and treatment, ultimately leading to enhanced patient outcomes. The introduction of innovative irrigation methods and biocompatible materials has significantly improved the disinfection and sealing of root canals, reducing post-treatment complications and enhancing healing. Moreover, the emergence of regenerative endodontics represents a promising frontier, offering new hope for the treatment of non-vital teeth and further expanding the scope of root canal therapy.

As we move forward, ongoing research and development in this field are crucial to continually refine treatment protocols and integrate emerging technologies. The focus on patient-centered care, combined with improved clinical techniques, will not only increase success rates but also enhance the overall patient experience. By embracing these advances, dental practitioners will be better equipped to address the complexities of endodontic cases and ensure long-lasting dental health for their patients. Continued education and collaboration among professionals will further facilitate the integration of these innovations, paving the way for a future where root canal treatment is more effective, efficient, and accessible.

References:

1. Chatzopoulos GS, Koidou VP, Lunos S, Wolff LF. Implant and root canal treatment: survival rates and factors associated with treatment outcome. J Dent. 2018;71:61–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2018.02.005.
2. Huumonen S, Ørstavik D. Radiographic follow-up of periapical status after endodontic treatment of teeth with and without apical periodontitis. Clin Oral Investig. 2013;17:2099–2104. doi: 10.1007/s00784-013-0926-2.
3. Kebke S, Fransson H, Brundin M, Mota de Almeida FJ. Tooth survival following root canal treatment by general dental practitioners in a Swedish county–a 10-year follow-up study of a historical cohort. Int Endod J. 2021;54:5–14. doi: 10.1111/iej.13392.
4. Zahran S, Patel S, Koller G, Mannocci F. The impact of an enhanced infection control protocol on molar root canal treatment outcome–a randomized clinical trial. Int Endod J. 2021;54:1993–2005. doi: 10.1111/iej.13605.
5. Fayad MI, Nair M, Levin MD, et al. AAE and AAOMR joint position statement: use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics 2015 update. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015;120:508–512. doi: 10.1016/j.oooo.2015.07.033.
6. Estrela C, Holland R, Estrela CR, et al. Characterization of successful root canal treatment. Braz Dent J. 2014;25:3–11. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440201302356.
7. Vahdati SA, Torabinejad M, Handysides R, Lozada J. A retrospective comparison of outcome in patients who received both nonsurgical root canal treatment and single-tooth implants. J Endod. 2019;45:99–103. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2018.10.018.
8. Molven O, Halse A, Fristad I, MacDonald-Jankowski D. Periapical changes following root-canal treatment observed 20-27 years postoperatively. Int Endod J. 2002;35:784–790. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2591.2002.00568.x.

9. Cheung GS, Liu CS. A retrospective study of endodontic treatment outcome between nickel-titanium rotary and stainless steel hand filing techniques. J Endod. 2009;35:938–943. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.04.016.
10. Azim AA, Griggs JA, Huang GJ. The Tennessee study: factors affecting treatment outcome and healing time following nonsurgical root canal treatment. Int Endod J. 2016;49:6–16. doi: 10.1111/iej.12429.
11. Makanjuola JO, Umesi DC, Oderinu OH. Treatment outcome in rotary versus manual techniques in single-visit endodontics. J West African Coll Surg. 2018;8:44–75.
12. Del Fabbro M, Afrashtehfar KI, Corbella S, El-Kabbaney A, Perondi I, Taschieri S. In vivo and in vitro effectiveness of rotary nickel-titanium vs manual stainless steel instruments for root canal therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Evid Based Dent Pract. 2018;18:59–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2017.08.001.
13. Lane J, Bonsor S. Survival rates of teeth treated with bacterial photo-dynamic therapy during disinfection of the root canal system. Br Dent J. 2019;226:333–339. doi: 10.1038/s41415-019-0026-z.
14. Mittal R, Singla MG, Garg A, Dhawan A. A comparison of apical bacterial extrusion in manual, ProTaper rotary, and one shape rotary instrumentation techniques. J Endod. 2015;41:2040–2044. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2015.09.002.
15. Otsuka K, Ren X. Physical metallurgy of Ti-Ni-based shape memory alloys. Progr Mat Sci. 2005;50:511–678.
16. Yared G. Canal preparation using only one Ni-Ti rotary instrument: Preliminary observations. Int Endod J. 2008;41:339–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2007.01351.x.
17. Nair MK, Nair UP. Digital and advanced imaging in endodontics: A review. J Endod. 2007;33:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2006.08.013.
18. Metzger Z, Teperovich E, Zary R, Cohen R, Hof R. The self-adjusting file (SAF). Part 1: Respecting the root canal anatomy--a new concept of endodontic files and its implementation. J Endod. 2010;36:679–90. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.12.036.
19. ADA Council on Scientific Affairs. An update on radiographic practices: Information and recommendations. J Am Dent Assoc. 2001;132:234–8. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2001.0161.
20. Thompson SA. An overview of nickel-titanium alloys used in dentistry. Int Endod J. 2000;33:297–310. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2591.2000.00339.x.
21. Peters OA, Schönenberger K, Laib A. Effects of four Ni-Ti preparation techniques on root canal geometry assessed by micro computed tomography. Int Endod J. 2001;34:221–30. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2591.2001.00373.x.
22. Krishan R, Paqué F, Ossareh A, Kishen A, Dao T, Friedman S. Impacts of conservative endodontic cavity on root canal instrumentation efficacy and resistance to fracture assessed in incisors, premolars, and molars. J Endod. 2014;40:1160–6. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2013.12.012.
23. Bird DC, Chambers D, Peters OA. Usage parameters of nickel-titanium rotary instruments: A survey of endodontists in the United States. J Endod. 2009;35:1193–7. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.05.027.
24. Ng YL, Mann V, Gulabivala K. A prospective study of the factors affecting outcomes of non-surgical root canal treatment: Part 2: Tooth survival. Int Endod J. 2011;44:610–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2011.01873.x.
25. Peters OA, Gluskin AK, Weiss RA, Han JT. An in vitro assessment of the physical properties of novel Hyflex nickel-titanium rotary instruments. Int Endod J. 2012;45:1027–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2012.02067.x.
26. Shen Y, Zhou HM, Zheng YF, Peng B, Haapasalo M. Current challenges and concepts of the thermomechanical treatment of nickel-titanium instruments. J Endod. 2013;39:163–72. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2012.11.005.
27. Tyndall DA, Price JB, Tetradis S, Ganz SD, Hildebolt C, Scarfe WC. Position statement of the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology on selection criteria for the use of radiology in dental implantology with emphasis on cone beam computed tomography. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2012;113:817–26. doi: 10.1016/j.oooo.2012.03.005.
28. Reeh ES, Messer HH, Douglas WH. Reduction in tooth stiffness as a result of endodontic and restorative procedures. J Endod. 1989;15:512–6. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(89)80191-8.
29. American Association of Endodontists; American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. Use of cone-beam computed tomography in endodontics Joint Position Statement of the American Association of Endodontists and the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2011;111:234–7. doi: 10.1016/j.tripleo.2010.11.012.
30. Ng YL, Mann V, Gulabivala K. A prospective study of the factors affecting outcomes of nonsurgical root canal treatment: Part 1:

Periapical health. Int Endod J. 2011;44:583–609. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2011.01872.x.

31. Hülsmann M, Peters OA, Dummer PM. Mechanical preparation of root canals: Shaping goals, techniques and means. Endod Topics. 2005;10:30–76.
32. Koch J, Borg J, Mattson A, Olsen K, Bahcall J. An in vitro comparative study of intracanal fluid motion and wall shear stress induced by ultrasonic and polymer rotary finishing files in a simulated root canal model. ISRN Dent. 2012;2012:764041. doi: 10.5402/2012/764041.
33. Ørstavik D, Pitt Ford T. Essentials in Endodontology. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard; 2008. pp. 1–488.
34. Perez-Higueras JJ, Arias A, de la Macorra JC. Cyclic fatigue resistance of K3, K3XF, and twisted file nickel-titanium files under continuous rotation or reciprocating motion. J Endod. 2013;39:1585–8. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2013.07.020.
35. Gill, G., Bhuyan, A., Kalita, C., Das, L., Kataki, R. & Bhuyan, D. (2016) Single versus multi-visit endodontic treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis: an in vivo study with 1-year evaluation. Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research, 6, 19–26.
36. Eyuboglu, T.F., Olcay, K., Erkan, E. & Ozcan, M. (2020) Radiographic and clinical findings of single-visit root canal treatments with apical enlargement in necrotic teeth: a retrospective cohort study. Journal Biomed Research International, 2020, 7912638.
37. Demirci, G.K. & Çalışkan, M.K. (2016) A prospective randomized comparative study of cold lateral condensation versus core/gutta-percha in teeth with periapical lesions. Journal of Endodontics, 42, 206–210.
38. Dorasani, G., Madhusudhana, K. & Chinni, S.K. (2013) Clinical and radiographic evaluation of single-visit and multi-visit endodontic treatment of teeth with periapical pathology: An in vivo study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry, 16, 484.
39. Farzaneh, M., Abitbol, S., Lawrence, H.P. & Friedman, S. (2004) Treatment outcome in endodontics-the Toronto Study. Phase II: initial treatment. Journal of Endodontics, 30, 302–309.
40. Arya, S., Duhan, J., Tewari, S., Sangwan, P., Ghalaut, V. & Aggarwal, S. (2017) Healing of apical periodontitis after nonsurgical treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes. Journal of Endodontics, 43, 1623–1627.
41. Aqrabawi, J. (2006) Outcome of endodontic treatment of teeth filled using lateral condensation versus vertical compaction (Schilder's technique). Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice, 7, 17–24.
42. Chubu, C., Lo, E. & Cheung, G. (2005) Outcome of root canal treatment using Thermafil and cold lateral condensation filling techniques. International Endodontic Journal, 38, 179–185.
43. Chugal, N.M., Clive, J.M. & Spangberg, L.S. (2001) A prognostic model for assessment of the outcome of endodontic treatment: Effect of biologic and diagnostic variables. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology and Endodontics, 91, 342–352.
44. Craveiro, M.A., Fontana, C.E., de Martin, A.S. & da Silveira-Bueno, C.E. (2015) Influence of coronal restoration and root canal filling quality on periapical status: clinical and radiographic evaluation. Journal of Endodontics, 41, 836–840.
45. Friedman, S. (2002) Considerations and concepts of case selection in the management of post-treatment endodontic disease (treatment failure). Endodontic Topics, 1, 54–78.
46. Friedman, S., Abitbol, S. & Lawrence, H.P. (2003) Treatment outcome in endodontics: the Toronto Study. Phase 1: initial treatment. Journal of Endodontics, 29, 787–793.
47. Basmadjian-Charles, C.L., Farge, P., Bourgeois, D.M. & Lebrun, T. (2002) Factors influencing the long-term results of endodontic treatment: a review of the literature. International Dental Journal, 52, 81–86.
48. de Chevigny, C., Dao, T.T., Basrani, B.R., Marquis, V., Farzaneh, M., Abitbol, S. et al. (2008) Treatment outcome in endodontics: the Toronto study—phase 4: initial treatment. Journal of Endodontics, 34, 258–263.
49. Galani, M., Tewari, S., Sangwan, P., Mittal, S., Kumar, V. & Duhan, J. (2017) Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain and success rate after pulpotomy and root canal treatment in cariously exposed mature permanent molars: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Endodontics, 43, 1953–1962.
50. Cholai, D., Laird, N. & DerSimonian, R. (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clinical Trials, 7, 177–188.
51. Cochran, W.G. (1954) Some methods for strengthening the common tests. Biometrics, 10, 417–451.
52. Chybowski, E.A., Glickman, G.N., Patel, Y., Fleury, A., Solomon, E. & He, J. (2018) Clinical outcome of non-surgical root canal treatment using a single-cone technique with Endosequence bioceramic sealer: a retrospective analysis. Journal of Endodontics, 44, 941–945.

53. de-Figueiredo, F.E.D., Lima, L.F., Lima, G.S., Oliveira, L.S., Ribeiro, M.A. & Brito-Junior, M. et al. (2020) Apical periodontitis healing and postoperative pain following endodontic treatment with a reciprocating single-file, single-cone approach: A randomized controlled pragmatic clinical trial. PLoS One, 15, e0227347.
54. Cotton, T.P., Schindler, W.G., Schwartz, S.A., Watson, W.R. & Hargreaves, K.M. (2008) A retrospective study comparing clinical outcomes after obturation with Resilon/Epiphany or Gutta-Percha/Kerr sealer. Journal of Endodontics, 34, 789–797.
55. Mao JJ, Kim SG, Zhou J, Ye L, Cho S, Suzuki T, et al. Regenerative endodontics: Barriers and strategies for clinical translation. Dent Clin North Am. 2012;56:639–49.
56. Huffman BP, Mai S, Pinna L, Weller RN, Primus CM, Gutmann JL, et al. Dislocation resistance of ProRoot Endo Sealer, a calcium silicate-based root canal sealer, from radicular dentine. Int Endod J. 2009;42:34–46.
57. Ørstavik D, Nordahl I, Tibballs JE. Dimensional change following setting of root canal sealer materials. Dent Mater. 2001;17:512–9.
58. Garala M, Kuttler S, Hardigan P, Steiner-Carmi R, Dorn S. A comparison of the minimum canal wall thickness remaining following preparation using two nickel-titanium rotary systems. Int Endod J. 2003;36:636–42.
59. Tay FR, Loushine RJ, Lambrechts P, Weller RN, Pashley DH. Geometric factors affecting dentin bonding in root canals: A theoretical modeling approach. J Endod. 2005;31:584–9.
60. Prati C, Gandolfi MG. Calcium silicate bioactive cements: Biological perspectives and clinical applications. Dent Mater. 2015;31:351–70.
61. Schilder H, Goodman A, Aldrich W. The thermomechanical properties of gutta-percha. Part V. Volume changes in bulk gutta-percha as a function of temperature and its relationship to molecular phase transformation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1985;59:285–96.
62. George R, Chan K, Walsh LJ. Laser-induced agitation and cavitation from proprietary honeycomb tips for endodontic applications. Lasers Med Sci. 2015;30:1203–8.
63. Peters OA, Paque F. Current developments in rotary root canal instrument technology and clinical use: A review. Quintessence Int. 2010;41:479–88.
64. Lussi A, Nussbächer U, Grosrey J. A novel noninstrumented technique for cleansing the root canal system. J Endod. 1993;19:549–53.