
The Impact of Clinical Nurse Specialists on Patient Outcomes in Oncology Settings

Intisar Gharbi Talaq Alanazi ¹, Almutlaq, Ahlam Mohammed T ², Furjah Hulayyil A Alanazi ³, Afrah Mohmeed Atran Alrawili ⁴, Alanazi, Sana Zaid S ⁵, Anoud Atiya Enefsh Alshammri ⁶, Ibtisam Zaid Showbash Alruwaili ⁷, Saleh Sughayyir Mohammed Albalawi ⁸, Marzouqah Saad Albalawi ⁹, Maha Zaid Shawbash Alriwaili ¹⁰

¹⁻ Nursing Specialist, Mansouriya Primary Health Care Center, Arar, Saudi Arabia

²⁻ Nursing Specialist, King Fahd Dahia Health Center, Arar, Saudi Arabia

³⁻ Nursing technician, Turaif General Hospital, Turaif, Saudi Arabia

⁴⁻ Nursing technician, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Arar, Saudi Arabia

⁵⁻ Nursing technician, Al-Qaisumah General Hospital - Al-Qaisumah, Hafar Al-Batin, Saudi Arabia

⁶⁻ Nursing technician, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

⁷⁻ Nursing technician, Turaif General Hospital, Turaif, Saudi Arabia

⁸⁻ Nursing technician, Ministry of Health branch, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

⁹⁻ Nursing, Al-Olaya Health Center, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

¹⁰⁻ Nursing technician, Turaif General Hospital, Turaif, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs) play a crucial role in oncology settings, significantly influencing patient outcomes through their specialized knowledge and care coordination. These advanced practice nurses possess deep expertise in cancer care, enabling them to provide comprehensive assessments, develop personalized care plans, and implement evidence-based interventions. Their involvement in patient education not only empowers patients with necessary information about their diagnosis and treatment options but also promotes adherence to treatment regimens. Studies have shown that the presence of CNSs in oncology leads to improved symptom management, enhanced quality of life, and reduced hospitalizations, ultimately contributing to more favorable clinical outcomes. Furthermore, CNSs serve as vital liaisons between patients, families, and the multidisciplinary healthcare team, ensuring effective communication and holistic care throughout the treatment journey. Their ability to advocate for patient needs and preferences fosters a patient-centered approach, enhancing satisfaction and engagement in care decisions. By addressing complex psychosocial issues and navigating the intricacies of cancer treatment, CNSs reduce patient anxiety and improve coping strategies. As healthcare systems increasingly recognize the value of advanced practice nursing, the integration of CNSs into oncology settings is likely to continue yielding positive impacts on patient outcomes, aligning with the goals of providing high-quality, coordinated, and compassionate cancer care.

Keywords: Clinical Nurse Specialist, oncology, patient outcomes, care coordination, symptom management, patient education, multidisciplinary team, patient-centered care, quality of life, advanced practice nursing.

Introduction:

In recent years, the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare has prompted a closer examination of workforce roles, especially in specialty fields like oncology. Within this context, Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs) have emerged as pivotal figures in improving patient outcomes. These advanced practice nurses possess a nuanced understanding of complex health conditions and play a vital role in patient care, education, research, and advocacy [1].

The prevalence of cancer, as one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, necessitates a multifactorial approach to patient care. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2023, there will be approximately 1.9 million new cancer cases diagnosed in the United States alone. These staggering statistics highlight the pressing need for skilled healthcare professionals to manage complex patient needs, navigate treatments, and ultimately improve outcomes. While the role of physicians in oncology is undoubtedly crucial, the

incorporation of Clinical Nurse Specialists into cancer care teams provides additional layers of support. CNSs are educated at a graduate level and are equipped with clinical expertise that blends nursing practice with clinical knowledge in a specific area, such as oncology. Their advanced training allows them to implement evidence-based practices that ensure optimal patient outcomes [2].

One of the primary objectives of any healthcare provider is the enhancement of patient outcomes, which encompasses a range of factors, including symptom management, treatment adherence, quality of life, and overall survival rates. Research has indicated that the integration of CNSs within oncology settings leads to improved symptom management and reduced adverse effects of treatments. A key aspect of the CNS role involves conducting comprehensive assessments, developing individualized care plans, and providing targeted interventions aimed at alleviating distressing symptoms such as pain, nausea, and fatigue. These interventions not only enhance patients' comfort levels but also bolster their overall engagement with treatment protocols [3].

Moreover, Clinical Nurse Specialists play a critical role in patient education, which is vital in the management of cancer. Knowledge about disease processes, treatment modalities, and self-management strategies empowers patients, enabling them to participate actively in their care. Enhanced communication and education foster stronger patient-provider relationships, leading to improved adherence to treatment regimens and ultimately better health outcomes. According to various studies, oncology patients who receive thorough education and support from CNSs report higher satisfaction levels and exhibit greater resilience in coping with the challenges posed by their diagnosis and treatment [4].

Another dimension of the CNS role involves acting as liaisons between patients, families, and the broader healthcare team. This collaborative approach is particularly crucial in oncology, where multidisciplinary teams often coordinate comprehensive care across various specialties. CNSs help to foster effective communication pathways, ensuring that patient needs are adequately addressed and that all healthcare professionals involved are aligned in their treatment objectives.

The ability of CNSs to facilitate these interactions can lead to reductions in hospital readmissions and emergency room visits, thereby optimizing resource utilization in oncology settings [4].

In addition to direct patient care, Clinical Nurse Specialists are influential in driving research, quality improvement initiatives, and evidence-based practice within oncology. Given the rapidly changing nature of cancer treatments and therapies, CNSs contribute to the accumulation of knowledge that informs clinical practices and policies. Their involvement in clinical trials and their commitment to advancing practice standards lay the groundwork for advancements that ultimately benefit patient populations [5].

While the impact of Clinical Nurse Specialists on patient outcomes in oncology is undeniably positive, there are challenges and barriers to their integration into the healthcare system that must be addressed. Issues such as role recognition, appropriate reimbursement structures, and adequate staffing levels can impede the full realization of their potential contributions. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from healthcare organizations, policymakers, and professional nursing bodies to advocate for the CNS role and ensure that its value is recognized and leveraged for the benefit of cancer patients [6].

Roles and Responsibilities of Clinical Nurse Specialists:

The multifaceted realm of oncology nursing is instrumental in delivering comprehensive and patient-centered care to individuals diagnosed with cancer. Among the pivotal healthcare professionals within this domain are Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNS), who play a significant role in enhancing the quality of cancer care. Their advanced expertise and specialized skills enable them to address the complexities of oncology cases effectively [7].

Clinical Nurse Specialists are advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) who possess extensive knowledge in a specific area of clinical practice. In the context of oncology, CNSs focus on cancer care, utilizing a biopsychosocial approach that considers the physical, emotional, and social aspects of the patient's experience. They are often recognized for their advanced clinical skills, extensive education,

and ability to synthesize complex information related to cancer diagnosis and management [8].

One of the primary responsibilities of a CNS in oncology is providing direct patient care throughout the cancer continuum, from diagnosis to survivorship. This includes conducting comprehensive assessments to evaluate patients' physical symptoms, emotional distress, and psychosocial needs. By employing advanced assessment techniques, CNSs can identify potential complications early, allowing for timely interventions that can improve patient outcomes [8].

CNSs are instrumental in developing individualized care plans tailored to each patient's unique needs. Their expertise in distinguishing between different cancer types, treatment regimens, and available supportive care options enables them to guide patients and their families through the often-complex medical landscape of oncology. Moreover, they educate patients about their condition, treatment choices, and potential side effects, fostering informed decision-making [9].

Moreover, Clinical Nurse Specialists play a crucial role in symptom management. Oncology patients frequently experience a variety of distressing symptoms, such as pain, nausea, fatigue, and psychological issues. With their specialized training, CNSs employ evidence-based interventions to address these symptoms. For instance, they may initiate palliative care measures, recommend pharmacological therapies, or suggest complementary and alternative medicine approaches to enhance patients' overall well-being [10].

Education is a cornerstone of the CNS role in oncology. Beyond patient education, Clinical Nurse Specialists often engage in educating nursing staff and healthcare providers about the latest advances in oncology care. They provide training sessions, workshops, and one-on-one mentorship, equipping their colleagues with essential skills and knowledge that align with best practices in cancer care [10].

CNSs also serve as critical resources for patients and families, providing counseling and support. Navigating a cancer diagnosis can be emotionally taxing, and CNSs utilize therapeutic communication techniques to support patients and families in coping with the stressors associated with the disease. They

help patients develop effective coping strategies and provide resources for emotional and psychological support, including referrals to social workers, psychologists, or support groups [11].

Clinical Nurse Specialists in oncology also engage in research and application of evidence-based practice. They are involved in identifying clinical questions arising in their practice and contributing to the generation of new knowledge. By participating in or leading research studies, CNSs strive to improve clinical outcomes and enhance patient care practices [11].

Additionally, they play an essential role in implementing evidence-based interventions. CNSs evaluate the latest research findings and translate them into clinical practice, ensuring that their patients benefit from the most current and effective treatments. This commitment to ongoing learning exemplifies the CNS's dedication to providing high-quality oncology care [11].

Leadership is an inherent aspect of the Clinical Nurse Specialist role in oncology. CNSs often find themselves in positions where they influence policy and advocate for patient-centered care. They may work within healthcare organizations to develop protocols and standards that enhance the quality of oncology care. Their leadership extends to multifaceted settings, including hospitals, outpatient clinics, and community health resources [11].

Clinical Nurse Specialists are also advocates for patients, ensuring that their voices are heard within the healthcare system. They contribute to efforts to address health disparities by advocating for underserved populations, facilitating access to care, and promoting health education initiatives.

In oncology cases, the complexity of patient care necessitates collaboration among various healthcare professionals. Clinical Nurse Specialists are integral members of multidisciplinary teams and often serve as the central communicators between patients and their providers. They facilitate interprofessional collaboration by coordinating care, attending meetings, and engaging in case discussions, thereby ensuring comprehensive and cohesive care planning [12].

Evidence-Based Interventions and Practices:

In the realm of oncology, the significance of evidence-based interventions and practices is paramount. These approaches are designed to enhance cancer care, improve patient outcomes, and ensure that treatments are grounded in the best available research. Given the complexity of cancer as a disease, which encompasses myriad types, stages, and patient responses, the implementation of evidence-based strategies is crucial in optimizing treatment plans and facilitating positive outcomes [13].

The concept of evidence-based medicine (EBM) emerged in the 1990s, advocating for the integration of clinical expertise, patient values, and the best research evidence when making treatment decisions. Within oncology, the application of EBM involves critically appraising the plethora of studies, clinical trials, and guidelines specific to cancer treatment. The evolution of this domain reflects significant advancements in cancer research, treatment modalities, and understanding of the disease itself [13].

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and other professional organizations contribute to the creation of clinical guidelines based on systematic reviews of the evidence. These guidelines are continuously updated to reflect new findings, ensuring that oncologists deliver the most effective treatments available. By adhering to these evidence-based protocols, healthcare professionals can significantly enhance patient care [14].

Key Evidence-Based Interventions in Oncology

1. **Chemotherapy Protocols:** Chemotherapy remains a cornerstone of oncology, and evidence-based protocols guide its use. The findings from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) influence the selection of chemotherapy agents, schedules, and combinations tailored to specific cancer types. For instance, protocols such as CHOP (Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, and Prednisone) for non-Hodgkin lymphoma have been established based on substantial clinical evidence indicating improved survival outcomes [15].
2. **Targeted Therapy:** The advent of targeted therapies, which focus on specific molecular mechanisms of cancer cells, revolutionized oncology. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors like Erlotinib offer a prime example.

Clinical trials have demonstrated their effectiveness in non-small cell lung cancer patients with specific genetic mutations. The use of biomarkers to guide therapy represents a pivotal shift towards personalized medicine, wherein treatment is tailored to the patient's molecular profile for maximized efficacy [16].

3. **Immunotherapy:** Another landmark development in oncology is the use of immunotherapy, which harnesses the body's immune response to combat cancer. Agents such as immune checkpoint inhibitors have shown significant effectiveness in various cancers, including melanoma and renal cell carcinoma. Evidence from RCTs has established their role in improving survival rates and has led to the approval of these agents for clinical use, validating the shift towards immunotherapeutic strategies [16].
4. **Palliative Care and Symptom Management:** An evidence-based approach in oncology also encompasses palliative care, focusing on quality of life and symptom management. Guidelines recommend early integration of palliative care, which is associated with improved patient outcomes, including reduced symptom burden, enhanced psychological well-being, and sometimes even prolonged survival. The evidence supports that addressing the psychosocial and physical aspects of cancer can significantly affect the patient's experience and overall satisfaction with care [16].
5. **Psychosocial Interventions:** The psychological impact of a cancer diagnosis is profound, making psychosocial support an essential component of comprehensive oncology care. Evidence has shown that interventions such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and support groups can alleviate anxiety, depression, and improve overall quality of life for cancer patients. Clinical trials validate the need for integrated psychosocial support as part of standard oncology practice, reflecting its influence on patient outcomes [17].

Measuring Patient Outcomes

To evaluate the efficacy of evidence-based interventions, it is essential to measure patient outcomes systematically. Outcomes in oncology are multidimensional, encompassing survival rates, quality of life, functional status, and treatment toxicity. Standardized metrics such as Overall

Survival (OS), Progression-Free Survival (PFS), and Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) are routinely utilized in clinical research to assess the efficacy of interventions [17].

Moreover, the incorporation of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) enables patients to communicate their experiences directly, offering valuable insights into the effects of treatment on their daily lives. By integrating PROMs into routine clinical practice, oncologists can adapt treatment strategies to align with patient preferences and experiences, further enhancing personalized care [17].

Despite the clear advantages of evidence-based interventions in oncology, several challenges hinder their widespread implementation. Variability in patient populations, limited access to clinical trials, and differences in interpretations of clinical guidelines can lead to inconsistent application in practice. Additionally, the rapid pace of scientific discovery sometimes outstrips the ability of healthcare systems to incorporate new evidence into established treatment protocols [18].

Healthcare practitioners may also face barriers related to time constraints and resource limitations, which may impede the structured application of evidence-based practices. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing education, interdisciplinary collaboration, and investment in healthcare infrastructure to ensure that the most effective interventions are accessible to all oncology patients [18].

Patient Education and Empowerment Strategies:

Oncology, the branch of medicine that deals with the diagnosis, treatment, and management of cancer, presents unique challenges not only for healthcare providers but also for patients and their families. As medical advancements continue to provide new options for cancer treatment, it is increasingly evident that patient education and empowerment play critical roles in optimizing patient outcomes [19].

Patient education is a systematic approach to inform patients about their medical conditions, treatment options, potential side effects, and self-management strategies. In oncology, where treatment regimens can be complex and daunting, comprehensive education is critical. Understanding the disease and

therapeutic processes helps to demystify the experience of cancer, reduces anxiety, and enhances the patient's ability to make informed decisions about their care [19].

1. Enhancing Knowledge and Understanding

When patients are armed with knowledge about their diagnosis, treatment plans, and the potential outcomes, they are more likely to engage meaningfully with their care. Educational efforts—whether through workshops, brochures, or multimedia resources—should simplify complex medical terminology and break down information into digestible segments. Allowing patients to gain a clear understanding of their cancer type, staging, and available treatment options can motivate them to participate actively in their care [20].

2. Informed Decision-Making

In oncology, treatment decisions often involve weighing different options that may have varying implications for a patient's quality of life. Patient education empowers individuals to participate in shared decision-making processes with their healthcare providers. By discussing the benefits and risks associated with various therapies—such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, immunotherapy, or clinical trials—patients can express their preferences and values, leading to a more tailored approach to care [20].

3. Managing Expectations

Comprehensive education provides patients with realistic expectations about their treatment journeys. Understanding the potential side effects, timelines for treatment progression, and the likelihood of various outcomes can help patients cope with difficulties they may encounter. By preparing patients for the potential challenges, such as fatigue, nausea, or psychological stress, healthcare providers can foster resilience and adaptive coping strategies [20].

Empowering Patients in Oncology

Empowerment is fundamentally about giving power to individuals, enabling them to take control over their health-related decisions. In oncology, empowerment is paramount, as it encourages patients to actively engage in their treatment journey and reinforces their role as partners in their healthcare [21].

1. **Building Confidence Through Knowledge**
Empowerment begins with effective education. When patients understand their diagnosis and treatment options, they can advocate for themselves and make informed choices. This knowledge not only reduces feelings of helplessness but also cultivates a sense of agency. For example, patients may choose to discuss clinical trial options with their doctors or explore integrative therapies alongside their standard treatments [21].

2. **Support Systems**
Developing a comprehensive support system—comprising family, friends, and healthcare professionals—is critical to patient empowerment. Support groups can provide emotional reassurance and allow patients to share experiences and learn from one another. Moreover, incorporating a multi-disciplinary team approach in oncology care—including oncologists, nurses, social workers, and dietitians—ensures that patients have access to various resources, which can reinforce their empowerment and willingness to engage [22].

3. **Utilizing Technology**
The digital age has provided new opportunities for patient empowerment. Telehealth consultations and health management apps allow patients to easily access information and communicate with healthcare professionals. Online platforms, such as social media groups and websites dedicated to cancer support, can also facilitate sharing of information and experiences, thus augmenting traditional educational resources. Additionally, electronic records enable patients to review their health information and treatment plans, fostering a sense of involvement and responsibility in their care [22].

Strategies for Effective Patient Education and Empowerment

To implement effective patient education and empowerment strategies in oncology, a multi-faceted, patient-centered approach is crucial:

1. **Tailored Education Materials**
Educational resources should be tailored to meet the diverse needs of cancer patients. This includes accounting for various literacy levels, languages, and cultural backgrounds. Materials should use simple language, clear visuals, and should address

specific concerns relevant to the patient's diagnosis and treatment [23].

2. **Interactive Learning**
Interactive educational tools—such as workshops, group discussions, or simulations—can foster a more engaging learning environment. Role-playing scenarios or case studies allow patients to practice navigating real-world situations they might face during treatment, promoting confidence and preparedness [23].

3. **Regular Assessments**
Ongoing assessments of patient understanding are vital to ensure that they are grasping the information presented. This can be done through quizzes, verbal questioning, or feedback forms after educational sessions. By continuously gauging comprehension, healthcare providers can address misunderstandings and iterate on their teaching methods [23].

4. **Encouragement of Questions**
Healthcare professionals should create an open environment for patients to ask questions and express their concerns. This can be facilitated during consultations, where physicians can allocate time specifically for discussion. Open communication cultivates trust and encourages patients to seek clarity on any perplexing aspects of their treatment [23].

5. **Self-Management Programs**
Self-management is a key aspect of empowerment. Providing education on lifestyle modifications, diet, exercise, and coping strategies can help patients navigate the complexities of cancer care. Workshops can focus on skills training in areas like pain management, mindfulness, and nutrition, thus enhancing the patient's ability to care for themselves and mitigate treatment-related challenges [24].

Impact on Symptom Management and Quality of Life:

The relationship between symptom management and quality of life is a critical area of inquiry within health care, particularly as it pertains to individuals suffering from chronic illnesses, acute health conditions, or disabilities. Effective symptom management can significantly enhance a patient's overall quality of life, offering a pathway to improved physical functioning, emotional well-being, and social engagement [25].

Symptom management encompasses a range of strategies/procedures designed to minimize physical and emotional discomfort associated with a medical condition. It involves a thorough assessment of symptoms such as pain, fatigue, nausea, anxiety, and depression, which can arise from either the illness itself or the treatment protocols employed. A multidisciplinary approach is often essential for effective symptom management, involving healthcare providers including physicians, nurses, pharmacists, psychologists, and physical therapists [26].

The cornerstone of effective symptom management lies in the development of comprehensive care plans tailored to meet each patient's unique needs. Strategies may include pharmacological interventions—such as pain relievers, antiemetics, or anxiolytics—alongside non-pharmacological therapies like cognitive behavioral therapy, physical rehabilitation, dietary modifications, and mindfulness practices such as meditation and yoga. By addressing symptoms holistically, health care professionals can target not just the biological aspects of illness but also the psychological and social dimensions that are integral to a patient's experience [27].

Research has consistently demonstrated that effective symptom management is associated with improved clinical outcomes. For instance, patients with chronic pain conditions who receive adequate pain management report lower levels of distress and greater satisfaction with their health care. Studies have indicated that untreated pain adversely affects all dimensions of quality of life, leading to decreased productivity, social isolation, and heightened feelings of anxiety and depression [28].

Importantly, symptom management interventions can have a tangible impact on functional status. Patients who are able to manage their fatigue and pain are often more capable of engaging in daily activities, returning to work, and participating in social roles. This functional improvement can reinforce positive emotional states, further enhancing quality of life [28].

Moreover, advancements in technology have contributed to the growth of symptom management strategies. Telehealth services and mobile health applications facilitate continuous monitoring of symptoms, allowing healthcare providers to make

timely interventions based on real-time data. This innovation has proven particularly relevant in managing chronic diseases like diabetes, hypertension, and respiratory conditions, where prompt feedback can prevent symptom exacerbation and hospitalizations [28].

Quality of life (QoL) is a broad, multifaceted concept that encompasses various domains, including physical, emotional, social, and spiritual well-being. While subjective, QoL assessments can offer valuable insights into the effects of health conditions and treatments on an individual's daily life. Various standardized instruments, such as the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) or the EuroQol five-dimensional scale (EQ-5D), are frequently employed in clinical research to quantify QoL [29].

The interplay between symptom management and QoL is evident when examining these assessments. For instance, patients with cancer experiencing effective symptom control often show improved scores in QoL metrics. Similarly, individuals with chronic illnesses who actively engage in symptom management strategies report higher levels of life satisfaction and emotional well-being. The correlation between symptom relief and QoL is well-established, revealing that effective management leads not only to decreased physical discomfort but also to a greater sense of autonomy and self-efficacy [29].

Improving symptom management not only benefits individual patients but also has wider social implications. For families and caregivers, witnessing a loved one in relief from debilitating symptoms can lead to a significant reduction in emotional burden. Caring for someone in pain can provoke feelings of helplessness and distress; however, successful management interventions can foster a more positive and collaborative environment for caregivers and patients alike [30].

Moreover, symptom management can alleviate societal costs associated with chronic illnesses. By improving the quality of life and functional abilities of patients, healthcare systems can decrease the frequency and severity of hospital admissions, thus reducing the economic burden associated with healthcare costs. Moreover, a healthier population is likely to contribute more actively to the workforce, driving economic growth and reducing the reliance on disability benefits [30].

Collaboration within Multidisciplinary Healthcare Teams:

In the complex landscape of modern healthcare, the efficacy of patient care increasingly depends on the collaborative efforts of multidisciplinary healthcare teams. These teams consist of professionals from various fields, including doctors, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and therapists, whose combined expertise is utilized to create comprehensive treatment plans tailored to individual patients [31].

Multidisciplinary healthcare teams are structured to leverage the diverse skills and perspectives of their members to improve patient outcomes. The rationale behind this collaborative model lies in the recognition that health conditions often transcend the expertise of any single discipline. For instance, a patient recovering from surgery may need pain management (provided by anesthesiologists), nutritional guidance (from dietitians), physical rehabilitation (from physical therapists), and psychosocial support (from social workers). Collaboration among these professionals ensures a holistic approach to patient care, which is crucial for addressing interrelated health issues [32].

The benefits of multidisciplinary collaboration are well-documented. Research indicates that patient satisfaction increases when care is coordinated, and health outcomes improve when teams collectively engage in decision-making. For instance, a systematic review found that interdisciplinary care teams significantly reduced hospital readmission rates for chronic diseases. This collaborative approach is particularly vital in managing complex conditions, such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, or mental health issues, where multiple facets of care must be addressed [33].

The primary advantage of multidisciplinary teams is the enhancement of patient-centered care. When professionals from various disciplines collaborate, they bring differing perspectives that lead to more rounded patient assessments. For example, a psychosocial assessment might lead to the identification of barriers in medication adherence, which can then be addressed with the pharmacy team's involvement. This integrative strategy ensures that treatment plans are not only medically sound but also sensitive to the social and psychological contexts of the patient [34].

Furthermore, collaboration fosters innovation in treatment strategies. Team members often share knowledge and insights from their respective fields, creating opportunities for creative problem-solving. For example, a physical therapist might propose a new exercise regimen based on the latest research, while a physician may combine this with an up-to-date medication management plan. Such interactions promote evidence-based practices and continuous improvement in care quality [35].

Another significant benefit is the reduction of medical errors. Effective communication and teamwork among multidisciplinary teams reduce the risk of misunderstanding and oversights, which can lead to clinical errors. When healthcare professionals engage in shared decision-making and regularly communicate about the patient's care, they can mitigate the risk factors associated with fragmented care [36].

Despite the clear benefits, several challenges impede effective collaboration within multidisciplinary healthcare teams. One of the primary obstacles is the variation in professional cultures and communication styles. Each discipline comes with its own set of norms, jargon, and processes, which can cause misunderstandings and impede collaboration. For instance, a physician may prioritize symptoms, while a social worker might focus on the patient's support network, leading to potential friction if their insights are not adequately integrated [37].

Time constraints also pose a significant challenge. Healthcare professionals often operate under tight schedules, which can limit opportunities for team discussions. This fast-paced environment sometimes encourages a silo mentality, where individuals prioritize their tasks over collaborative efforts. The lack of a structured platform for communication can exacerbate these issues, making it difficult for team members to convene and discuss patient care comprehensively [38].

Furthermore, differing levels of experience and expertise can lead to power dynamics within the team. More experienced professionals may dominate discussions, inadvertently sidelining the contributions of less experienced members or those representing essential disciplines. This imbalance can hinder the team's ability to leverage the full breadth of knowledge available [38].

To overcome these challenges, healthcare organizations must prioritize the development of collaborative practice models that foster effective teamwork. One essential strategy is to promote interdisciplinary training. By exposing healthcare professionals to each other's disciplines through workshops, simulations, or joint training sessions, organizations can cultivate mutual respect and understanding. This foundation of familiarity enables team members to communicate more effectively, reducing the risk of misunderstandings [38].

Clear communication protocols should also be established within multidisciplinary teams. Utilizing standardized tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendations) for reporting patient status, can facilitate structured communication, ensuring that all team members have access to the same information. Regular team meetings to discuss patient progress and treatment plans can also foster an environment of collaboration and shared knowledge [39].

Additionally, fostering a culture of inclusivity is crucial. Encouraging all team members to voice their opinions and concerns can create a more equitable environment where diverse perspectives are valued. Leadership within healthcare institutions should model this approach, emphasizing that every role contributes meaningfully to patient care. Collaborative decision-making can be bolstered by shared leadership models that distribute authority among team members, thus preventing a hierarchical atmosphere [39].

Finally, implementing technology to enhance communication and information-sharing can also benefit multidisciplinary teams. Electronic health records (EHRs) that allow access to comprehensive patient information can support shared understanding among team members. Telehealth services can provide additional platforms for remote consultations, promoting collaboration even when team members cannot meet in person [40].

Analyzing Patient Satisfaction and Engagement:

As cancer treatment modalities evolve and expand, understanding patient satisfaction and engagement in oncology has become paramount. Oncology care is not just about treating cancer; it encompasses a holistic approach that considers the emotional,

psychological, and social aspects of patients' lives [41].

The Importance of Patient Satisfaction in Oncology

Patient satisfaction is an essential indicator of the quality of care provided in oncology settings. It refers to how well a patient's expectations regarding their care are met. High levels of patient satisfaction are crucial for several reasons:

1. **Improved Health Outcomes:** Studies have shown that satisfied patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans, attend follow-up appointments, and maintain open lines of communication with their healthcare providers. This adherence can significantly impact health outcomes, such as survival rates and quality of life [41].
2. **Quality of Care:** Patient satisfaction can be a marker of the quality of interactions between patients and healthcare professionals. In oncology, where treatment can be complex and emotionally taxing, ensuring that patients feel heard and respected can enhance the overall quality of care [42].
3. **Healthcare Costs:** Satisfied patients are less likely to seek second opinions or switch providers, potentially reducing fragmentation of care and associated healthcare costs. Additionally, improved satisfaction can lead to fewer complaints and legal actions against healthcare providers [42].

Factors Influencing Patient Satisfaction and Engagement

Given the complexity of cancer care, multiple factors can influence patient satisfaction and engagement:

1. Communication

Effective communication is a cornerstone of patient satisfaction in oncology. Patients desire clear, transparent, and compassionate communication regarding their diagnosis, treatment options, and prognosis. Providers who take the time to educate patients about their conditions are often viewed more favorably. Moreover, the ability to ask questions, express concerns, and receive prompt responses enhances patient engagement [43].

2. Emotional Support

A cancer diagnosis can lead to heightened anxiety and emotional distress. Patients who receive support from oncologists, nurses, social workers, and support groups often report higher satisfaction levels. Healthcare providers who acknowledge these emotional needs and offer resources or referrals to counseling services can significantly impact a patient's experience [43].

3. Treatment Environment

The physical environment of oncology treatment facilities can influence patient satisfaction. Hospitals and clinics that provide a comfortable, welcoming atmosphere, adequate privacy, and access to amenities can enhance the overall patient experience. The integration of supportive care services, such as nutrition counseling and pain management specialists, also contributes to a more satisfactory experience [44].

4. Collaboration in Care

The degree to which patients feel involved in their treatment decisions affects their satisfaction. Collaborative approaches where healthcare providers encourage shared decision-making empower patients and make them feel valued. This engagement can lead to enhanced treatment compliance and overall satisfaction [44].

5. Continuity of Care

Continuity of care, or the idea of having a consistent provider throughout the patient's treatment journey, is vital. When patients encounter multiple providers or frequently change healthcare teams, it can lead to feelings of frustration and dissatisfaction. Consistency allows for better understanding of the patient's individual needs, improving rapport and trust [44].

Measurement of Patient Satisfaction and Engagement

The measurement of patient satisfaction and engagement in oncology can be achieved through various methodologies. Traditional methods include patient satisfaction surveys, which often use standardized instruments like the Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) or disease-specific measures. These surveys encompass questions regarding communication, responsiveness, emotional support, and overall satisfaction [45].

Innovative approaches have also emerged in recent years, such as:

1. **Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs):** PROs capture patients' perspectives on their symptoms, functional status, and quality of life. These measures provide invaluable insights into how the patient perceives their journey through cancer care [45].
2. **Focus Groups and Interviews** Analyzing Oncology Patient Satisfaction and Engagement

In the complex landscape of cancer treatment, the role of patient satisfaction and engagement has garnered significant attention. With the shift toward patient-centered care, understanding how oncology patients perceive their treatment experience is essential for improving outcomes and fostering a supportive environment [45].

Understanding Patient Satisfaction in Oncology

Patient satisfaction in oncology encompasses a multifaceted evaluation of experiences during diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up care. According to the Institute of Medicine, patient satisfaction reflects the degree to which patients feel their expectations regarding care are met. In oncology, satisfaction may not only stem from the technical aspects of care—such as the effectiveness of treatment and the competence of healthcare providers—but also from emotional, psychological, and logistical dimensions [46].

Several studies have identified critical factors influencing patient satisfaction in oncology settings:

1. **Quality of Communication:** Effective communication between oncologists and patients is paramount. Patients appreciate when healthcare providers take the time to explain medical terms, treatment procedures, and potential side effects. A study published in the Journal of Clinical Oncology found that patients who reported higher levels of satisfaction also indicated better overall communication with their care teams [47].
2. **Empathy and Support:** The emotional toll of a cancer diagnosis necessitates empathetic interactions. Patients who feel understood and supported by their healthcare providers often report higher satisfaction levels. Interventions that train oncologists in communication skills and empathetic engagement can significantly enhance patient satisfaction [47].

3. **Access to Care and Resources:** The accessibility of healthcare services, including early appointments, access to specialists, and availability of supportive services (such as nutritional counseling or palliative care), plays a critical role in patient satisfaction. Long wait times and logistical issues can lead to frustration and decreased satisfaction [47].
4. **Decision-Making Involvement:** Engaging patients in their treatment decisions has shown to bolster satisfaction. Shared decision-making models, where healthcare providers offer options and patients express their preferences, create a sense of autonomy and control that is often lacking in oncology patients [47].

Patient Engagement: A Critical Component

Patient engagement moves beyond satisfaction by incorporating active participation in one's healthcare process. It encompasses behaviors, attitudes, and degrees of involvement that patients exhibit within their healthcare journey. Engaged patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans, maintain follow-up appointments, and actively communicate concerns [48].

The dimensions of patient engagement in oncology include:

1. **Education and Awareness:** Providing patients with comprehensive information about their diagnosis, treatment options, and side effects fosters a sense of empowerment. Educational materials, workshops, and patient navigators can help demystify cancer treatment processes, enhancing both understanding and engagement [48].
2. **Utilization of Technology:** The rise of digital health technologies, such as patient portals, telemedicine, and mobile apps, offers innovative ways to enhance engagement. These platforms allow patients to access information, track symptoms, and communicate with healthcare teams conveniently. A study from the Journal of Oncology Practice indicated that digital engagement tools significantly improved both satisfaction and engagement among oncology patients [49].
3. **Support Networks:** Encouraging patients to connect with support groups, whether in-person or online, provides emotional reinforcement. Shared experiences among cancer survivors can offer hope

and insight, contributing to a patient's overall sense of belonging and engagement in their treatment [49].

4. **Feedback Mechanisms:** Engaging patients in providing feedback about their care experience is crucial. Institutions that adopt systematic approaches to gather patient feedback, such as surveys or focus groups, can identify gaps in service delivery and areas for improvement [50].

Implications for Care Delivery

The interrelationship between patient satisfaction and engagement holds significant implications for oncology care delivery. High levels of patient satisfaction are associated with better adherence to treatment protocols, improved health outcomes, and greater likelihood of recommending care providers to others. Conversely, dissatisfaction can lead to disengagement, non-adherence, and negative health outcomes. Understanding these dynamics is essential for healthcare organizations aiming to create a culture of quality care [51].

1. **Policy and Organizational Change:** Tools and frameworks aimed at enhancing patient satisfaction and engagement must be integrated into organizational policies. Healthcare systems should prioritize training for healthcare professionals, engage in continuous improvement processes, and adopt patient-centered care models [52].
2. **Multidisciplinary Approaches:** Collaborating across disciplines—oncologists, nurses, social workers, nutritionists—ensures a holistic approach to patient care. This team-based structure allows for addressing various patient needs, from medical to emotional support, further enhancing satisfaction and engagement [52].
3. **Resource Allocation:** Investing in resources that improve patient experience, such as technological advancements and support services, is crucial for patient engagement. Such investments demonstrate to patients that their care experience is valued and prioritized [53].

Future Directions and Implications for Oncology Nursing Practice:

Oncology nursing is a vital subspecialty within the field of nursing dedicated to the care of patients diagnosed with cancer. This dynamic and ever-evolving domain is continually influenced by advances in medical technology, pharmacology, and

patient-centered care strategies. As we look ahead, several future directions and implications for oncology nursing practice emerge. These include the integration of precision medicine, the importance of enhanced patient education and support, the use of telehealth technologies, and the need for advocacy and policy development to improve care outcomes for patients with cancer [54].

One of the key changes shaping the future of oncology nursing is the shift towards precision medicine. This approach focuses on customizing treatment based on an individual's genetic makeup, lifestyle, and environment, thereby allowing for more targeted therapies. As oncological treatments become increasingly personalized, oncology nurses must acquire a greater understanding of genomic testing, biomarkers, and the implications of these innovations for therapeutic strategies. Oncology nurses will play an essential role in educating patients about genetic testing, interpreting results, and discussing the implications of these findings on treatment plans [55].

Precision medicine not only enhances treatment efficacy but poses challenges for nursing practice: the need for ongoing education, skill acquisition, and adaptability to new protocols. Nurses will need to be proficient in navigating the intricacies of new therapies, clinical trials, and potential side effects associated with targeted treatments, as well as adept at integrating this information into personalized care plans. Continuing education programs and specialized certifications will become increasingly critical in ensuring that nurses can provide high-quality care driven by the latest medical advancements [56].

The role of the oncology nurse goes well beyond clinical care; it encompasses emotional support and patient education throughout the cancer trajectory, from diagnosis to survivorship. The future of oncology nursing will continue to emphasize the significance of effective communication and education strategies. As treatments evolve and new therapies emerge, patients will require updated and comprehensive information about their specific conditions and treatment regimens [57].

Furthermore, the psychosocial aspects of cancer care are critical. Oncology nurses must be equipped to identify signs of distress, anxiety, and depression in their patients and provide resources or referrals to

mental health services when necessary. Developing supportive care programs that include education on coping strategies, nutrition, physical activity, and end-of-life planning will recognize the holistic needs of patients undergoing cancer treatment. With the growth of online resources and educational tools, oncology nurses will be instrumental in helping patients distinguish credible information from misinformation, ensuring that patients make informed choices regarding their care [58].

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of telehealth across the healthcare system, and oncology nursing is no exception. The future of oncology nursing practice will likely see a permanent integration of telehealth technologies which can lead to improved access to care, particularly for patients in remote areas or those with mobility issues [59].

Telehealth can enhance the management of symptoms, adherence to treatment protocols, and patient follow-up. For instance, virtual consultations can be utilized for routine check-ins or discussions about side effects, thereby saving time for both patients and healthcare providers. Oncology nurses will need to develop competencies in utilizing telehealth platforms effectively while also maintaining empathy and rapport with patients in a virtual setting. Training in digital communication and creating engaging virtual support groups may also become important components of nursing education and professional development [60].

As the landscape of oncology care transforms, the role of oncology nursing in advocacy and policy development becomes increasingly paramount. Nurses are often at the forefront of patient care and witness the challenges, barriers, and needs that patients face within the healthcare system. Their insights can significantly influence policy changes aimed at improving care standards and access [61].

In the future, oncology nurses will need to engage actively in advocacy initiatives both at local and national levels. This may involve lobbying for policies that enhance funding for cancer research, eliminate disparities in access to care, and promote the integration of palliative care services across the cancer care continuum. Preparing oncology nurses to take on leadership roles and participate actively in healthcare policy discussions can help ensure that

the voices of patients are heard, and their needs are prioritized [62].

As cancer treatment becomes more complex, the need for comprehensive, team-based approaches to care is paramount. Future directions in oncology nursing will necessitate robust interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together experts from various fields, including medicine, pharmacy, nutrition, and mental health. Oncology nurses will play a crucial role in coordinating care among team members, ensuring that patients receive holistic and continuous support throughout their cancer journey [63].

Implementing collaborative practice models will require nurses to possess strong teamwork, communication, and leadership skills. Additionally, education institutions will need to emphasize collaborative training in their nursing programs, integrating experiences that involve working across disciplines to foster a culture of shared responsibility for patient outcomes [64].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs) are integral to enhancing patient outcomes in oncology settings. Their specialized expertise allows them to provide comprehensive care that addresses both the physical and emotional needs of patients facing cancer diagnoses. Through effective patient education, personalized intervention strategies, and seamless coordination among multidisciplinary teams, CNSs significantly improve symptom management, quality of life, and overall patient satisfaction. By advocating for patients and fostering a supportive environment, they empower individuals to actively engage in their treatment journey, leading to better adherence to therapy and reduced anxiety. As healthcare systems continue to evolve, recognizing and expanding the role of CNSs will be essential in optimizing cancer care and ensuring that patients receive the holistic support they need during this challenging time. Continued research and investment in the development of CNS roles will further enhance their impact, ultimately improving health outcomes and advancing the quality of oncology nursing practice.

References:

- [1] Young A.M., Charalambous A., Owen R.I., Njodzeka B., Oldenmenger W.H., Alqudimat M.R., So W.K.W. Essential oncology nursing care along the cancer continuum. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21:e555–e563. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30612-4.
- [2] Royal College of Nursing. Specialist Nurses Make a Difference. RCN; London, UK: 2015.
- [3] Kilpatrick K., Tchouaket E., Carter N., Bryant-Lukosius D., DiCenso A. Relationship Between Clinical Nurse Specialist Role Implementation, Satisfaction, and Intent to Stay. *Clin. Nurse Spec.* 2016;30:159–166. doi: 10.1097/NUR.0000000000000203.
- [4] O’Sullivan B., Loorham M., Anderson L., Solo I., Kabwe M. Subregional Nurse-Led Oncology Services Supported by an Outreach Oncology Team: Building an Optimal Service Framework. 2019.
- [5] Henry R. The Role of the Cancer Specialist Nurse. *Nurs. Pract.* 2015;24.
- [6] Challinor J.M., Alqudimat M.R., Teixeira T.O.A., Oldenmenger W.H. Oncology nursing workforce: Challenges, solutions, and future strategies. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21:e564–e574. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30605-7.
- [7] Dopelt K., Asna N., Amoyal M., Bashkin O. Nurses and Physicians’ Perceptions Regarding the Role of Oncology Clinical Nurse Specialists in an Exploratory Qualitative Study. *Healthcare.* 2023;11:1831. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11131831.
- [8] Galassi A., Morgan C., Muha C. Making the invisible visible: Oncology nursing efforts of NCI-designated cancer centers in LMICs. *J. Cancer Policy.* 2017;17:34–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jcpo.2017.03.013.
- [9] McCarthy C., Boniol M., Daniels K., Cometto G., Diallo K., Lawani A.D., Campbell J. State of the World’s Nursing 2020: Investing in Education, Jobs, and Leadership. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2020.
- [10] Challinor J.M., Galassi A.L., Al-Ruzzieh M.A., Bigirimana J.B., Buswell L., So W.K., Steinberg A.B., Williams M. Nursing’s Potential to Address the Growing Cancer Burden in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *J. Glob. Oncol.* 2016;2:154–163. doi: 10.1200/JGO.2015.001974.
- [11] International Council of Nurses Guidelines of Advanced Practice Nursing. 2020.

- [12] Hoffmann R.L., Klein S., Connolly M., Rosenzweig M.Q. Oncology nurse practitioner web education resource (ONc-PoWER): An evaluation of a web-enhanced education resource for nurse practitioners who are new to cancer care. *J. Adv. Pract. Oncol.* 2018;9:27–37.
- [13] Farrell C., Molassiotis A., Beaver K., Heaven C. Exploring the scope of oncology specialist nurses' practice in the UK. *Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2011;15:160–166. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2010.07.009.
- [14] Bryant-Lukosius D., Green E., Fitch M., Macartney G., Robb-Blenderman L., McFarlane S., Bosompra K., DiCenso A., Matthews S., Milne H. A survey of oncology advanced practice nurses in Ontario: Profile and predictors of job satisfaction. *Nurs. Leadersh.* 2007;20:50. doi: 10.12927/cjnl.2007.18902.
- [15] Corner J. The role of nurse-led care in cancer management. *Lancet Oncol.* 2003;4:631–636. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(03)01223-3.
- [16] United Kingdom Department of Health. The Cancer Reform Strategy. Department of Health; London, UK: 2007.
- [17] DiCenso A., Martin-Misener R., Bryant-Lukosius D., Bourgeault I., Kilpatrick K., Donald F., Kaasalainen S., Harbman P., Carter N., Kioke S., et al. Advanced practice nursing in Canada: Overview of a decision support synthesis. *Nurs. Leadersh.* 2010;23:15–34. doi: 10.12927/cjnl.2010.22267.
- [18] Komatsu H. Oncology Certified Nurse Specialist in Japan. *Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol.* 2010;40:876–880. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyq139.
- [19] Wall S.S., Rawson K. The Nurse Practitioner Role in Oncology: Advancing Patient Care. *Oncol. Nurs. Forum.* 2016;43:489–496. doi: 10.1188/16.ONF.489-496.
- [20] Bryant-Lukosius D., Carter N., Kilpatrick K., Martin-Misener R., Donald F., Kaasalainen S., Harbman P., Bourgeault I., DiCenso A. The clinical nurse specialist role in Canada. *Nurs. Leadersh.* 2010;23:140–166. doi: 10.12927/cjnl.2010.22273.
- [21] Jones K. Developing a prescribing role for acute care nurses. *Nurs. Manag.* 2009;16:24–28.
- [22] Stahlke-Wall S., Rawson K. The Nurse Practitioner Role in Oncology: Advancing Patient Care. *Oncol. Nurs. Forum.* 2016;43:489–496.
- [23] Andregård A.C., Jangland E. The tortuous journey of introducing the nurse practitioner as a new member of the healthcare team: A meta-synthesis. *Scand. J. Caring Sci.* 2015;29:3–14.
- [24] Alessy S.A., Lüchtenborg M., Rawlinson J., Baker M., Davies E.A. Being assigned a clinical nurse specialist is associated with better experiences of cancer care: English population-based study using the linked National Cancer Patient Experience Survey and Cancer Registration Dataset. *Eur. J. Cancer Care.* 2021;30:e13490.
- [25] Kadmon I., Halag H., Dinur I., Katz A., Zohar H., Damari M., Cohen M., Levin E., Kislev L. Perceptions of Israeli women with breast cancer regarding the role of the Breast Care Nurse throughout all stages of treatment: A multi-center study. *Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2015;19:38–43.
- [26] Balsdon H., Wilkinson S. A trust-wide review of clinical nurse specialists' productivity. *Nurs. Manag.* 2014;21:33–37.
- [27] Newhouse R.P., Stanik-Hutt J., White K.M., Johantgen M., Bass E.B., Zangaro G., Wilson R.F., Fountain L., Steinwachs D.M., Heindel L., et al. Advanced practice nurse outcomes 1990–2008: A systematic review. *Nurs. Econ.* 2011;29:230–251.
- [28] Shkedi A. Words That Try to Touch: Qualitative Research-Theory and Application. Ramot; Tel-Aviv, Israel: 2003. (In Hebrew)
- [29] Henderson V. The concept of nursing. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2006;53:21–34.
- [30] Dopelt K., Bashkin O., Asna N., Davidovitch N. Health locus of control in cancer patient and oncologist decision-making: An exploratory qualitative study. *PLoS ONE.* 2022;17:e0263086.
- [31] De Baetselier E., Dilles T., Batalha L.M., Dijkstra N.E., Fernandes M.I., Filov I., Friedrichs J., Grondahl V.A., Heczkova J., Helgesen A.K., et al. Perspectives of nurses' role in interprofessional pharmaceutical care across 14 European countries: A qualitative study in pharmacists, physicians and nurses. *PLoS ONE.* 2021;16:e0251982.
- [32] Haron Y., Romem A., Greenberger C. The role and function of the palliative care nurse practitioner in Israel. *Int. J. Palliat. Nurs.* 2019;25:186–192.
- [33] Campbell S., Greenwood M., Prior S., Shearer T., Walkem K., Young S., Bywaters D., Walker K.

- Purposive sampling: Complex or simple? Research case examples. *J. Res. Nurs.* 2020;25:652–661.
- [34] Khaklai Z. Personnel in the Health Professions 2020. The Information Department, Israeli Ministry of Health; Jerusalem, Israel: 2021.
- [35] Chun Tie Y., Birks M., Francis K. Grounded theory research: A design framework for novice researchers. *SAGE Open Med.* 2019;7:2050312118822927.
- [36] Israel National Cancer Registry. Cancer Incidence Table. Israeli Ministry of Health; Jerusalem, Israel: 2021.
- [37] Birrell F., Leung H.Y. The Scottish prostate cryotherapy service-the role of the clinical nurse specialist. *Br. J. Nurs.* 2019;28:S12–S16.
- [38] Challinor J.M., Alqudimat M.R., Teixeira T.O.A., Oldenmenger W.H. Oncology nursing workforce: Challenges, solutions, and future strategies. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21:e564–e574.
- [39] Aaron E.M., Andrews C.S. Integration of advanced practice providers into the Israeli healthcare system. *Isr. J. Health Policy Res.* 2016;5:7.
- [40] Sangster-Gormley E., Martin-Misener R., Downe-Wamboldt B., Dicenso A. Factors affecting nurse practitioner role implementation in Canadian practice settings: An integrative review. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2011;67:1178–1190.
- [41] Farrell C., Molassiotis A., Beaver K., Heaven C. Exploring the scope of oncology specialist nurses' practice in the UK. *Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2011;15:160–166.
- [42] Hudson S.V., Chubak J., Coups E.J., Blake-Gumbs L., Jacobsen P.B., Neugut A.I., Buist D.S. Identifying key questions to advance research and practice in cancer survivorship follow-up care: A report from the ASPO Survivorship Interest Group. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2009;18:2152–2154.
- [43] Ream E., Wilson-Barnett J., Faithfull S., Fincham L., Khoo V., Richardson A. Working patterns and perceived contribution of prostate cancer clinical nurse specialists: A mixed method investigation. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2009;46:1345–1354.
- [44] Cook O., McIntyre M., Recoche K., Lee S. "Our nurse is the glue for our team"—Multidisciplinary team members' experiences and perceptions of the gynaecological oncology specialist nurse role. *Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2019;41:7–15.
- [45] DiCenso A., Martin-Misener R., Bryant-Lukosius D., Bourgeault I., Kilpatrick K., Donald F., Kaasalainen S., Harbman P., Carter N., Kioke S., et al. Advanced practice nursing in Canada: Overview of a decision support synthesis. *Nurs. Leadersh.* 2010;23:15–34.
- [46] Albers-Heitner P., Berghmans B., Joore M., Lagro-Janssen T., Severens J., Nieman F., Winkens R. The effects of involving a nurse practitioner in primary care for adult patients with urinary incontinence: The PromoCon study (Promoting Continence). *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2008;8:84.
- [47] Droog E., Armstrong C., MacCurtain S. Supporting patients during their breast cancer journey: The informational role of clinical nurse specialists. *Cancer Nurs.* 2014;37:429–435.
- [48] Monas L., Toren O., Uziely B., Chinitz D. The oncology nurse coordinator: Role perceptions of staff members and nurse coordinators. *Isr. J. Health Policy Res.* 2017;6:66.
- [49] Tong A., Sainsbury P., Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int. J. Qual. Health Care.* 2007;19:349–357.
- [50] Skolarus T.A., Zhang Y., Hollenbeck B.K. Understanding fragmentation of prostate cancer survivorship care: Implications for cost and quality. *Cancer.* 2012;118:2837–2845.
- [51] Brooten D., Youngblut J.M., Kutcher J., Bobo C. Quality and the nursing workforce: APNs, patient outcomes and health care costs. *Nurs. Outlook.* 2004;52:45–52.
- [52] Hudson S.V., Chubak J., Coups E.J., Blake-Gumbs L., Jacobsen P.B., Neugut A.I., Buist D.S. Identifying key questions to advance research and practice in cancer survivorship follow-up care: A report from the ASPO Survivorship Interest Group. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2009;18:2152–2154.
- [53] Bryant-Lukosius D., Green E., Fitch M., Macartney G., Robb-Blenderman L., McFarlane S., Bosompra K., DiCenso A., Matthews S., Milne H. A survey of oncology advanced practice nurses in Ontario: Profile and predictors of job satisfaction. *Nurs. Leadersh.* 2007;20:50–68.

-
- [54] Delamaire M., Lafortune G. Nurses in Advanced Roles: A Description and Evaluation of Experiences in 12 Developed Countries, OECD Health Working Papers, No. 54. OECD Publishing; Paris, France: 2010.
- [55] Aubin M., Giguère A., Martin M., Verreault R., Fitch M.I., Kazanjian A., Carmichael P.-H. Interventions to improve continuity of care in the follow-up of patients with cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2012;7:CD007672.
- [56] Horlait M., De Regge M., Baes S., Eeckloo K., Leys M. Exploring non-physician care professionals' roles in cancer multidisciplinary team meetings: A qualitative study. *PLoS ONE.* 2022;17:e0263611.
- [57] Ness E. The Oncology Clinical Research Nurse Study Co-Ordinator: Past, Present, and Future. *Asia Pac. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2020;7:237–242.
- [58] Crawford-Williams F., Goodwin B., March S., Ireland M.J., Hyde M.K., Chambers S.K., Aitken J.F., Dunn J. Cancer care in regional Australia from the health professional's perspective. *Support. Care Cancer.* 2018;26:
- [59] Tod A.M., Redman J., McDonnell A., Borthwick D., White J. Lung cancer treatment rates and the role of the lung cancer nurse specialist: A qualitative study. *BMJ Open.* 2015;5:e008587. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008587.
- [60] Borland R., Glackin M., Jordan J. How does involvement of a hospice nurse specialist impact on the experience on informal caring in palliative care? Perspectives of middle-aged partners bereaved through cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer Care.* 2014;23:701–711. doi: 10.1111/ecc.12183.
- [61] Balsdon H., Wilkinson S. A trust-wide review of clinical nurse specialists' productivity. *Nurs. Manag.* 2014;21:33–37. doi: 10.7748/nm2014.03.21.1.33.e1186.
- [62] Morgan B., Tarbi E. The Role of the Advanced Practice Nurse in Geriatric Oncology Care. *Semin. Oncol. Nurs.* 2015;32:33–43. doi: 10.1016/j.soncn.2015.11.005.
- [63] Cook O., McIntyre M., Recoche K., Lee S. "Our nurse is the glue for our team"—Multidisciplinary team members' experiences and perceptions of the gynaecological oncology specialist nurse role. *Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2019;41:7–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2019.05.004.
- [64] Kim M.Y. Effects of Oncology Clinical Nurse Specialists' Interventions on Nursing-Sensitive Outcomes in South Korea. *Clin. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 2011;15:E66–E74. doi: 10.1188/11.ONF.E66-E74.