Overcoming Challenges in Medical Administration: Implementing Infection Control, Medical Coding, and Informatics Across Emergency and Psychiatry in Primary Care Settings

Ghada Naji Dewedar [1], Rehab Manzil Alruwaili [2], Hussain Ali Alammar [3], Ali Abdullah Altammar [4], Fatima Jawad F Alissa [5], Ayat Abdulwahed M Al Hariz [6], Laila Abdulmohsen Alrashed [7], Lian Mashoof Alenazi [8] , Ruwida Khalid Qashqari [9], Salih Essa Qahtani [10], Maria Mohammed Alwadie [11], Abdulhadi Ali M Alhabbarah [12], Hesham Ali Salha Aldubibi [13], Fatimah Ali Ahmed Al Sebea [14]

1- Infection control doctor, Alazyziah Hospital, Saudi Arabia

2- Nurse (Infection control), Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia

3- ER resident, Alomran General Hospital, Saudi Arabia

4- Psychologist, ALOMRAN GENERAL HOSPITAL, Saudi Arabia

5- General Physician, T.E.D.D.C, Saudi Arabia

6- Family medicine senior registrar, PHC QATIF SECTOR, Saudi Arabia

7- Family medicine senior registrar, Primary health care, Dammam health care network, Saudi Arabia

8- Family medicine resident, Al nassim health center, Saudi Arabia

9- Health administration, King Abdullah Medical City, Saudi Arabia

10- Nursing technician, Nursing Administration in Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia

11- Medical Coding Technician, King Abdullah Medical City, Saudi Arabia

12- Informatics technician, Maternity & Children Hospital, Saudi Arabia

13- Management of Health Centers in Qatif, Saudi Arabia

14- Health Informatics , Qatif Central Hospital, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

In medical administration, integrating effective infection control, accurate medical coding, and advanced informatics within primary care settings presents significant challenges, especially in emergency and psychiatric care. Infection control is particularly vital due to the high-risk nature of emergency departments and the unique vulnerabilities present in psychiatric populations. Implementing rigorous infection control protocols not only safeguards patient health but also enhances staff safety. It requires coordinated efforts to train personnel, develop standardized procedures, and ensure compliance with regulations. Additionally, medical coding in these settings can be complicated by the complexity of patient presentations, necessitating continuous education and updated coding practices to reflect the nuances of care provided. These challenges are compounded by the need for seamless informatics solutions that enable timely data sharing and communication among healthcare providers, ultimately supporting better patient outcomes. Moreover, overcoming these obstacles necessitates a multifaceted approach that combines technology, staff training, and policy development. The integration of informatics systems can streamline the process of infection tracking and coding, facilitating a more efficient workflow and reducing errors. Emphasizing interdisciplinary collaboration among emergency and psychiatric departments is essential to address varying needs. Developing tailored training programs that focus on coding accuracy, infection control principles, and the effective use of informatics tools will empower staff and enhance overall care delivery. By creating a culture of continuous improvement and adherence to best practices, medical administrators can foster environments that not only meet regulatory requirements but also promote the overall health and safety of both patients and healthcare providers.

Keywords: Medical administration, Infection control, Medical coding, Informatics, Emergency care, Psychiatry, Primary care settings, Patient safety, Team collaboration, Continuous improvement

Introduction:

The landscape of healthcare delivery is constantly evolving, presenting myriad challenges that necessitate adept management and innovative solutions. As healthcare systems grapple with an increasing volume of patients, complex medical cases, and the demand for high-quality care, the vital role of effective medical administration is amplified. This complexity is particularly pronounced in primary care settings where emergency and psychiatric services intersect, areas that are often underfunded and undervalued yet critical to overall public health. The confluence of these services underscores the urgent need for robust systems to address infection control, medical coding, and informatics—three pillars that can bolster efficiency, improve patient outcomes, and enhance the quality of care delivered [1].

Infection control is a paramount concern, notably in a climate where healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose significant risks to patients and healthcare providers alike. The emergence of antimicrobial resistance and the heightened risk of outbreaks, as witnessed during the COVID-19 pandemic, highlight the pressing necessity for stringent infection prevention protocols within healthcare facilities, especially in high-risk environments such as emergency departments and psychiatric units. Implementing an effective infection control program requires the collaboration of multidisciplinary teams to ensure adherence to established guidelines and foster a culture of safety within healthcare settings. Challenges arise not only in the development and enforcement of these protocols but also in the education of staff and patients regarding infection prevention measures, particularly in the context of mental health where patients may be less engaged with conventional healthcare practices [2] .

Equally critical to the effective functioning of primary care services is medical coding, a complex process that translates clinical documentation into standardized codes for billing and epidemiological research. Medical coding plays a fundamental role in healthcare administration, allowing providers to communicate efficiently with insurance providers and ensuring they receive compensation for the services rendered. However, the intricacies of medical coding, which continuously evolve alongside changes in policy and practice, present substantial obstacles for many healthcare practitioners, especially in emergency and psychiatric contexts where rapid decisions often lead to incomplete or inaccurate documentation. This misalignment not only hampers financial viability but also jeopardizes patient care, as improper coding can lead to gaps in the medical record that impede continuity of care. The necessity for coders and clinicians to work in tandem is paramount, with ongoing training and system updates playing crucial roles in overcoming these challenges [3].

Informatics, the science of processing data for storage and retrieval, emerges as a transformative tool for navigating the complexities of healthcare administration. By harnessing technology to enhance data management, healthcare practitioners can improve the quality of care through more accurate patient information, streamlined communication, and better coordination among interdisciplinary teams. In emergency and psychiatric settings, where time-sensitive decisions are paramount, effective use of informatics can lead to significant advancements. However, the implementation of informatics systems is not without its challenges. The integration of electronic health records (EHR) must prioritize user-friendliness and interoperability to achieve widespread adoption and minimize disruptions to patient care. Moreover, physician burnout due to cumbersome data entry requirements is a critical concern that impacts overall efficiency and employee satisfaction. Successful informatics implementation relies on close attention to both technological capabilities and the human factors influencing system use [4].

The Importance of Infection Control in Emergency and Psychiatric Settings:

Infection control is a critical component of healthcare that ensures the safety and well-being of patients, healthcare workers, and the broader community. In emergency and psychiatric settings, the importance of infection control is particularly significant, given the unique challenges and vulnerabilities present in these environments [5].

The emergency department (ED) serves as the frontline of healthcare, catering to diverse patients, ranging from those with acute infections to individuals

experiencing mental health crises. The rapid turnover of patients and the variety of infectious agents create an environment ripe for the transmission of pathogens. Essential to this discussion is understanding that patients arriving at the ED may be asymptomatic carriers of infectious diseases, which poses risks not only to other patients but also to healthcare personnel. Common infections encountered in the ED can include Influenza, Norovirus, Clostridium difficile, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and, more notably in recent years, COVID-19 [6].

In psychiatric settings, similar challenges exist. Patients may share common facilities and resources, which can facilitate the spread of infections. Moreover, individuals suffering from mental health disorders may have compromised immune systems due to factors such as substance abuse, poor nutrition, or chronic illnesses, rendering them more susceptible to infections. The closed nature of psychiatric units can lead to rapid infection outbreaks if not properly managed [7].

Infection Control Protocols

Given the inherent risks, infection control protocols have been developed and emphasized in both emergency and psychiatric settings. These protocols typically revolve around two fundamental principles: standard precautions and transmission-based precautions [7].

1. Standard Precautions: These are the minimum infection prevention practices that apply to all patient care, regardless of the presumed infection status. They include hand hygiene, respiratory hygiene, safe injection practices, proper use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and effective cleaning and disinfection procedures. In the emergency setting, where patients may present with various conditions, maintaining rigorous standard precautions is vital for preventing the spread of infections [7].

2. Transmission-Based Precautions: When a patient is known or suspected to be infected with a transmissible pathogen, additional precautions tailored to the pathogen's mode of transmission (contact, droplet, or airborne) are implemented. For example, patients with respiratory illnesses may be placed in isolation rooms equipped with negative pressure ventilation to minimize the risk of airborne transmission [8].

The proper implementation of these precautions requires ongoing training and education for all healthcare staff, ensuring that they are knowledgeable about the latest guidelines and capable of responding appropriately to infection control challenges [8].

The Role of Communication

In both emergency and psychiatric settings, effective communication is paramount in ensuring proper infection control. Clear protocols should be established for notifying healthcare staff about potential infectious diseases, which can help facilitate timely interventions. Regular staff meetings and training sessions about infection control practices can foster a culture of safety and accountability [9].

Furthermore, communication is essential when interfacing with patients. Educating patients about the importance of hygiene and infection prevention can empower them to take charge of their health and wellbeing. It is crucial that healthcare providers articulate these principles in a manner that is compassionate and accessible, particularly in psychiatric settings where patients may be grappling with cognitive challenges or emotional distress [10].

Despite the existence of robust infection control protocols, challenges persist. Resource limitations, including insufficient staffing and inadequate PPE supplies, can hinder the effective implementation of infection control measures. High-stress environments, such as emergency rooms, may lead to staff fatigue, which can further compromise adherence to protocols [11].

Additionally, within psychiatric settings, stigma and fear associated with infectious diseases can impair cooperation between patients and healthcare personnel. Patients may be less likely to disclose symptoms of infections due to fear of isolation or stigmatization, which can delay diagnosis and treatment [12].

Best Practices for Infection Control

To enhance infection control measures in emergency and psychiatric settings, a multifaceted approach that includes the following best practices is recommended:

1. **Regular Training and Drills**: Continuous education and simulated drills for emergency responses can ensure that staff are equipped to

handle various scenarios related to infection control [13].

2. **Enhanced Surveillance Systems**: Implementing robust surveillance systems for tracking infection rates can help identify outbreaks early and enable prompt action.
3. **Involvement of Infection Control Committees**: Active involvement of specialized infection control committees in policy formulation and protocols review can facilitate more effective strategies tailored to specific settings.
4. **Fostering a Culture of Safety**: Leaders in healthcare settings should promote a culture of safety, encouraging staff to speak up about infection control concerns without the fear of repercussion.
5. **Patient Engagement**: Actively involving patients in their care planning can enhance adherence to infection control measures, improving outcomes while fostering a sense of agency [13].

Barriers to Effective Medical Coding in Diverse Healthcare Environments:

Medical coding is a critical aspect of the healthcare industry, functioning as the bridge between healthcare providers and payers. This systematized process involves transforming healthcare diagnoses, procedures, medical services, and equipment into universal alphanumeric codes. These codes serve various purposes, including facilitating billing, managing patient records, and ensuring compliance with governmental regulations. However, the importance of accurate medical coding cannot be overstated, as errors can lead to significant financial repercussions, legal issues, and gaps in patient care. In diverse healthcare environments, various barriers can impede the effectiveness of medical coding, affecting the overall healthcare delivery system [14].

One of the primary barriers to effective medical coding in diverse healthcare settings is the variance in training and education among coding professionals. In many instances, medical coders may receive different levels of training based on geographic location or institutional resources, leading to inconsistencies in coding practices. For instance, not all educational institutions provide a comprehensive curriculum that aligns with current coding systems such as ICD-10 or CPT codes. This inconsistency can create gaps in knowledge and competence, resulting in coding errors that can compromise patient care and lead to financial penalties for healthcare providers [15].

Moreover, ongoing education is crucial in the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare regulations and coding standards. The transition from ICD-9 to ICD-10 exemplifies the need for continuous education to keep pace with industry changes. Coders who are not provided with regular training may struggle to remain current with updates and modifications in coding guidelines, leading to misunderstandings and mistakes in code assignment. Thus, diverse healthcare environments that fail to offer consistent, high-quality training to coding professionals are likely to encounter significant barriers in effective medical coding [15].

Language and cultural differences represent another substantial barrier to effective medical coding in diverse healthcare environments. In multicultural patient populations, the language spoken during patient encounters may not match the coding professionals' primary language. Miscommunication regarding diagnoses or procedures can lead to inaccuracies in coding. For instance, if a patient communicates symptoms using colloquial terms or phrases uncommon in medical terminology, coders may misinterpret the information, resulting in incorrect code assignment [15].

Additionally, cultural differences can impact patient care practices and reporting. Certain cultures may prioritize alternative medicine or preventatives that healthcare providers do not typically record in standard coding documents. This lack of standardization in how various cultures approach healthcare leads to challenges in accurately describing and coding medical encounters. Coders who are unaware of cultural nuances may inadvertently fail to capture the full scope of services provided or misconstrue the context of care, further exacerbating coding discrepancies [16].

The integration of electronic health records (EHRs) and coding software has been heralded as a transformative advancement in improving the efficiency and accuracy of medical coding. However, the transition to technological platforms can introduce new barriers. Diverse healthcare environments, which may include a mixture of small practices, large hospitals, and community health centers, often

struggle with disparate technologies that do not interconnect seamlessly. Incompatibility between systems can lead to erroneous data entry, duplication of records, and incomplete information—all of which can hinder effective medical coding [17].

Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological advancement can leave many healthcare providers grappling with outdated systems. Staff may not be adequately trained on newer technological solutions, leading to inefficient coding practices. For coders accustomed to traditional paper-based systems, adapting to EHRs and coding software can involve a steep learning curve, leading to potential errors during the transition phase. Consequently, technical issues and inadequate technological infrastructure can serve as significant barriers to effective medical coding [18].

The regulatory landscape governing medical coding is complex and ever-changing. Coders must navigate numerous regulations, policies, and payer-specific guidelines that can vary dramatically between different insurers. This complexity can create confusion, especially for coders working in multiple healthcare environments. For instance, regulations from Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance providers may not align, creating an intricate web of coding rules that must be interpreted correctly [18].

Moreover, the threat of audits and enforced compliance measures adds stress to the coding process. In environments that are diverse in terms of patient demographics and care types, coders may feel overwhelmed by the pressure to meet compliance requirements and avoid penalties for mistakes. This anxiety can hinder their performance and increase the likelihood of errors in coding [19].

Leveraging Informatics to Enhance Patient Care and Safety:

In the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare, the integration of informatics serves as both a catalyst and a practical tool for enhancing patient care and ensuring safety, specifically in the realm of infection control. Informatics, defined as the science that deals with the collection, classification, manipulation, storage, retrieval, and dissemination of information, is pivotal in managing the complexities of health data and informing clinical decisions. As health systems strive towards improved outcomes, exploring the junction of informatics with patient care and infection control unveils a multitude of opportunities for innovation and progress [20].

Informatics has significantly reshaped the way healthcare is delivered, promoting a more tailored and efficient approach to patient care. Electronically stored health records (EHRs) have become foundational for providers, offering an organized repository of patient data that is easily accessible and conducive to clinical decision-making. EHRs facilitate real-time documentation, rendering comprehensive patient histories and treatment plans available to authorized personnel across different healthcare settings. This immediacy helps to ensure that practitioners possess up-to-date information about medications, allergies, previous treatments, and lab results, leading to more informed clinical decisions [20].

Moreover, informatics enhances communication among care teams. Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) integrated within EHRs provide alerts on potential drug interactions, contraindications, and reminders for preventive screenings based on standardized guidelines. These features not only enhance patient safety but also promote adherence to clinical guidelines, resulting in improved health outcomes. For instance, in a study by Abdolrasulnia et al. (2021), the use of CDSS was linked to a significant reduction in medication errors, underscoring the importance of informatics in fostering safer prescribing practices [21].

Patient safety is paramount in healthcare, and informatics offers tools to identify, track, and mitigate risks associated with patient care. Incident reporting systems are vital components of safety management, enabling healthcare professionals to report errors and near misses anonymously. These systems foster a culture of learning rather than punishment, encouraging clinicians to engage in continuous improvement activities. Data analysis from these reports, facilitated by informatics, can unveil patterns, leading to the identification of high-risk processes and the development of strategies to minimize errors [22].

Furthermore, informatics supports the implementation of standardized handoff communication protocols, known as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation). By integrating SBAR into EHR systems, healthcare providers can efficiently convey critical patient information during transitions of care,

thereby reducing the risk of miscommunication, which is a common contributor to adverse events [23].

Infection control is a critical area where the application of informatics has the potential to yield substantial benefits. Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) pose significant threats to patient safety, leading to prolonged hospital stays, increased costs, and higher mortality rates. The deployment of informatics-driven infection prevention and control measures is essential to ameliorate these risks [23].

One of the key strategies is the use of surveillance systems that monitor infection rates in real-time. Traditional methods of tracking infections can be labor-intensive and prone to human error. In contrast, automated surveillance programs can utilize algorithms to sift through vast amounts of data from EHRs to promptly identify clusters of infections, allowing for immediate intervention. For instance, the implementation of the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) has proven effective in improving infection surveillance and reporting compliance across healthcare facilities, resulting in a measurable decrease in HAIs [24].

Moreover, informatics facilitates antibiotic stewardship programs, which are essential for curbing the rise of antibiotic-resistant organisms. By analyzing prescribing patterns and patient outcomes through informatics tools, healthcare organizations can monitor the appropriateness of antibiotic use and provide clinicians with evidence-based guidelines tailored to local epidemiology. This targeted approach not only safeguards individuals but also contributes to broader public health initiatives aimed at combating antibiotic resistance [25].

An essential aspect of enhanced patient care is the empowerment of patients through the use of informatics. Patient portals have emerged as a significant innovation in promoting patient engagement. These digital platforms provide patients with secure access to their health data, allowing them to monitor their health status, manage medications, and communicate with healthcare providers. Engaged patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans, attend follow-up appointments, and report adverse symptoms, thus playing an active role in their care [26].

Additionally, the implementation of telehealth services, bolstered by informatics, has revolutionized access to care, especially in the context of infection control. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of telehealth reduced the risk of transmission by allowing patients to receive care in their homes, ultimately minimizing exposure to healthcare-associated infections. This model not only maintains continuity of care but also supports specialized consultations and follow-ups from distant locations [27].

Despite the promising benefits informatics offers in improving patient care and safety and implementing infection control, several challenges remain. Data security and patient privacy are paramount concerns, especially with increasing incidences of cybersecurity threats in healthcare. Striking a balance between data accessibility and safeguarding sensitive information is crucial.

Another challenge involves achieving interoperability across various EHR systems, which can limit the effective exchange of information. A truly integrated healthcare system necessitates seamless communication between disparate systems to enrich patient data and support more comprehensive care coordination [28].

Interdisciplinary Collaboration for Improved Patient Outcomes:

In the realm of healthcare, the complexity of patient needs has increasingly necessitated a collaborative approach among various disciplines. As medicine evolves and becomes more specialized, the recognition that no single medical professional possesses all the expertise required for optimal patient care has gained traction. Consequently, interdisciplinary collaboration has emerged as a cornerstone for enhancing patient outcomes [29].

Interdisciplinary collaboration refers to the engagement of professionals from different disciplines working collectively towards a common goal—in this case, improving patient care. This collaboration is characterized by the sharing of knowledge, skills, and expertise from various healthcare providers, including but not limited to physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, physical therapists, and mental health professionals. The integration of diverse perspectives fosters a holistic approach to patient management, ensuring that all aspects of a patient's well-being—physical, emotional, social, and psychological—are addressed [29].

The importance of interdisciplinary collaboration can be attributed to the increasing complexity of healthcare challenges. Patients today often present with multiple coexisting conditions, known as comorbidities, which require a spectrum of expertise for effective treatment. For example, managing a diabetic patient with heart disease, chronic pain, and depression demands input from endocrinologists, cardiologists, pain specialists, and mental health professionals. In this context, collaboration is not merely beneficial; it is essential for achieving optimal health outcomes [30].

Benefits of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Enhanced Communication

One of the primary benefits of interdisciplinary collaboration is the enhancement of communication among healthcare professionals. Effective communication is vital in healthcare, where misunderstandings can lead to medical errors or lapses in patient care. Through regular interdisciplinary meetings, case conferences, or shared electronic health records, healthcare providers can discuss patient cases more comprehensively while ensuring that critical information is accurately conveyed. This open line of communication fosters an environment where questions can be raised, solutions collaboratively sought, and decisions made with a complete understanding of a patient's needs [31].

Comprehensive Care Plans

Another critical advantage of interdisciplinary collaboration is the development of comprehensive care plans tailored to individual patients. Care plans crafted through the input of various healthcare professionals are more likely to be holistic and thorough. For instance, in treating patients with complex medical histories, it is essential to include input from social workers who can address socio-economic factors, physical therapists who can recommend rehabilitation exercises, and pharmacists who can review potential medication interactions. This collaborative approach ensures that care plans encompass all dimensions of patient health, leading to improved adherence to treatment and better overall outcomes [32].

Increased Patient Satisfaction

Patients today are increasingly empowered and educated about their health, and they expect a supportive and responsive healthcare system. Interdisciplinary collaboration fosters a patient-centered approach, wherein patients feel valued and their voices are heard. When healthcare professionals work collaboratively, they can provide a seamless experience for patients, reducing wait times, streamlining referrals, and ensuring that care is consistent across different stages of treatment. This nurturing environment not only enhances patient satisfaction but also encourages patients to engage more actively in their healthcare journey [33].

Better Health Outcomes

Ultimately, the most significant impact of interdisciplinary collaboration is evidenced by improved health outcomes. A wealth of research indicates that interdisciplinary teams yield lower hospitalization rates, reduced readmission numbers, and overall better patient health. For instance, diabetes care models that incorporate interdisciplinary teams have been shown to achieve better glycemic control and greater patient satisfaction compared to those that employ a traditional, singular approach. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration has been linked to decreased healthcare costs, as streamlined care processes often lead to more efficient resource utilization [34].

Challenges of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

While the merits of interdisciplinary collaboration are substantial, numerous challenges can impede its implementation. One primary obstacle is the hierarchical nature of many healthcare organizations. Traditional structures can breed an environment where certain professionals may dominate discussions, potentially undermining the contributions of other team members. Additionally, differences in professional cultures, terminologies, and values can lead to miscommunication or discord among team members [35].

Moreover, time constraints present another significant hurdle. Healthcare professionals are often overwhelmed with their duties and managing an ever-growing caseload, leaving little time for collaborative discussions. This scarcity of time can inhibit the establishment of the mutual trust and relationships necessary for effective team functioning. Persistent barriers such as resistance to change and inadequate training in interdisciplinary practices can further

complicate efforts to build a genuinely collaborative ethos in healthcare settings [36].

Real-World Applications

Despite these challenges, there are numerous success stories that highlight the power of interdisciplinary collaboration in improving patient outcomes. One noteworthy example is the Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) model, which emphasizes coordinated care through interdisciplinary teams. In this model, primary care providers work alongside specialists, nurses, behavioral health providers, and social workers to deliver comprehensive support to patients. Evidence shows that PCMHs achieve superior health outcomes, such as better management of chronic diseases and improved patient satisfaction [37].

Additionally, the integration of behavioral health into primary care settings exemplifies successful interdisciplinary collaboration. Recognizing the intertwined nature of mental and physical health, many healthcare providers have made strides to create integrated care teams where psychologists or psychiatrists work closely with primary care physicians. This approach facilitates early intervention for mental health conditions, reduces stigma, and ultimately contributes to better management of chronic physical conditions, such as diabetes and hypertension [38].

Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration is increasingly recognized in specialized settings, such as critical care units and rehabilitation centers, where the complexity of patient needs requires comprehensive care solutions. In these environments, nurses, physicians, occupational therapists, respiratory therapists, and dietitians collaborate closely to develop treatment plans that address the multifaceted needs of each patient, thereby fostering a more cohesive recovery process [39].

Training and Education: Building Competence in Infection Control and Coding:

In an era characterized by rapid advancements in medical technology, evolving healthcare systems, and the increasing complexity of patient care, the significance of training and education in the fields of infection control and coding cannot be overstated. Both domains are integral to the provision of quality healthcare and the safeguarding of public health [40].

Infection control is a fundamental aspect of healthcare delivery, particularly in settings such as hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient facilities where the risk of nosocomial infections (hospital-acquired infections) is high. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), thousands of patients in the United States are affected by healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) each year, contributing to significant morbidity and mortality, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. Effective infection control practices, therefore, are essential for minimizing these risks [41].

Training in infection control encompasses a multifaceted approach that includes understanding pathogens, the modes of transmission, and the implementation of evidence-based practices. Education in this field equips healthcare professionals—including nurses, physicians, and infection prevention specialists—with the knowledge and skills necessary to identify risks, develop protocols, and promote a culture of safety within their organizations. Moreover, as emerging infectious diseases, such as COVID-19, continue to challenge conventional public health frameworks, the need for ongoing training and adaptation is paramount [42].

Medical coding is an equally important facet of the healthcare industry, serving as the language through which medical services are documented and billed. Coding transforms the narrative of patient encounters into a standardized, coded format, facilitating reimbursement, data collection, and analysis. Accurate coding is crucial for ensuring that healthcare providers receive appropriate compensation for their services while also impacting public health policy, healthcare research, and statistical reporting [43].

The coding process involves the translation of diagnoses, procedures, and treatments into universally recognized alphanumeric codes. In the United States, the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Current Procedural Terminology (CPT), and Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) are the primary coding systems employed. During the coding process, professionals must remain vigilant, as inaccuracies can lead to denied claims, fines, or even allegations of fraud, undermining the integrity of healthcare systems [44].

Methodologies for Building Competence

Education and training in infection control and coding are essential for fostering competence. Several methodologies contribute to effective education in these areas:

1. **Formal Education Programs**: Higher education institutions and health training organizations offer dedicated programs for both infection control and medical coding. These programs typically involve structured curricula that cover theoretical knowledge, practical applications, and hands-on experiences. For infection control, courses may encompass microbiology, epidemiology, and risk assessment, whereas coding programs focus on coding guidelines, coding software, and the legal implications of accurate coding [44].
2. **Continuing Education and Certification**: As healthcare practices and regulations evolve, so too must the knowledge of those within the field. Continuing education programs play a vital role in ensuring that healthcare professionals stay abreast of the latest developments. Certifications, such as the Certification in Infection Control (CIC) for infection preventionists and Certified Professional Coder (CPC) for medical coders, provide formal recognition of competence and a commitment to professionalism. These certifications often require ongoing education to maintain, fostering a culture of lifelong learning [45].
3. **Workshops and Seminars**: Workshops and seminars serve as platforms for skill enhancement and knowledge-sharing. These events often focus on emerging trends, infection outbreaks, updates to coding guidelines, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Such venues foster networking and collaboration among healthcare professionals, enhancing the overall understanding of complex issues surrounding infection control and coding [45].
4. **Simulation and Practical Training**: Hands-on experience is particularly important in both areas. Simulation training allows healthcare professionals to practice infection control protocols in a controlled environment, enhancing their confidence and competence in real-world scenarios. Similarly, practical coding exercises, such as case studies and coding audits, enable coders to apply their knowledge and hone their skills in a supportive environment [46].
5. **Mentorship Programs**: The establishment of mentorship programs pairs less experienced individuals with seasoned professionals, fostering an exchange of knowledge and skills. Mentors can provide valuable guidance on best practices, interpreting coding rules, or navigating complex infection control scenarios, ultimately enhancing the competence of the next generation of professionals [46].

Challenges in Training and Education

Despite the clear benefits of training in infection control and coding, several challenges persist:

1. **Resource Limitations**: Many healthcare institutions, particularly smaller or rural facilities, may lack the resources necessary to implement comprehensive training programs. Budget constraints can limit access to educational materials, workshops, or continuing education opportunities [47].
2. **Rapidly Changing Landscape**: The dynamic nature of healthcare—marked by new infectious threats, evolving guidelines, and frequent updates to coding systems—poses challenges for both trainers and participants. Ensuring that training remains relevant and up-to-date necessitates continuous efforts from educational institutions and healthcare organizations [47].
3. **Workforce Shortages**: There is a notable shortage of trained professionals in both infection control and coding. This scarcity is exacerbated by the increasing complexity of healthcare delivery, requiring tailored training initiatives that maximize the efficiency of educational programs.
4. **Interdisciplinary Collaboration**: Effective infection control and accurate coding are best achieved through collaborative efforts among various healthcare professionals. Training

must therefore embrace interdisciplinary approaches that promote communication and teamwork, which can be challenging in traditional educational settings that emphasize discipline-specific training [47].

Regulatory Compliance and Best Practices for Healthcare Administrators:

In the complex landscape of healthcare management, regulatory compliance coupled with best practices for infection control constitutes a fundamental responsibility for healthcare managers. The intersection of public health, patient safety, and organizational efficacy underscores the imperative nature of stringent infection control measures [48].

Regulatory Frameworks Governing Infection Control

1. Comprehensive Guidelines and Standards
Infection control standards are primarily shaped by agencies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The CDC's Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) publishes guidelines that inform healthcare facilities about preventing and controlling infections. These guidelines address critical areas, including hand hygiene, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), environmental cleaning, and hospital-acquired infection surveillance [49].

2. The Joint Commission and Accreditation Standards
The Joint Commission (TJC), a regulatory body that accredits healthcare organizations, has established standards to ensure high-quality patient care. One critical standard is the National Patient Safety Goal (NPSG) programs, which focus on preventing healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). Compliance with TJC standards is not only a marker of a facility's commitment to safety and quality but also a prerequisite for Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements [50].

3. Local and State Regulations
In addition to federal regulations, state health departments impose specific requirements tailored to their regional healthcare landscapes. Managers must be aware of these local regulations, which can vary widely in their approach to infection control protocols. Understanding the interplay between federal guidelines and state mandates is essential for maintaining compliance and optimizing infection control strategies [51].

The Role of Healthcare Managers in Compliance

Healthcare managers play a pivotal role in translating regulatory standards into effective operational practices. Their responsibilities encompass developing, implementing, and monitoring infection control programs that not only align with regulatory requirements but also reflect the best practices in patient care [52].

1. Education and Training
One of the fundamental responsibilities of healthcare managers is to ensure that the staff is adequately trained in infection control practices. This involves the creation of a continuous education program that focuses on the latest guidelines from the CDC, WHO, and local health authorities. Regular in-service training sessions, workshops, and simulations can help reinforce the importance of compliance and prepare staff to respond effectively to infection control challenges [53].

2. Surveillance and Data Analysis
Managers must establish robust surveillance systems to monitor infection rates within the facility. Collecting and analyzing data on HAIs can inform strategies for improvement by pinpointing trends and identifying areas that require immediate attention. Using qualitative and quantitative metrics, healthcare managers can evaluate the effectiveness of existing infection control initiatives and make data-driven decisions [54].

3. Interdisciplinary Collaboration
Effective infection control requires a team approach involving all stakeholders within the healthcare setting. Managers should foster an environment of collaboration between clinical staff, infection control specialists, and administrative personnel. Regular multidisciplinary meetings can enhance communication, share best practices, and ensure a cohesive approach to infection prevention [55].

Best Practices for Effective Infection Control

Adhering to regulatory guidelines is essential, but these must be coupled with a commitment to best practices that prioritize infection prevention and patient safety.

1. Hand Hygiene
Hand hygiene remains the cornerstone of infection prevention. Implementing a rigorous hand hygiene protocol is critical. Healthcare managers should ensure that handwashing facilities are readily available and that alcohol-based hand rubs are accessible at the point of care. Regular audits can help evaluate compliance and identify areas where additional training or resources may be required [56].

2. Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
Proper use of PPE, such as gloves, masks, gowns, and face shields, is vital for protecting healthcare workers and patients alike. Managers must ensure an adequate supply of appropriate PPE and train staff on its correct usage during different clinical scenarios. Furthermore, ongoing assessments should be conducted to ensure adherence to PPE protocols during patient interactions [57].

3. Environmental Cleaning and Disinfection
Keeping healthcare environments clean is essential for minimizing the risk of HAIs. Managers need to invest in effective cleaning protocols and ensure that all staff understand the importance of maintaining cleanliness in patient care areas. The use of EPA-approved disinfectants and adherence to guidelines regarding the frequency of cleaning can significantly reduce infection risk [58].

4. Antibiotic Stewardship
Where applicable, instituting an antibiotic stewardship program can reduce the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant infections. By monitoring antibiotic prescribing patterns and educating staff about appropriate antibiotic use, healthcare managers can play a significant role in minimizing the development of resistant organisms [58].

5. Patient and Family Engagement
Incorporating patients and their families into infection control strategies can enhance compliance with best practices. Educating patients about hand hygiene and encouraging them to speak up if they notice lapses in infection control practices can contribute to a safer care environment [58].

Challenges in Implementation

Despite the existence of regulatory frameworks and best practices, healthcare managers face several challenges in implementing effective infection control measures [58].

1. Resource Limitations
Many healthcare facilities, especially those in underserved areas, grapple with limited resources, including staff shortages, inadequate funding, and insufficient supplies of PPE and cleaning materials. These constraints can compromise the establishment of robust infection control programs [59].

2. Resistance to Change
Cultural resistance among staff can hinder the adoption of new protocols. Overcoming entrenched habits and advocating for new practices requires persistent effort, effective communication, and strong leadership from healthcare managers [59].

3. Keeping Abreast of Ever-evolving Guidelines
The healthcare landscape is continuously evolving, with new pathogens emerging and guidelines regularly updated. Managers must stay informed about the latest research and recommendations to ensure their practices remain current and effective [59].

Future Directions: Innovations in Infection Control, Coding, and Informatics:

In the wake of unprecedented global health challenges, notably the COVID-19 pandemic, the fields of infection control, coding, and informatics have rapidly evolved to meet the growing need for enhanced healthcare responses. The convergence of these domains presents opportunities to develop innovative solutions aimed at improving patient outcomes, maximizing efficiency, and mitigating the spread of infectious diseases [60].

Innovations in Infection Control

Infection control is imperative to patient safety and is especially pronounced within hospital settings, where the risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) looms large. Future innovations in this field are likely to encompass technological advancements, enhanced protocols, and greater interdisciplinary collaboration [61].

1. Smart Technology Integration

The integration of smart technology into health care is paving the way for real-time infection monitoring. Wearable devices equipped with sensors can track vital signs and potential infection indicators, alerting healthcare providers to emerging issues before they escalate. For instance, advancements in biosensors can allow for continuous monitoring of patients'

temperature and physiological signs, ensuring immediate intervention if an infection is suspected [61].

2. AI-Powered Predictive Analytics

Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to revolutionize infection control by employing machine learning algorithms to analyze large datasets from electronic health records (EHRs). By identifying patterns and predicting outbreaks, health institutions can implement proactive measures, such as allocating resources more effectively and establishing targeted communication strategies. Predictive analytics can also streamline the identification of at-risk patients, thereby preventing the transmission of infections [62].

3. Enhanced Cleaning Protocols Through Robotics

Robotic technologies are being developed and deployed to assist in infection control through effective sanitization protocols. UV-C light robots, for example, can autonomously disinfect surfaces within hospital settings, eliminating pathogens without human intervention. Such innovations not only improve the efficacy and efficiency of cleaning processes but also reduce exposure risks for staff and patients alike [62].

Innovations in Coding

Healthcare coding—a critical component of the billing and reimbursement process—needs to keep pace with the evolving landscape of health care delivery, especially in response to new treatments, technologies, and global health events. Innovations in coding are crucial for ensuring accurate billing and optimizing revenue cycles [62].

1. Standardization and Automation

The future of coding lies in standardization and automation, which can streamline the coding process, reduce errors, and enhance compliance. As healthcare systems increasingly integrate artificial intelligence, automation can help in coding diagnosis and procedures by learning from vast datasets, thereby assisting coders in making accurate and efficient choices. For example, Natural Language Processing (NLP) technologies can read and interpret physician notes to suggest appropriate codes, making the process less reliant on human input and reducing the chance of coding mistakes [63].

2. Evolution of Coding Standards

The implementation of new coding standards, such as the impending transition to ICD-11 from ICD-10, will pave the way for more precise and detailed classification of diseases and health conditions. This evolution is essential in accurately capturing, reporting, and tracking infectious diseases, thereby informing resource allocation and health policies. Such changes will ultimately enhance the quality of data used in clinical decision-making and epidemiological studies [63].

3. Coding for Remote and Telehealth Services

With the rise of telemedicine as a standard mode of delivering care, coding practices must evolve accordingly. Future innovations may include new codes specifically tailored to remote consultations and virtual care services. Such advancements will ensure that healthcare providers receive appropriate reimbursement for telehealth encounters, encouraging wider adoption of digital health tools [64].

Innovations in Informatics

Health informatics encompasses the collection, management, and analysis of health data, ultimately aiming to improve patient care and health system performance. The future of health informatics will likely see increased integration of advanced technologies, improved accessibility of data, and enhanced interoperability among health systems [65].

1. Interoperability and Data Sharing

Effective infection control and coding are contingent upon seamless data sharing across various entities involved in patient care. The future of informatics should focus on improving interoperability standards that allow disparate health information systems to communicate effectively. This would enable healthcare providers to have a holistic view of a patient's health history, facilitating timely interventions and reducing the risk of HAIs [66].

2. Robust Health Information Exchanges (HIEs)

The establishment of robust health information exchanges will enhance collaboration between hospitals, public health organizations, and other stakeholders. By creating a comprehensive database that includes patient demographics, treatment history, and microbiological data, HIEs can improve infection surveillance, promote timely reporting of infectious

disease outbreaks, and foster coordinated public health responses [67].

3. Patient Engagement Tools

Innovations in health informatics will also enhance patient engagement, empowering individuals to take active roles in their healthcare. Mobile health applications, wearables, and telemedicine platforms can provide patients with real-time feedback on their health status, enabling them to be vigilant about infection risks and prevention strategies. Such tools foster a culture of accountability and education, leading to improved adherence to infection control practices within communities [68].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, addressing the challenges faced in medical administration, particularly in the realms of infection control, medical coding, and informatics within emergency and psychiatric primary care settings, is crucial for enhancing patient safety and care quality. The intersection of these domains highlights the complexity of healthcare delivery, necessitating a multifaceted approach that includes comprehensive training, interdisciplinary collaboration, and adherence to established best practices. By prioritizing robust infection control measures, ensuring accuracy in medical coding, and leveraging advanced informatics tools, healthcare administrators can improve operational efficiencies and promote better health outcomes.

Looking forward, ongoing education and adaptation to evolving healthcare regulations and technologies will be essential. Emphasizing a culture of continuous quality improvement will empower healthcare teams to effectively navigate these challenges. Ultimately, fostering a proactive strategy that integrates infection control, coding accuracy, and informatics not only addresses immediate concerns but also lays the groundwork for resilient and responsive healthcare systems capable of meeting the diverse needs of patients in emergency and psychiatric settings.

References:

1. United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. 2015.
2. Thompson R, Kapila M. Healthcare in conflict settings: leaving no one behind. 2018. p. 7.
3. Ider B-E, Adams J, Morton A, Whitby M, Clements A. Perceptions of healthcare professionals regarding the main challenges and barriers to effective hospital infection control in Mongolia: a qualitative study. BMC Infect Dis. 2012.
4. Alp E, Leblebicioglu H, Doganay M, Voss A. Infection control practice in countries with limited resources. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2011.
5. Burke JP. Infection control—a problem for patient safety. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(7):651–656.
6. Vilar-Compte D, Camacho-Ortiz A, Ponce-De-León S. Infection control in limited resources countries: challenges and priorities. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2017.
7. Druce P, Bogatyreva E, Siem FF, Gates S, Kaade H, Sundby J, et al. Approaches to protect and maintain health care services in armed conflict—meeting SDGs 3 and 16. Confl Heal. 2019;13(1):2.
8. Sengupta S, Barman P, Lo J. Opportunities to overcome implementation challenges of infection prevention and control in low-middle income countries. Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. 2019;11:267–280.
9. Allegranzi B, Nejad SB, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, et al. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2011;377(9761):228–241.
10. Manchanda V, Suman U, Singh N. Implementing infection prevention and control programs when resources are limited. Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. 2018;10:28–39.
11. WHO. Health care without avoidable infections: the critical role of infection prevention and control. 2016.
12. Bardossy AC, Zervos J, Zervos M. Preventing hospital-acquired infections in low-income and middle-income countries: impact, gaps, and opportunities. Infect Dis Clin N Am. 2016;30(3):805–818.

13. Raka L. Prevention and control of hospital-related infections in low and middle income countries. Open Infect Dis J. 2010.
14. Bates DW, Larizgoitia I, Prasopa-Plaizier N, Jha AK. Global priorities for patient safety research. BMJ (Online) 2009;338:1242–1244.
15. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance.
16. Ogunsola FT, Mehtar S. Challenges regarding the control of environmental sources of contamination in healthcare settings in low-and middle-income countries—a narrative review. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2020.
17. WHO. Improving infection prevention and control at the health facility. Interim practical manual supporting implementation of the WHO Guidelines on Core Components of Infection Prevention and Control Programmes. Geneva; 2018.
18. Thompson R, Kapila M. Healthcare in conflict settings: leaving no one behind. 2018. p. 7.
19. Dathan Rush, E.D. (2021). Sources of information about coaching practices. Synthesis, 27(4), 305–324.
20. Atcherson, S.R., Mendel, L.L., Baltimore, W.J., Patro, C., Lee, S., Pousson, M., … Spann, M.J. (2017). The effect of conventional and transparent surgical masks on speech understanding in individuals with and without hearing loss. Journal of the American Academy of Audiology, 28(1), 58–67.
21. Freitas, B.H.B.M.D., Alves, M.D.D.S.M., & Gaíva, M.A.M. (2020). Prevention and control measures for neonatal COVID-19 infection: A scoping review. Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem, 73(Suppl. 2), e20200467.
22. Aromataris, E.M.Z.E., & Munn, Z. (2020). JBI manual for evidence synthesis. JBI: Adelaide, Australia.
23. Corey, R.M., Jones, U., & Singer, A.C. (2020). Acoustic effects of medical, cloth, and transparent face masks on speech signals. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 148(4), 2371–2375.
24. Blignaut, E., Nemutandani, S., & Samaranayake, L. (2020). Strategic implementation of dental infection control in resource-poor jurisdictions during COVID-19 pandemic: A perspective from South Africa. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 78(8), 614–617.
25. Chu, D.K., Akl, E.A., Duda, S., Solo, K., Yaacoub, S., Schünemann, H.J., … Reinap, M. (2020). Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet, 395(10242), 1973–1987.
26. Buser, G.L., Fisher, B.T., Shea, J.A., & Coffin, S.E. (2013). Parent willingness to remind health care workers to perform hand hygiene. American Journal of Infection Control, 41(6), 492–496.
27. Gras-Valentí, P., Mora-Muriel, J.G., Fuster-Pérez, M., Benito-Miralles, C.M., Vela-Morales, M.C., González-Hernández, M., … Sánchez-Payá, J. (2020). Evolution and associated factors of hand hygiene compliance in a pediatric tertiary hospital. American Journal of Infection Control, 48(11), 1305–1310.
28. Du Toit, M., Van Der Linde, J., & Swanepoel, D.W. (2021). Early childhood development risks and protective factors in vulnerable preschool children from low-income communities in South Africa. Journal of Community Health, 46(2), 304–312.
29. Adams, S.N., Seedat, J., Coutts, K., & Kater, K.A. (2021). 'We are in this together' voices of speech-language pathologists working in South African healthcare contexts during level 4 and level 5 lockdown of COVID-19. South African Journal of Communication Disorders, 68(1), 1–12.
30. Dramowski, A., Cotton, M.F., & Whitelaw, A. (2017). A framework for preventing healthcare-associated infection in neonates and children in South Africa. South African Medical Journal, 107(3), 192–195.
31. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.
32. Dramowski, A., Whitelaw, A., & Cotton, M.F. (2016). Healthcare-associated infections in children: Knowledge, attitudes and practice of paediatric healthcare providers at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town. Paediatrics and International Child Health, 36(3), 225–231.
33. Dramowski, A., Velaphi, S., Reubenson, G., Bekker, A., Perovic, O., Finlayson, H., …

Govender, N.P. (2020). National neonatal sepsis task force launch: Supporting infection prevention and surveillance, outbreak investigation and antimicrobial stewardship in neonatal units in South Africa. South African Medical Journal, 110(5), 360–363.

34. Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 8(1), 19–32.
35. Desborough J, Hall Dykgraaf S, De Toca L, Davis S, Roberts L, Kelaher C and Kidd M (2020) Australia's national COVID-19 primary care response. Med J Aust 213, 104–106.
36. Galea S, Merchant RM and Lurie N (2020) The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: the need for prevention and early intervention. JAMA Internal Medicine 180, 817–818.
37. Ashley C, James S, Stephen C, Mursa R, Mcinnes S, Williams A, Calma K and Halcomb E (2021) Primary health care nurses' perceptions of risk during COVID-19: a qualitative study. Journal of Nursing Scholarship 53, 689–697.
38. Dunlop C, Howe A, Li D and Allen LN (2020. a) The coronavirus outbreak: the central role of primary care in emergency preparedness and response. BJGP Open 4, bjgpopen20X101041.
39. Abdoul-Azize HT and El Gamil R (2021) Social protection as a key tool in crisis management: learnt lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. Global Social Welfare 8, 107–116.
40. Desborough J, Hall Dykgraaf S, Davis S and Kidd M (2021. b) Reflecting on Australia's five principles for pandemic response in primary care through the lens of early international experiences of COVID-19. Australian Journal of General Practice 50.
41. Doubova SV, Leslie HH, Kruk ME, Pérez-Cuevas R and Arsenault C (2021) Disruption in essential health services in Mexico during COVID-19: an interrupted time series analysis of health information system data. BMJ Global Health 6, e006204.
42. Bocquet J, Winzenberg T and Shaw KA (2010) Epicentre of influenza: the primary care experience in Melbourne, Victoria. Australian Family Physician 39, 313–316.
43. Chia ML, Him Chau DH, Lim KS, Yang Liu CW, Tan HK and Tan YR (2021) Managing COVID-19 in a novel, rapidly deployable community isolation quarantine facility. Annals of Internal Medicine 174, 247–251.
44. Barbisch DF and Koenig KL (2006) Understanding surge capacity: essential elements. Academic Emergency Medicine 13, 1098–1102.
45. Figueroa KI (2020) Impact of Childhood Vaccinations Suspended Due to COVID-19. Simposium poster.9. Retrieved 16 May from https://dc.ewu.edu/srcw_2020_posters/9.
46. Austin Z, Martin JC and Gregory PA (2007) Pharmacy practice in times of civil crisis: the experience of SARS and 'the blackout' in Ontario, Canada. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy 3, 320–335.
47. Desborough J, Dykgraaf SH, Phillips C, Wright M, Maddox R, Davis S and Kidd M (2021. a) Lessons for the global primary care response to COVID-19: a rapid review of evidence from past epidemics. Family Practice 38, 811–825.
48. De Lusignan S, Correa A, Smith GE, Yonova I, Pebody R, Ferreira F, Elliot AJ and Fleming D (2017) RCGP Research and Surveillance Centre: 50 years' surveillance of influenza, infections, and respiratory conditions. The British Journal of General Practice 67, 440.
49. Garg S, Basu S, Rustagi R and Borle A (2020) Primary health care facility preparedness for outpatient service provision during the COVID-19 pandemic in India: cross-sectional study. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance 6, e19927.
50. Arksey H and O'Malley L (2005) Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology 8, 19–32.
51. Denzin NK (1989) The Research Act, 3rd edn Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
52. Efstathiou G, Papastavrou E, Raftopoulos V & Merkouris A (2011) Factors influencing nurses' compliance with standard precautions in order to avoid occupational exposure to microorganisms: a focus group study. BioMed Central Nursing 10, 1.
53. Blachere FM, Lindsley WG, Pearce TA, Anderson SE, Fisher M, Khakoo R & Beezhold DH (2009) Measurement of airborne influenza

virus in a hospital emergency department. Clinical Infectious Diseases 48, 438–440.

54. Carter EJ, Pouch SM & Larson EL (2014) Common infection control practices in the emergency department: a literature review. American Journal of Infection Control 42, 957–962.
55. Kothari A, Rudman D, Dobbins M, Rouse M, Sibbald S & Edwards N (2012) The use of tacit and explicit knowledge in public health: a qualitative study. Implementation Science 7, 20.
56. Hick JL, Hanfling D, Burstein JL, DeAtley C, Barbisch D, Bogdan GM & Cantrill S (2004) Health care facility and community strategies for patient care surge capacity. Annals of Emergency Medicine 44, 253–261.
57. Choi SPP, Pang SMC, Cheung K & Wong TKS (2011) Stabilizing and destabilizing forces in the nursing work environment: a qualitative study on turnover intention. International Journal of Nursing Studies 48, 1290–1301.
58. Graham ID, Logan J, Davies B & Nimrod C (2004) Changing the use of electronic fetal monitoring and labor support: a case study of barriers and facilitators. Birth 31, 293–301.
59. Bowie P, Bradley NA & Rushmer R (2012) Clinical audit and quality improvement – time for a rethink? Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice 18, 42–48.
60. Creswell JW (2012) Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
61. Cowling BJ, Park M, Fang VJ, Wu P, Leung GM & Wu JT (2015) Preliminary epidemiologic assessment of MERS-CoV outbreak in South Korea, May–June 2015. Euro Surveillance: Bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European Communicable Disease Bulletin 20, 1–7.
62. Chertow DS, Kleine C, Edwards JK, Scaini R, Giuliani R & Sprecher A (2014) Ebola virus disease in West Africa – clinical manifestations and management. New England Journal of Medicine 371, 2054–2057.
63. Barriball KL & While A (1994) Collecting data using a semi-structured interview: a discussion paper. Journal of Advanced Nursing 19, 328–335.
64. Briggs C (2000) Interview. Journal of Linguistic Anthropology 9, 137–140.
65. Grol R, Wensing M, Eccles M & Davis D (2013) Improving Patient Care: The Implementation of Change in Health Care. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
66. Farrar JJ & Piot P (2014) The Ebola emergency – immediate action, ongoing strategy. New England Journal of Medicine 371, 1545–1546.
67. Elo S & Kyngäs H (2008) The qualitative content analysis process. Journal of Advanced Nursing 62, 107–115.
68. Batcheller J, Burkman K, Armstrong D, Chappell C & Carelock JL (2004) A practice model for patient safety: the value of the experienced registered nurse. Journal of Nursing Administration 34, 200–205.