Creating a Comprehensive Health Care Model: Integrating Nursing, Radiology, and Health Informatics for Optimal Patient Outcomes

Nasreen Ahmed M Alqasem [1], Aishah Ali A Almoathen [2], Nazer Moayad M Alsalman [3], Salma Omar Aljayzani [4], Zainab Yousef Alkhalifah [5], Hussain Nasser Alhammad [6], Amal Ahmad Saeed Aldarweesh [7], Yousef Mohammed Al Ghannam [8] , Hassan Ali Alkhalaf [9], Duaa Hasan Albutyan [10], Huda Salman Alsalman [11], Ruqayyah Mousa Alghanim [12], Najah Mohammed Aldawood [13],

1- Nurse Technician , Qatif Central, Saudi Arabia
2- Nurse Technician, Alhizam Alzahabi Health Center, Alkhobar, Saudi Arabia
3- Health Information Technician , Alayon Hospital, Alahsa, Saudi Arabia
4- Health Information Technician , King Abdullah Medical ,City Makkah, Saudi Arabia
5- Health Informatics , Hereditary Blood Disease Center, Saudi Arabia
6- Operating Room Technician , Abqaiq General Hospital, Saudi Arabia
7- X-ray Technician , Qatif Central Hospital, Saudi Arabia
8- Nurse Specialist, Clinical Excellence , Erada Complex and Mental Health ,Dammam, Saudi Arabia
9- Technician , Public Health , King Faisal General Hospital, Saudi Arabia
10- Nurse Specialist , Prince Saud bin Jalawi Hospital, Saudi Arabia
11- Nurse , HBDC, Saudi Arabia
12- Nurse , HBDC, Saudi Arabia
13- Najah Mohammed Aldawood - Nurse , Alkhobar PHC, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Creating a comprehensive health care model that effectively integrates nursing, radiology, and health informatics is essential for fostering optimal patient outcomes. This model emphasizes the importance of collaboration among various healthcare disciplines to provide holistic care. Nurses serve as the primary caregivers, delivering direct patient care and coordinating communication among different healthcare providers. Radiologists play a critical role in diagnostic imaging, ensuring that accurate information is available for clinical decision-making. Health informatics enhances this integration by leveraging electronic health records, telehealth, and data analytics to streamline workflows, improve efficiency, and support better clinical outcomes. By fostering an interconnected approach, patients benefit from a more coordinated care experience, which ultimately leads to enhanced safety, satisfaction, and health results. Incorporating advanced technology into this comprehensive model also ensures that healthcare professionals have access to real-time data and analytics, enabling them to make informed decisions promptly. Training and education across these disciplines are paramount to the success of this integrated approach, as it empowers healthcare workers to utilize the latest tools and techniques effectively. Furthermore, establishing clear protocols and communication pathways among nursing, radiology, and informatics teams ensures that patient information is shared efficiently and accurately. When these key components work harmoniously, the healthcare system can achieve a higher level of care that is patient-centered, focused on preventive measures, and adept at addressing the complexities of individual health needs.

Keywords: Comprehensive healthcare model, nursing, radiology, health informatics, patient outcomes, interdisciplinary collaboration, diagnostic imaging, electronic health records, telehealth, data analytics, coordinated care, training and education, patient-centered care, preventive measures.

Introduction:

The landscape of health care is continuously evolving, characterized by rapid advancements in technology, increasing patient complexity, and a growing emphasis on patient-centered care. In this dynamic environment, developing a comprehensive

health care model is crucial to address the multifaceted needs of patients and optimize outcomes. One promising approach incorporates the integration of nursing, radiology, and health informatics—three critical components of modern health care that, when synergistically combined, can significantly enhance the quality of care provided to patients. This essay serves to introduce the importance of creating a cohesive health care model that leverages the unique strengths of these disciplines to improve patient outcomes systematically [1].

Nursing is often described as the backbone of health care, encompassing a wide range of responsibilities, including patient assessment, education, care coordination, and direct patient care. Nurses serve not only as caregivers but also as advocates for patients, ensuring their voices are heard in the health care process. The integration of nursing into a comprehensive health care model provides the necessary human touch, emphasizing empathy, communication, and holistic care. With their extensive training in patient care and health promotion, nurses play a pivotal role in bridging gaps within the health care system, fostering collaboration with other health care professionals, including radiologists [2].

Radiology, characterized by the use of imaging technologies such as X-rays, MRIs, CT scans, and ultrasounds, offers critical insights into patient diagnoses and treatment planning. The imaging results assist clinicians in identifying diseases at their earliest stages, leading to better management strategies and, ultimately, improved patient outcomes. However, the full potential of radiology is realized only when its findings are effectively communicated and integrated into the overall patient care strategy. This highlights the necessity of collaboration between radiologists and nursing professionals, creating an environment where imaging results can be discussed promptly and effectively in the context of the patient's overall health status [3].

Health informatics is a multidisciplinary field that integrates information technology with health care to improve patient outcomes. Through the effective use of health information systems, data analytics, and electronic health records (EHRs), health informatics transforms the way health data is collected, shared, and utilized. By streamlining communication among health care providers and facilitating access to accurate patient information, health informatics dramatically enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of care delivery. The infusion of informatics into nursing and radiology not only improves workflow processes but also supports evidence-based decision-making, ultimately contributing to better patient outcomes [4].

The integration of nursing, radiology, and health informatics creates a robust framework through which health care can be delivered more effectively. This comprehensive health care model operates on the principles of collaboration, communication, and continuity of care. For instance, nurses can leverage health informatics tools to ensure that radiologic findings are integrated into patients' care plans seamlessly. They can utilize EHRs to access imaging results, interpret them in conjunction with patient histories, and monitor response to treatments. Such collaborative practices can lead to timely interventions, improved adherence to treatment protocols, and enhanced patient satisfaction [5].

Moreover, this integrated approach empowers health care providers to engage in quality improvement initiatives by analyzing data from various sources. Health informatics can help identify patterns in patient outcomes related to specific interventions, thus revealing opportunities for refining care delivery strategies. By involving nursing professionals in the interpretation of the data and forming interdisciplinary teams that include radiologists, health care organizations can develop protocols that are not only evidence-based but also reflective of the holistic needs of the patient population they serve [6].

The Role of Nursing in Integrated Health Care Delivery:

In recent decades, the landscape of health care has undergone transformative changes characterized by a shift from traditional, fragmented care to a more integrated approach that emphasizes holistic patient management. Integrated health care delivery systems aim to provide seamless, coordinated care that meets the diverse needs of patients, fostering collaboration across various health sectors and disciplines. In this context, nursing has emerged as

a pivotal force in ensuring the effectiveness and efficiency of integrated health care delivery. This essay explores the multifaceted role of nursing within this paradigm, highlighting the contributions of nurses in patient care, care coordination, education, advocacy, and leadership [7].

Integrated health care delivery systems are designed to address the complexities of patient needs by promoting collaboration among health care providers, including primary care doctors, specialists, nurses, pharmacists, and social workers. The approach seeks to unify services, minimize barriers to access, and reduce redundancies in patient care. By fostering communication and collaboration, integrated systems aim not only to improve health outcomes but also to enhance patient satisfaction and reduce health care costs [8].

Nursing stands as a cornerstone of this integrated approach, given the profession's emphasis on holistic care, patient advocacy, and comprehensive health education. Nurses are often the first point of contact for patients within the health care system, and their role immediately shapes the patient experience and influences health outcomes [9].

One of the defining principles of integrated health care is a focus on patient-centeredness. Nurses play a central role in enveloping this principle into patient interactions. They are not just providers of care, but also advocates who listen to patients' needs, preferences, and values. This advocacy is crucial, especially in integrated systems where patients may navigate multiple providers and services. Nurses are uniquely positioned to ensure that the patient's voice is heard and integrated into care planning [10].

Nurses are responsible for conducting thorough assessments that consider not only physical health but also emotional, social, and economic factors affecting the patient's well-being. This holistic approach is essential in an integrated health care system; it allows for the identification of barriers to care and enables the development of more tailored intervention strategies. For instance, when managing chronic diseases, nurses help devise comprehensive care plans that involve medication management, lifestyle changes, and referrals to community resources.

Nurses are central to effective care coordination within integrated health care delivery systems. They act as liaisons between different providers and services, ensuring that patient information is communicated clearly and that care transitions are smooth. This involves coordinating various facets of patient care, including scheduling appointments, managing follow-ups, and facilitating referrals to specialists or ancillary services [11].

For individuals with chronic conditions or multiple health issues, the risk of fragmented care is a significant concern. Nurses mitigate this risk by employing care coordination strategies that ensure continuity of care. They are trained to recognize when a patient may be struggling to adhere to a treatment plan or experiencing complications, and they can intervene promptly, thus preventing exacerbations that may require more intensive interventions or hospitalizations [12].

Another vital function of nursing in integrated health care is patient and community education. Education is a major component of promoting health literacy, which is critical in allowing patients to take an active role in their care. Nurses educate patients about health conditions, medication management, preventive care, and lifestyle changes. They are instrumental in teaching patients to recognize signs and symptoms that require immediate attention, thus fostering self-management and responsibility for one’s health [13].

Additionally, nurses engage in community education initiatives that aim to promote overall public health awareness and prevention. Through workshops, seminars, and outreach programs, nurses empower community members with knowledge about disease prevention, healthy lifestyles, and available health resources. By bolstering public health education, nurses contribute to a healthier population that can better utilize health care services [14].

Nurses serve as advocates not only for their patients but also for systemic improvements within the health care system. In the face of disparities in health care access and outcomes, nurses can influence policy changes that enhance integrated care delivery. They inform stakeholders about the critical needs of patients and communities, advocating for equitable access to resources and services [15].

Nursing advocacy extends to interprofessional collaboration as well, as nurses strive to strengthen

the bonds between various providers to improve care delivery. By participating in policy discussions, quality improvement initiatives, and professional organizations, nurses can help shape the integrated health care landscape in ways that promote patient-centered practices and enhance the overall quality of care [15].

As health care continues to evolve, nursing leadership is crucial in steering integrated health care delivery forward. Nurses can play leadership roles not only within health care settings but also in broader health policy discussions and reform initiatives. Advanced practice nurses, such as nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists, often lead integrated care teams, enhancing their capacity to provide comprehensive, coordinated care [16].

In leadership roles, nurses can advocate for using data analytics and technology to improve care delivery. These tools allow for real-time tracking of patient outcomes, identification of care gaps, and assessment of health care efficacy. By leveraging technology, nurses can contribute to more informed decision-making processes that enhance quality and safety in patient care [16].

Radiology's Contribution to Diagnostic Excellence:

Radiology plays an indispensable role in the field of medicine, providing critical insights into the human body that facilitate diagnosis, treatment, and overall patient care. As a medical specialty, radiology employs various imaging techniques, including X-rays, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound, and nuclear medicine, to help healthcare professionals visualize structures and functions within the body. This essay will explore the contributions of radiology to diagnostic excellence, highlighting its technological advancements, multidisciplinary collaboration, and its evolving role in precision medicine [17].

The foundation of radiology lies in its imaging technologies, which have undergone significant advancements since the discovery of X-rays by Wilhelm Conrad Roentgen in 1895. Over the decades, the development of various imaging modalities has enhanced the accuracy and efficacy of diagnoses. Traditional X-rays, though valuable, provided limited information. The introduction of CT scans revolutionized diagnostics by combining multiple X-ray images to create cross-sectional views of organs and tissues, allowing for a more comprehensive assessment of complex conditions [18].

MRI technology further refined imaging capabilities, utilizing strong magnetic fields and radio waves to produce detailed images of soft tissues, the brain, and the spinal cord without the use of ionizing radiation. Ultrasound emerged as a safe, non-invasive method, particularly valuable in obstetrics and pediatric care, enabling real-time imaging and evaluation of organ function. In recent years, advancements such as positron emission tomography (PET) and functional MRI have bolstered diagnostic accuracy by providing insights into both anatomical and physiological processes [19].

Radiology significantly enhances the ability to detect diseases at earlier stages, which can be crucial for effective intervention and improved patient outcomes. The capability to visualize internal structures allows for the identification of tumors, fractures, or infections that may not be evident through physical examination alone. For instance, mammography is a vital tool for early detection of breast cancer, significantly increasing the survival rate when tumors are discovered at an early stage. Similarly, CT scans are instrumental in diagnosing conditions such as pulmonary embolism or internal bleeding, conditions that can be life-threatening if undiagnosed [20].

In addition to detection, radiology also plays a vital role in monitoring disease progression and response to treatment. Follow-up imaging studies can assess the size of tumors, the efficacy of therapies, or the healing of injuries, guiding clinicians in their decision-making processes. Radiology aids not only in the initial diagnosis but also in evaluating ongoing treatments and making necessary adjustments, thus promoting a continuum of care for patients [21].

One of the hallmarks of radiology's contribution to diagnostic excellence is its integration within multidisciplinary healthcare teams. Radiologists often work in conjunction with physicians of various specialties to correlate imaging findings with clinical information. This collaboration is vital, particularly in complex cases where symptoms may be ambiguous. Radiologists possess a deep understanding of imaging modalities and their

implications, enabling them to provide insightful interpretations that inform clinical decisions [22].

Furthermore, radiology plays a pivotal role in clinical research. It contributes to the development of new diagnostic techniques, clinical trials, and epidemiological studies that advance medical knowledge. The longitudinal analysis of imaging data can reveal trends in disease manifestation and treatment effectiveness, offering valuable insights into public health. The collaborative nature of radiology promotes a culture of inquiry and innovation aimed at improving diagnostic precision and patient care standards [23].

In recent years, the healthcare landscape has been transitioning towards precision medicine—an approach that tailors treatment based on individual characteristics, including genetics, environment, and lifestyle. Radiology is at the forefront of this evolution by providing more than just anatomical images; it offers functional and molecular data that inform treatment strategies [23].

Advanced imaging techniques, such as radiomics and molecular imaging, have emerged, allowing for the extraction of quantitative features from images that correlate with tumor biology and behavior. These advancements facilitate the identification of patients who are more likely to respond to specific therapies, resulting in personalized treatment plans that improve outcomes and minimize adverse effects. The intersection of radiology with genomics and other omics disciplines exemplifies the comprehensive approach required to achieve diagnostic excellence in modern healthcare [24].

Despite its numerous contributions, radiology faces challenges that could impact its effectiveness in delivering diagnostic excellence. Issues such as the need for continuous education and training to keep pace with rapidly evolving technologies, managing the large volumes of imaging data, and addressing disparities in access to radiological services are crucial to consider. Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into radiology holds great promise in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and efficiency but also poses questions regarding the role of the human radiologist [25].

The future of radiology will likely involve greater reliance on AI for image interpretation, leading to faster diagnosis and the potential for reducing human error. However, this innovation must coexist with and enhance the expertise of radiologists rather than replace it. Continuing education and training will be essential for radiologists to adapt to new technologies while maintaining their integral role in patient care [26].

Health Informatics: Bridging Gaps in Patient Care:

The significance of health informatics is underscored by the shift toward value-based care—a model that emphasizes the quality of care and patient outcomes over the quantity of services provided. In this context, health informatics plays a pivotal role by enabling healthcare providers to harness data effectively. By streamlining the access to and management of patient information, healthcare professionals are better equipped to make informed decisions, leading to more personalized and effective care [27].

Moreover, as healthcare systems evolve, there is a growing recognition that health informatics can address some of the longstanding gaps in patient care, such as fragmentation, errors in medication, and delays in treatment. With its capacity for data integration, health informatics can provide a comprehensive view of a patient's history, preferences, and needs. This holistic approach not only enhances decision-making processes but also empowers patients to take an active role in their care [28].

The applications of health informatics are varied, yet they consistently aim to enhance patient care. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) are perhaps the most prominent example, allowing healthcare providers to access patient data in real time, which aids in timely diagnostics and treatment. EHRs improve communication among healthcare teams, reducing the chances of misdiagnosis and ensuring continuity of care [29].

Telehealth and remote monitoring are other significant advancements enabled by health informatics. These technologies have gained traction, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, as they allow for virtual consultations and ongoing patient engagement without necessitating physical visits. For patients with chronic conditions or mobility challenges, remote monitoring systems facilitate regular check-ins, ensuring adherence to

treatment plans and allowing for early intervention when issues arise [29].

Additionally, clinical decision support systems (CDSS) utilize algorithms and data analytics to assist healthcare professionals in making evidence-based decisions. By analyzing a multitude of patient data points, such systems can recommend treatment options, alert providers to potential drug interactions, and flag abnormal test results, thereby enhancing the accuracy and safety of care [29].

Health informatics actively addresses a range of challenges in patient care. One of the most pressing issues is the problem of data interoperability—the ability of different health information systems to communicate and exchange data effectively. In systems where data silos exist, valuable patient information may be lost or inaccessible to care providers, leading to gaps in treatment. Health informatics seeks to create standardized protocols and systems that promote seamless data sharing, ensuring that healthcare providers have access to all pertinent patient information regardless of where care is being delivered [30].

Moreover, medication errors, which are a significant concern in healthcare, can be alleviated through health informatics solutions. By utilizing computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems along with CDSS, healthcare providers can minimize errors associated with handwriting prescriptions, drug interactions, and dosage inaccuracies. Such measures not only safeguard patient safety but also enhance overall outcomes [30].

Another challenge that health informatics helps to mitigate is the issue of patient engagement in healthcare. Many patients struggle to navigate the complexities of the healthcare system and often feel overwhelmed by their conditions. Health informatics tools, such as patient portals and mobile health applications, enable patients to access their health information, schedule appointments, and engage with their healthcare teams more effectively. By fostering this engagement, health informatics supports shared decision-making and encourages patients to take responsibility for their health [31].

As technology continues to evolve, so too does the field of health informatics. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning is poised to revolutionize patient care by providing more sophisticated analytics and predictions. For instance, predictive analytics tools can help identify populations at risk of developing certain diseases, allowing for targeted preventive measures and early interventions [32].

Moreover, the potential for genomics and personalized medicine is being realized through health informatics. As genomic sequencing becomes more affordable and accessible, health informatics systems can store and analyze genetic data, enabling personalized treatment plans that are tailored to the individual characteristics of each patient [33].

Despite the notable advancements, the future of health informatics will also require addressing ethical considerations, such as data privacy and security. With the increasing reliance on digital health records and telehealth solutions, safeguarding patient information from breaches and misuse becomes paramount. As health informatics continues to flourish, stakeholders must collaboratively develop policies and frameworks that protect patient data while allowing for the beneficial use of that data to improve health outcomes [34].

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Enhancing Communication and Workflow:

In the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare, the complexity of patient needs has prompted a shift away from traditional, siloed approaches to care towards more integrated, multidisciplinary collaboration. This model has gained prominence in recent years, emphasizing the critical role of regular communication and coordinated efforts among various healthcare professionals. This essay explores the definitions, benefits, challenges, and strategies related to multidisciplinary collaboration, underscoring its significance in achieving superior patient outcomes [35].

Multidisciplinary collaboration in healthcare refers to the cooperative efforts of professionals from diverse fields, including medicine, nursing, pharmacy, social work, occupational therapy, and more, who come together to provide comprehensive care for a patient. By pooling their unique expertise, these professionals can better address the multifaceted nature of patients' health, ensuring that

various aspects of care—be they physical, psychological, or social—are considered [36].

For instance, a patient suffering from chronic illness may require not only medical treatment but also psychological support and lifestyle modifications. By engaging a team that incorporates primary care physicians, mental health professionals, dietitians, and social workers, the care team can formulate a holistic treatment plan that meets all of the patient's needs.

The advantages of multidisciplinary collaboration are manifold, not only for healthcare providers but, most importantly, for patients. Key benefits include:

1. **Improved Patient Outcomes**: Research has consistently demonstrated that multidisciplinary teams lead to better health outcomes. Innovative care strategies result in reduced hospital readmissions, shorter recovery times, and overall enhanced quality of life. When experts from various fields share their knowledge, they can develop more comprehensive treatment plans that address the whole patient rather than individual symptoms [37].
2. **Increased Efficiency**: Multidisciplinary collaboration fosters improved workflow and communication among team members. By consulting with one another, healthcare professionals can minimize redundancies, streamline processes, and reduce the duplication of tests and treatments. This efficient use of resources is particularly important in the face of rising healthcare costs [37].
3. **Holistic Care**: This approach recognizes that patients are not merely a collection of symptoms but complete individuals with distinct needs and circumstances. By involving a variety of professionals, teams are better equipped to provide personalized care that takes into account social determinants of health, mental well-being, and lifestyle factors [37].
4. **Enhanced Team Morale and Satisfaction**: Working collaboratively can lead to greater satisfaction among healthcare providers, as they feel their expertise is valued and that they are making meaningful contributions to patient care. This positive work environment can subsequently improve team dynamics and reduce burnout among professionals [37].

Challenges to Effective Multidisciplinary Collaboration

Despite its numerous benefits, the implementation of multidisciplinary collaboration is fraught with challenges. Some obstacles include:

1. **Communication Barriers**: Effective communication is paramount in multidisciplinary teams, yet differences in professional jargon, communication styles, and priorities can inhibit dialogue. Misunderstandings may lead to errors or incomplete information being shared, undermining the overall effectiveness of patient care [38].
2. **Lack of Coordination**: Without a clear framework for collaboration, efforts may become disjointed, with team members operating independently rather than in harmony. This lack of coordination can confuse patients and result in inconsistent treatment plans [38].
3. **Differing Perspectives**: Diverse professional backgrounds can create conflicts in treatment philosophy. For instance, a physician might prioritize immediate medical interventions, while a social worker may emphasize the importance of psychosocial support, leading to potential disagreements that must be navigated thoughtfully [38].
4. **Time Constraints**: In fast-paced clinical settings, time limitations can restrict opportunities for team meetings and collaboration. Healthcare providers often juggle numerous responsibilities, making it challenging to find time for coordinated discussions focused on patient care [38].

Data-Driven Decision Making in Patient Management:

In the contemporary landscape of healthcare, the integration of data-driven decision-making (DDDM) has emerged as a critical paradigm for enhancing patient management. The exponential growth of health data, coupled with advancements in analytics and information technology, has fostered an environment where healthcare providers can leverage vast amounts of data to inform clinical decisions, improve patient outcomes, and streamline operational efficiency. This essay delves into the various facets of data-driven decision-making in patient management, discussing its importance, methodologies, applications, challenges, and future prospects [39].

Data-driven decision-making is essential in healthcare for several reasons. Firstly, it promotes improved clinical outcomes by allowing healthcare providers to make informed choices based on empirical evidence rather than intuition alone. This reliance on data enhances the accuracy of diagnoses, customizes treatment plans, and fosters proactive patient care. Studies have shown that healthcare organizations employing DDDM exhibit superior patient outcomes, reduced error rates, and increased patient satisfaction [40].

Moreover, in an era characterized by value-based care, there is a notable shift from volume-driven models to outcomes-focused methodologies. This transformation necessitates the use of data analytics to evaluate the efficacy of treatments, monitor patient progress, and identify best practices among various interventions. DDDM empowers healthcare practitioners to understand treatment effectiveness in real-time, thereby adapting strategies to align with patient needs and preferences [41].

Implementing data-driven decision-making in patient management involves several methodologies that utilize health data effectively:

1. **Data Collection and Integration**: The foundation of DDDM lies in the systematic collection of health data from various sources. This may include Electronic Health Records (EHRs), wearable health devices, laboratory results, and patient-reported outcomes. Integration of these data sources into a cohesive system enhances the visibility of patient information and supports comprehensive analysis [42].
2. **Predictive Analytics**: Utilizing algorithms that forecast future health outcomes based on historical data, predictive analytics serves as a crucial tool in patient management. Healthcare organizations apply predictive models to identify high-risk patients, predict hospital readmissions, and anticipate complications, enabling preemptive interventions to mitigate adverse outcomes [42].
3. **Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS)**: These digital platforms assist healthcare providers in clinical decision-making by providing evidence-based guidelines, alerts, and reminders based on patient data. A well-integrated CDSS can significantly improve the accuracy of diagnoses and the appropriateness of treatments.
4. **Data Visualization**: Visualization tools, such as dashboards and interactive reports, transform complex data into intuitive graphics, facilitating easier interpretation of trends and patterns. Data visualization enhances communication among healthcare teams, enabling collaborative efforts in patient management.
5. **Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence (AI)**: AI and machine learning technologies have taken DDDM to new heights. These systems can analyze large datasets to identify correlations and causal relationships that might be overlooked by human clinicians. For example, AI applications can be utilized in radiology to detect anomalies in imaging studies with remarkable precision [42].

Applications of Data-Driven Decision Making

The applications of data-driven decision-making are extensive and cater to various aspects of patient management:

- **Personalized Medicine**: DDDM allows for more personalized treatment paradigms by analyzing genomic data alongside

clinical records. Tailoring therapies to individual genetic profiles improves treatment efficacy and minimizes adverse effects [43].

- **Chronic Disease Management**: With data analytics, healthcare providers can monitor chronic disease patients more effectively. Digital health platforms facilitate the tracking of vital signs and medication adherence, empowering patients to engage in their own care [43].
- **Population Health Management**: Aggregating data across populations enables health systems to identify health trends and disparities within communities. This information is vital for developing targeted interventions, preventive programs, and public health policies.
- **Resource Allocation**: Analyzing data related to patient volume, staffing, and resource utilization aids healthcare administrators in optimizing operations. By understanding patterns in patient flow, organizations can ensure the right resources are available when and where they are needed [43].

Challenges in Data-Driven Decision Making

Despite its potential, the implementation of data-driven decision-making in patient management is not without challenges. One of the foremost concerns is data privacy and security. Healthcare organizations must navigate complex regulations, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), to protect patient information while leveraging data for decision-making [44].

Additionally, the quality and completeness of data significantly influence the success of DDDM. Incomplete or inaccurate data can lead to misguided conclusions and suboptimal patient care. Therefore, investing in data quality assurance processes is essential for reliable decision-making.

Another challenge is the integration of disparate data systems. Many healthcare organizations face challenges in consolidating data from various sources, which can result in inefficiencies and hinder effective analysis. Establishing interoperable systems is vital to facilitate seamless data sharing and utilization [45].

Finally, the acceptance of DDDM among healthcare professionals can be variable. While younger clinicians may be more amenable to data-centric approaches, there may be resistance from seasoned practitioners who rely on traditional practices. Education and training are crucial to foster a culture of data-driven decision-making within healthcare teams [46].

As technology continues to evolve, the future of data-driven decision-making in patient management looks promising. The convergence of big data, AI, and machine learning will likely yield even more sophisticated analytical tools capable of processing vast datasets in real-time. This could provide patients and healthcare providers with actionable insights that enhance care coordination and outcome monitoring [47].

Furthermore, the integration of social determinants of health (SDOH) data into decision-making processes holds great potential for addressing inequities in health outcomes. By considering factors such as socioeconomic status, neighborhood environment, and access to healthcare, providers can adopt a more holistic approach to patient management [48].

Training and Education for an Integrated Workforce:

In the realm of healthcare, achieving optimal patient outcomes is the ultimate goal that drives the system forward. This pursuit of excellence necessitates the development of an integrated workforce—one that combines various disciplines, specialties, and roles to provide comprehensive care. The training and education of this workforce play a pivotal role in realizing this integration, ensuring that all professionals work cohesively towards common objectives. This essay explores the multifaceted dimensions of training and education aimed at fostering an integrated workforce, the benefits that such an approach affords in healthcare settings, and strategies to enhance collaboration among professionals for improved patient care [49].

An integrated workforce in healthcare refers to a collaborative group of professionals from diverse

backgrounds, including doctors, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, therapists, and other specialists, who work together to deliver holistic care. This model contrasts with traditional siloed approaches, where each practitioner operates in isolation, potentially leading to fragmented care and communication breakdowns. Studies have shown that integrated care models enhance patient outcomes by improving the continuity of care, reducing hospital readmissions, and decreasing healthcare costs [50].

The urgency for such integration has been amplified in recent years due to the complexity of healthcare needs. As populations age and chronic diseases become more prevalent, patients are increasingly presenting with multifaceted health challenges that require coordinated responses. Training an integrated workforce is not only a strategic imperative to address these challenges but also essential for providing culturally competent care that acknowledges the diverse backgrounds and needs of patients [51].

1. **Interprofessional Education (IPE):** Central to creating an integrated workforce is the implementation of interprofessional education (IPE), wherein students and professionals from different disciplines learn together. IPE fosters mutual respect, understanding of one another's roles and responsibilities, and collaboration in practice. For instance, medical, nursing, and pharmacy students can engage in joint simulations or case studies that illustrate the importance of teamwork in managing a patient's care plan. The goal is to break down the barriers that often exist within healthcare teams and promote a unified approach to education and practice [52].

2. **Continuing Professional Development (CPD):**
   Once healthcare professionals enter the workforce, ongoing education becomes crucial to maintain competence and adapt to new health technologies and methodologies. CPD initiatives should promote interprofessional collaboration, providing opportunities for professionals to participate in shared learning sessions, workshops, and collaborative practice environments. This could involve training on interdisciplinary team-building, communication skills, and conflict resolution, equipping professionals with tools to work effectively in teams [53].

3. **Mentorship Programs:** Mentorship serves as a valuable resource in the training of an integrated workforce. Experienced professionals can guide newcomers through the complexities of collaborative practice, offering insights and facilitating integration into multidisciplinary teams. Mentorship programs can emphasize the importance of team dynamics, shared goals, and patient-centered care, establishing a culture of collaboration from the onset of one's career [54].

4. **Simulation-Based Training:** Incorporating simulation-based training into educational curricula enables healthcare professionals to practice teamwork in a controlled environment. Simulation experiences can replicate real-life scenarios where effective communication and collaboration are paramount. This approach prepares professionals to respond to dynamic patient needs while reinforcing the importance of integrated care pathways [54].

5. **Cultural Competency Training:** Given the diversity of patient populations, cultural competency training is vital for an integrated workforce. Educating healthcare professionals about the social determinants of health, cultural variations in health beliefs, and effective communication with patients from different backgrounds fosters an inclusive environment that can significantly enhance patient engagement and outcomes [54].

Benefits of an Integrated Workforce

The integration of healthcare professionals through targeted training and education results in tangible benefits for both providers and patients [55].

- **Enhanced Communication:** Improved channels for communication reduce the

likelihood of errors and misunderstandings, allowing healthcare teams to respond swiftly to patient needs.

- **Patient-Centered Care:** An integrated approach encourages the consideration of the patient's voice in care planning and decision-making, enhancing patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment plans.
- **Improved Outcomes:** Research consistently indicates that coordinated care leads to better clinical outcomes, including lower mortality rates, better management of chronic diseases, and improved quality of life.
- **Efficient Use of Resources:** By streamlining workflows and eliminating redundancies, integrated teams can enhance the efficiency of healthcare delivery. This, in turn, contributes to reduced healthcare costs and maximizes resource utilization.

Overcoming Challenges in Workforce Integration

Despite the many advantages afforded by an integrated workforce, various challenges must be addressed. One prominent issue is the cultural resistance that can exist within traditional healthcare settings. Some professionals may be accustomed to hierarchical structures and may struggle to adapt to collaborative models. To combat this, leadership within healthcare organizations must champion a culture of teamwork, demonstrating the commitment to integrated practice [56].

Additionally, logistical barriers such as scheduling conflicts, differing professional practices, and technical interoperability issues can complicate collaborative efforts. Addressing these challenges involves creating flexible systems and processes that prioritize team-based care, as well as investing in the necessary technology for seamless communication and information sharing [57].

Assessing Patient Outcomes: Metrics and Evaluation in Integrated Care Models:

The healthcare landscape is undergoing a significant transformation, with a growing emphasis on integrated care models. These models aim to provide cohesive and comprehensive care by coordinating services across various health disciplines, fostering collaboration between healthcare providers, and engaging patients in their own health management. However, the success of such integrated approaches hinges on robust mechanisms for assessing patient outcomes. Metrics and evaluation play a critical role in determining the efficacy of integrated care models, informing providers and policymakers on areas for improvement and facilitating better health outcomes. This essay explores the essential metrics and evaluation strategies used to assess patient outcomes in integrated care settings [58].

Integrated care models comprise varied approaches to organizing healthcare services that focus on patient-centric care. They promote the alignment of services across different domains—including physical health, mental health, and social services—to address the comprehensive needs of patients. These models can take various forms, such as coordinated care networks, patient-centered medical homes, and health homes, each tailored to specific populations. By providing a continuum of care, integrated models aim to reduce fragmentation experienced in traditional healthcare systems, enhance accessibility, and improve clinical outcomes [59].

Assessing patient outcomes is vital for several reasons. First, it allows for the identification of areas where integrated care is excelling and where there are opportunities for improvement. By systematically measuring patient outcomes, healthcare providers can gain insights into the effectiveness of their interventions. Second, outcome assessment contributes to accountability, as stakeholders—including healthcare providers, patients, and policymakers—demand measurable results for the resources invested in care delivery. Finally, robust outcome measurement can drive evidence-based practice, guiding clinical and operational decisions that can enhance patient experiences and safety [60].

Key Metrics for Patient Outcomes

Patient outcomes can be assessed through a variety of metrics, which can be broadly categorized into clinical, functional, and patient-reported outcomes [61].

1. **Clinical Outcomes**: These metrics focus on the medical effectiveness of interventions. Common clinical outcome measures include morbidity and mortality rates, readmission rates, length of stay in hospitals, and disease-specific measures like glycemic control in diabetes management or blood pressure control in hypertension care. These metrics can reveal the direct impact of integrated care interventions on a patient's health status and the quality of care provided.
2. **Functional Outcomes**: Measuring functional outcomes assesses how care affects a patient's daily living abilities and quality of life. Instruments such as the Barthel Index or the Functional Independence Measure provide insight into changes in physical capabilities, allowing healthcare teams to understand how well patients can manage their self-care and perform everyday activities post-intervention.
3. **Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs)**: PROs capture patients' perspectives on their health and well-being. They include measures of symptoms, functional status, and overall health-related quality of life, assessed using standardized questionnaires like the EQ-5D or the SF-36. PROs are vital in integrated care models because they reflect the effectiveness of care from the patient's viewpoint, emphasizing the importance of patient-centered care [61].

Evaluation Strategies for Integrated Care Models

An effective evaluation of patient outcomes in integrated care models requires a multifaceted approach. Blending quantitative and qualitative evaluation methods ensures a comprehensive understanding of care impacts.

1. **Data Collection and Integration**: The success of metrics relies on the quality and comprehensiveness of data collected. Integrated care models should employ electronic health records (EHRs) and patient registries to gather data across multiple care settings. Additionally, interoperability between systems is crucial; it enables the seamless sharing of information across providers, which is instrumental in evaluating patient outcomes holistically [62].
2. **Population Health Management**: Using population health methodologies can facilitate targeted interventions and track outcomes across diverse patient cohorts. By stratifying patient populations based on various factors—such as demographics, comorbidities, and social determinants of health—healthcare providers can assess the effectiveness of integrated care interventions tailored to specific groups [62].
3. **Continuous Quality Improvement**: Integrated care models should foster a culture of continuous learning and quality improvement. By adopting Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles or similar frameworks, healthcare teams can implement changes based on outcome assessments, refine care processes iteratively, and monitor the impact of these changes.
4. **Stakeholder Engagement**: Involving patients, caregivers, and community stakeholders in the evaluation process is crucial. Their insights can inform the metrics chosen, ensuring they are relevant and aligned with the patients' values and priorities. Moreover, stakeholder feedback helps to create a shared accountability model that enhances the cohesion of care provided [62].

Challenges in Patient Outcome Assessment

Despite the importance of assessing patient outcomes, numerous challenges exist. One significant Barriers are the variability in how different healthcare settings define and report outcomes. This inconsistency can lead to difficulties in comparing data across various integrated care models. Moreover, the integration of electronic health records faces issues such as data availability, privacy concerns, and the need for standardized reporting mechanisms.

Additionally, there exists the challenge of capturing comprehensive patient narratives, especially when considering social determinants of health that play an influential role in outcomes. To develop a complete picture, integrated care models must consider these broader factors, such as socioeconomic status, housing stability, and access to care [63].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the creation of a comprehensive healthcare model that effectively integrates nursing, radiology, and health informatics is crucial for ensuring optimal patient outcomes in today's complex healthcare landscape. By fostering collaboration among these three key disciplines, this model not only enhances the quality and efficiency of care but also empowers healthcare professionals to deliver patient-centered services that are tailored to individual needs. Through the use of advanced health informatics tools, critical data can be shared seamlessly among providers, enabling timely decision-making and improving diagnostic accuracy. Moreover, ongoing training and education in these integrated practices will be vital for cultivating a skilled workforce equipped to meet the evolving demands of healthcare.

Ultimately, the successful implementation of this integrated model can lead to substantial improvements in patient safety, satisfaction, and health outcomes. As healthcare continues to advance, adopting a holistic approach that encourages collaboration and communication among nursing, radiology, and health informatics will pave the way for more effective and personalized care. By prioritizing integration in healthcare delivery, we can foster a system that not only addresses immediate health concerns but also promotes long-term wellness and preventive care, benefiting both patients and healthcare providers alike.

References:

1. Cohen AM, Hersh WR. A survey of current work in biomedical text mining. Brief Bioinform. (2005) 6:57–71. 10.1093/bib/6.1.57
2. Jordan MI, Mitchell TM. Machine learning: trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science. (2015) 349:255–60. 10.1126/science.aaa8415
3. Gable CB. A compendium of public health data sources. Am J Epidemiol. (1990) 131:381–94. 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115513
4. Fang R, Pouyanfar S, Yang Y, Chen SC, Iyengar S. Computational health informatics in the big data age: a survey. ACM Comput Surv. (2016) 49:1–36. 10.1145/293270725832646
5. Patel S, Park H, Bonato P, Chan L, Rodgers M. A review of wearable sensors and systems with application in rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehabil. (2012) 9:21. 10.1186/1743-0003-9-21
6. Herland M. A review of data mining using big data in health informatics. J Big Data. (2014) 1:1–35. 10.1186/2196-1115-1-2
7. Yasnoff WA, O'Carroll PW, Koo D, Linkins RW, Kilbourne EM. Public health informatics: Improving and transforming public health in the information age. J Public Health Manage Pract. (2000) 6:67–75. 10.1097/00124784-200006060-00010
8. Jamoom E, Beatty P, Bercovitz A, Woodwell D, Palso K, Rechtsteiner E. Physician adoption of electronic health record systems: United States, 2011. NCHS Data Brief. (2011) 98:1–8.
9. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. (2015) 521:436–44. 10.1038/nature14539
10. Wolfe PJ. Making sense of big data. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2013) 110:18031–2. 10.1073/pnas.1317797110
11. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Assuring the Health of the Public in the 21st Century. The Future of the Public's Health in the 21st Century. (2002).
12. Mohri M, Rostamizadeh A, Talwalkar A. Foundations of Machine Learning. London: MIT Press; (2012).
13. Bellazzi R, Zupan B. Predictive data mining in clinical medicine: current issues and guidelines. Int J Med Inform. (2008) 77:81–97. 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2006.11.006
14. Jacobs A. The pathologies of big data. Commun ACM. (2009) 52:36–44. 10.1145/1536616.1536632
15. Future of Health Technology Summits 1996-2000. (2000). Available online at: http://www.fhti.org/

16. Yang HC, Dasdan A, Hsiao RL, Parker DS. Map-reduce-merge: simplified relational data processing on large clusters. In: Proceedings of the ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data. Beijing: (2007). p. 1029–40. 10.1145/1247480.1247602
17. Wu PY, Cheng CW, Kaddi CD, Venugopalan J, Hoffman R, Wang MD. - Omic and electronic health record big data analytics for precision medicine. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. (2017) 64:263–73. 10.1109/TBME.2016.2573285
18. Pedrycz W, Chen SM. editors. Information Granularity, Big Data, and Computational Intelligence. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; (2015). 10.1007/978-3-319-08254-7
19. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee for the Study of the Future of Public Health. The Future of Public Health. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; (1988).
20. Chen J, Qian F, Yan W, Shen B. Translational biomedical informatics in the cloud: present and future. Biomed Res Int. (2013) 2013:658925. 10.1155/2013/658925
21. Karun AK, Chitharanjan K. A review on Hadoop–HDFS infrastructure extensions. In: IEEE Conference on Information and Communication Technologies. Thuckalay: (2013). p. 132–7.
22. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee for the Study of the Future of Public Health. The Future of Public Health. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; (1988).
23. Bahdanau D, Cho K, Bengio Y. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. (2016). arXiv[Preprint]. arXiv:1409.0473.
24. Meredith D, Clifton D, Charlton P, Brooks J, Pugh C, Tarassenko L. Photoplethysmographic derivation of respiratory rate: a review of relevant physiology. J Med Eng Technol. (2011) 36:1–7.
25. King J, Patel V, Jamoom E, Furukawa M. Clinical benefits of electronic health record use: national findings. Health Serv Res. (2013) 12:49.
26. Suzuki K, Yan P, Wang F, Shen D. Machine learning in medical imaging. Int J Biomed Imaging. (2012) 2012:123727.
27. Zhuang F, Qi Z, Duan K, Xi D, Zhu Y, Zhu H, et al. A comprehensive survey on transfer learning. Proc IEEE. (2020) 109:43–76.
28. Ogunyemi OI, Meeker D, Kim H-E, et al. Identifying appropriate reference data models for comparative effectiveness research (CER) studies based on data from clinical information systems. Med Care. (2013) 51(8 Suppl 3):S45–52.
29. Buntin M, Burke M, Hoaglin M, Blumenthal D. The benefits of health information technology: a review of the recent literature shows predominantly positive results. Health Aff. (2011) 30:464–71.
30. Ravi D, Wong C, Deligianni F, Berthelot M, Andreu-Perez J, Lo B, et al. Deep learning for health informatics. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. (2016) 21:4–21.
31. Hugueny S, Clifton DA, Tarassenko L. Probabilistic patient monitoring with multivariate, multimodal extreme value theory. In: Fred A, Filipe J, Gamboa H. editors. Biomedical Engineering Systems and Technologies. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; (2011). p. 199–211.
32. Danciu I, Cowan JD, Basford M, et al. Secondary use of clinical data: the Vanderbilt approach. J Biomed Inform. (2014) 52:28–35.
33. Holzinger A, Biemann C, Pattichis CS, Kell DB. What do we need to build explainable AI systems for the medical domain? (2017). arXiv[Preprint]. arXiv:1712.09923.
34. Murthy R, Forero C, Hall T, et al. Health information exchange: a systematic review of the literature. J Am Med Inform Assoc. (2013) 20:740–46.
35. Yan H, Xiao L, Tian J. Clinical decision support based on FHIR data exchange standard. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Mechatronics Engineering and Information Technology (ICMEIT 2017). Dalian: (2017).

36. Chong P, Thong Y. The role of usage context in online health information search. Health Inf Manag. (2015) 44:121–32.
37. Bhorugram (India): revisited A 4 year follow-up of a computer-based information system for distributed MCH services. Int J Med Inform. (1997) 44:117–25.
38. Menachemi N, Brooks R. Reviewing the benefits and costs of electronic health records and associated patient safety technologies. J Med Syst. (2006) 30:159–68.
39. Singh AK, Kohli M, Trell E, Wigertz O, Kohli S.
40. Hersh WR, Cimino J, Payne PR, Embi P, Logan J, Weiner M, et al. Recommendations for the use of operational electronic health record data in comparative effectiveness research. EGEMS. (2013) 1:1018.
41. Amit Y. Speech recognition using artificial intelligence: a review of the literature. Biomed Pharmacother. (2019) 109:1555–65.
42. HLTUSA: the utility of health and telehealth via our social media consortium. Telemed J E Health. (2020) 26:844–53.
43. Kossman S, Casper G. R, Severtson D. J, Grenier A. S, Or C, Carayon P, Brennan P. F. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (2006p439) American Medical Informatics Association; 2006. Designing Study Nurses' Training to Enhance Research Integrity: A Macroergonomic Approach. PMCID: PMC1839447. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
44. Jetté S, Tribble D. S. C, Gagnon J, Mathieu L. Nursing students' perceptions of their resources toward the development of competencies in nursing informatics. Nurse education today. 2010;30(8):742–746. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2010.01.016. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
45. Goodwin L. Performance support concepts for Web-based informatics instruction. Proc AMIA Annu Fall Symp. 1997;232:698–702. PMID: 2233506. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
46. Lee T. T. Nurses' experiences using a nursing information system: early stage of technology implementation. Computers Informatics Nursing. 2007;25(5):294–300. doi: 10.1097/01.NCN.0000289166.61863.0b. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
47. Grady J. L, Fairchild L. Report of International Telenursing Survey. Computer-informatics-nursing. 2007;25:18–67. doi: 10.1097/01.NCN.0000289163.16122.c2. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
48. Kardan A, Darvish A. A review on Internet based Health Services in Iran, VI international congress on health informatics, in Havana, virtual presentation. Cuba. 2007.
49. Button D, Harrington A, Belan I. E-learning & information communication technology (ICT) in nursing education: A review of the literature. Nurse Educ Today. 2013;13:162–165. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2013.05.002. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
50. Choi J, Bakken S. Validation of the Self-Assessment of Nursing Informatics Competencies Scale among undergraduate and graduate nursing students. The Journal of nursing education. 2013;52:275–282. doi: 10.3928/01484834-20130412-01. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
51. Bakken S, Currie L, Hyun S, Lee N. J, John R, Schnall R, Velez O. Reducing health disparities and improving patient safety and quality by integrating HIT into the Columbia APN curriculum. Studies in health technology and informatics. 2009;146:859. PMID: 19593021. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
52. Hall E. Highlighting emerging roles and enduring values: information management in nursing education. Bulletin of the Medical Library Association. 1995;83(4):490. PMCID: PMC226071. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
53. Leineweber C, Chungkham H. S, Westerlund H, Tishelman C, Lindqvist R. Hospital organizational factors influence work–family conflict in registered nurses:

Multilevel modeling of a nation-wide cross-sectional survey in Sweden. International journal of nursing studies. 2014;51(5):744–751. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.09.010. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

54. Darvish A. E- Health Technology: Barriers and facilitators. INTED2010 Proceedings. 2010. pp. 1788–1791.
55. Guenther J. T. Mapping the literature of nursing informatics. J Med Libr Assoc. 2006;94(2 Suppl):E92–8. PMCID: PMC1463042. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
56. McNelis A. M, Horton-Deutsch S, Friesth B. M. Improving quality and safety in graduate education using an electronic student tracking system. Archives of psychiatric nursing. 2012;26(5):358–363. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2012.06.006. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
57. Travis L. L, Root A, Hoehn B, Brennan P, Fitzpatrick J. J, Gross M, Youngblut J. In Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer Application in Medical Care. American Medical Informatics Association; 1991. An integrated informatics curriculum in a baccalaureate nursing program; p. 278. PMID: 1807604; PMCID: PMC2247539. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
58. Wittmann-Price R. A, Kennedy L. D, Godwin C. Use of Personal Phones by Senior Nursing Students to Access Health Care Information During Clinical Education: Staff Nurses' and Students' Perceptions. The Journal of nursing education. 2012;51:642–646. doi: 10.3928/01484834-20120914-04. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
59. Schlak S. E, Troseth M. TIGER initiative: advancing health IT. Nursing management. 2013;44(1):19–20. doi: 10.1097/01.NUMA.0000424025.21411.be. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
60. Unruh L, Zhang N. J. The role of work environment in keeping newly licensed RNs in nursing: A questionnaire survey. International journal of nursing studies. 2013;50(12):1678–1688. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.04.002. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
61. Rizzolo M. A, DuBois K. Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care. American Medical Informatics Association; 1994. Dec, Developing AJN Network: phase two. An information resource for nurses. In Proceedings/the Annual Symposium on Computer Application [sic] in Medical Care; pp. 106–110. PMC2579065. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
62. Norton M, Skiba D. J, Bowman J. Teaching nurses to provide patient centered evidence-based care through the use of informatics tools that promote safety, quality and effective clinical decisions. Studies in health technology and informatics. 2006;122:230. PMID: 17102254. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
63. Montani S, Bellazzi R. Supporting decisions in medical applications: the knowledge management perspective. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2002;68(1):79–90. doi: 10.1016/s1386-5056(02)00067-9. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]