Reducing Turnaround Time for Critical Blood Tests in the Emergency Department Through a Fast Track Lab System

Alatwi, Fahad Lafi M¹ 'Aljohani.Talal Saeed O² 'Alqahtani Nasser Ahmad³ 'Alzahrani Waheed Azib⁴ 'Alhammad Rahmah Abdulrazaq⁵ 'Alotaibi Majed Ibrahim⁶ 'Alzahrani Abdulrahman Omar⁵ 'Al Qahtani Majed Dhafer⁵ 'Alzahrani Ahmed Omar⁵ 'Anfal Sulaiman Alrehaili¹⁰ 'Amjad Ismaiel Esa Fallatah¹¹

- ¹ Senior Specialist in Health Administration, King Khaled Hospital, Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ² Medical Secretary Technician, Jeddah First Health Cluster, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ³ Health Information Specialist, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ⁴ Health Information Technician, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ⁵ Medical Secretary Technician, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ⁶ Health Administration Specialist, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ⁷ Laboratory Specialist, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ⁸ Laboratory Specialist, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ⁹ Laboratory Specialist, Armed Forces Hospital Dhahran, Dhahran, Eastern Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 ¹⁰ Specialist in Emergency Medical Services, Medical Transport Operations, Madinah Health Cluster, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Al Madinah Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ¹¹ Paramedic in Emergency Medical Services, Madinah Health Cluster, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Al Madinah Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract:

In the high-pressure environment of the emergency department (ED), timely access to critical blood test results is essential for effective patient management and outcomes. Implementing a Fast Track Lab System can significantly reduce turnaround times for these tests, allowing healthcare providers to make quicker, informed decisions. By streamlining the process—from sample collection to analysis and reporting—hospitals can enhance the efficiency of laboratory operations. This system typically involves dedicated personnel, advanced technology, and optimized workflows that prioritize emergency cases, ensuring that urgent tests are processed ahead of routine samples. As a result, patients receive prompt diagnoses and timely treatments, which can be crucial in life-threatening situations. Moreover, the benefits of a Fast Track Lab System extend beyond immediate patient care. By decreasing the turnaround time for critical blood tests, hospitals can improve overall patient flow in the ED, reduce length of stay, and potentially lower hospital admission rates. This efficiency not only enhances patient satisfaction but also alleviates stress on healthcare staff, allowing them to focus on providing high-quality care. Furthermore, the implementation of such a system can lead to cost savings by minimizing unnecessary tests and reducing the risk of complications associated with delays in diagnosis. As healthcare continues to evolve towards value-based care, prioritizing rapid test results in the emergency department becomes increasingly vital.

Keywords: turnaround time, critical blood tests, emergency department, Fast Track Lab System, patient management

Introduction:

In the high-stakes environment of the emergency department (ED), rapid diagnosis and timely treatment are essential for improving patient outcomes. Among the various diagnostic tools employed, blood tests play a pivotal role in the evaluation and management of patients presenting with acute medical conditions. However, traditional

laboratory processes often lead to significant delays in turnaround times for critical blood test results, which can adversely affect clinical decision-making and patient care [1]. This challenge has prompted healthcare systems to explore innovative solutions aimed at streamlining laboratory operations and enhancing the efficiency of blood testing in the ED. One such solution is the implementation of a fast

track lab system, designed specifically to expedite the processing of critical blood tests [2].

The urgency of timely blood test results in the ED cannot be overstated. In many cases, the speed at which a clinician can access vital laboratory data can mean the difference between life and death. For instance. in situations involving suspected myocardial infarction, sepsis, or acute stroke, rapid identification of biomarkers and other critical parameters is crucial for initiating appropriate therapeutic interventions. Delays in obtaining these results can lead to prolonged waiting times, increased patient anxiety, and, ultimately, worse clinical outcomes. Furthermore, prolonged turnaround times can contribute to overcrowding in the ED, as patients remain in limbo while awaiting test results that dictate their next steps in care [3].

The traditional workflow in many emergency departments involves a series of steps that can introduce delays, including sample collection, transportation to the laboratory, processing, and reporting of results. Each of these stages presents opportunities for bottlenecks, often exacerbated by high patient volumes and limited laboratory resources. As such, there is a pressing need for systems that can minimize these delays and enhance the overall efficiency of blood testing in the ED [4].

A fast track lab system represents a strategic approach to addressing these challenges. By prioritizing critical blood tests and streamlining the laboratory workflow, this system aims to reduce turnaround times significantly. Key components of a fast track lab system may include dedicated staff and resources, point-of-care testing capabilities, and the integration of advanced laboratory information systems that facilitate real-time tracking and reporting of results. Additionally, the establishment of clear protocols for test prioritization and communication between clinical and laboratory teams is essential for ensuring that critical tests are processed and reported without unnecessary delays [5].

Implementing a fast track lab system not only has the potential to enhance the efficiency of blood testing in the ED but also to improve overall patient satisfaction and outcomes. By reducing the time patients spend waiting for test results, healthcare providers can expedite treatment decisions, enhance the flow of care, and ultimately contribute to better health outcomes. Moreover, as healthcare systems increasingly focus on value-based care and patientcentered approaches, the importance of timely diagnostic information cannot be overlooked [6].

Blood Tests in Emergency Medicine

Blood tests serve several primary functions in emergency medicine. They can confirm or rule out various medical conditions, guide treatment decisions, monitor the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions, and track disease progression. Given the diversity of clinical presentations encountered in the ED, a wide array of blood tests is available, each designed to provide specific information. These tests are essential in a range of scenarios, from identifying life-threatening conditions to managing chronic illnesses in acute settings [7].

1. Complete Blood Count (CBC)

The Complete Blood Count (CBC) is one of the most frequently ordered blood tests in the emergency department. This test provides critical information about the patient's hemoglobin levels, red blood cell (RBC) count, white blood cell (WBC) count, and platelet levels. A CBC can be vital in diagnosing conditions such as anemia, infections, and bleeding disorders. For instance, elevated WBC counts may indicate infection or inflammation, while low platelets can suggest a bleeding risk [8].

2. Basic Metabolic Panel (BMP) and Comprehensive Metabolic Panel (CMP)

The Basic Metabolic Panel (BMP) measures glucose levels, electrolyte balance (including sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, and chloride), calcium, and renal function (via blood urea nitrogen and creatinine levels). The Comprehensive Metabolic Panel (CMP) includes all BMP tests and adds liver function tests, such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST). These panels are crucial for assessing metabolic status, electrolyte imbalances, and overall organ function, which can significantly impact treatment decisions in acutely ill patients [9].

3. Coagulation Studies

Coagulation tests are instrumental in evaluating the coagulation status of a patient, particularly in cases of trauma. hemophilia, or suspected thromboembolic disorders. Tests such Prothrombin Time (PT), Activated **Partial** Thromboplastin Time (aPTT), and International Normalized Ratio (INR) provide information on the time it takes for blood to clot. Abnormal results can guide anticoagulation therapy and intervention strategies, especially in cases of significant hemorrhage [10].

4. Cardiac Biomarkers

Cardiac biomarkers, particularly troponin I and troponin T, play a critical role in the evaluation of patients presenting with chest pain suggestive of acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Elevated levels of troponin are indicative of myocardial injury and can aid in diagnosing myocardial infarction (heart attack). These biomarkers are often used in conjunction with other diagnostic tests, such as electrocardiograms (ECGs), to provide a comprehensive assessment of the patient's cardiac condition [11].

5. **D-dimer Testing**

D-dimer is a fibrin degradation product that can signal the presence of abnormal clotting in the body. In emergency settings, D-dimer testing is algorithmically used to rule out thromboembolic diseases, such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). A low D-dimer level can effectively exclude these conditions in patients with low to moderate pretest probability, allowing for more rapid clinical decision-making [12].

6. Blood Cultures

In cases of suspected sepsis or severe infections, blood cultures are essential for identifying the causative organism. The timely collection and analysis of blood cultures are critical, as early identification of pathogens can guide the selection of appropriate antibiotics and improve patient outcomes. Blood cultures should be drawn before initiating antibiotics to maximize yield [13].

Blood test methodologies in emergency medicine have seen significant advancements, enabling faster and more accurate results. Traditional laboratory testing often required lengthy processing times, delaying diagnosis and treatment. However, point-of-care testing (POCT) technologies have emerged as a vital resource in the ED, allowing clinicians to perform tests at the bedside. Devices capable of providing rapid results for CBC, BMP, and even cardiac markers enhance clinical decision-making by shortening the time from symptom onset to intervention [14].

Moreover, advancements in laboratory automation have improved the efficiency of blood sample analysis. Automated analyzers facilitate highthroughput testing, minimizing human error, and expediting critical lab results. These innovations are particularly beneficial in busy emergency departments, where timely intervention can be the difference between life and death for many patients [12].

The timely utilization of blood tests in emergency medicine significantly impacts patient care through rapid diagnosis and subsequent treatment. Accurate and quick evaluations enable medical professionals to initiate appropriate therapies, whether that be administering antibiotics for sepsis, anticoagulation for thromboembolic events, or transfusions in cases of significant hemorrhage. As a result, blood tests not only facilitate immediate management but also influence long-term care decisions and hospital resource allocation [15].

The Role of Rapid Tests in Healthcare

At its core, rapid testing refers to diagnostic tests that provide results in a short timeframe—often within minutes to a few hours—compared to traditional laboratory tests that may take days to yield results. This immediacy is especially critical in acute care settings, such as emergency departments, where timely diagnosis can be the difference between life and death. Rapid tests encompass a wide range of diagnostic tools, including those for infectious diseases (such as COVID-19 and influenza), metabolic disorders, and even some chronic diseases [16].

Enhancing Decision-Making

One of the most significant advantages of rapid test results is their ability to facilitate prompt clinical decision-making. In emergency situations, time-sensitive interventions—such as the administration of antibiotics for bacterial infections or antiretroviral therapy for HIV—are necessary to mitigate the progression of disease. Rapid diagnostics enable healthcare providers to confirm diagnoses swiftly, ensuring that patients receive appropriate treatment without unnecessary delays. This responsiveness can lead to improved prognosis, reduced morbidity, and, in some cases, prevention of complications that may arise from untreated conditions [17].

Moreover, rapid test results contribute to optimizing resource utilization within healthcare systems. By swiftly identifying the nature of a patient's illness, clinicians can make informed recommendations regarding hospital admissions, surgical interventions, or outpatient management strategies.

This efficiency helps to minimize hospital overcrowding and prevents the overuse of medical resources or unnecessary treatments, ultimately enhancing the quality of care [18].

Impact on Public Health

The implications of rapid testing extend beyond individual patient outcomes, impacting the broader public health landscape. During infectious disease outbreaks, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, rapid tests have played a crucial role in surveillance, containment, and management efforts. Rapid testing facilitates early detection of infectious cases, enabling public health authorities to implement timely interventions to curb transmission rates. Furthermore, rapid testing can empower patients to make informed decisions regarding isolation, reducing community spread [19].

In addition, the ability to perform large-scale rapid testing can contribute significantly to understanding disease prevalence and patterns within populations. This data can inform public health policies and vaccination strategies, ultimately shaping responses to outbreaks. In essence, the integration of rapid test results into public health initiatives enhances the ability to protect populations and preserve healthcare systems during crises [4].

Technological Advancements

The evolution of rapid testing has been greatly influenced by advancements in technology. Traditional diagnostic methods often rely on complex laboratory procedures that require specialized equipment and trained personnel. In contrast, modern rapid tests leverage innovations such as point-of-care testing (POCT), microfluidics, and biosensors. These technologies allow for tests that are portable, user-friendly, and capable of delivering accurate results in clinical settings outside traditional labs [20].

For instance, lateral flow immunoassays and nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) are examples of rapid testing methods that have gained prominence for their accuracy and speed. The development of smartphone-compatible diagnostic devices further exemplifies the trend towards accessibility, enabling tests to be conducted in diverse settings, from clinics to remote locations. As technology continues to advance, the potential for even more rapid and accurate testing methods expands, paving the way for improved healthcare delivery [21].

Despite the evident benefits associated with rapid test results, challenges and considerations remain that warrant attention. First and foremost is the issue of accuracy. Rapid tests must strike a balance between speed and reliability; false positives or negatives can lead to misdiagnosis, inappropriate treatment, and heightened anxiety for patients. Therefore, ongoing quality assurance processes, validation studies, and regulatory oversight are essential to ensure the efficacy of rapid diagnostic tests [19].

Moreover, the integration of rapid tests into existing healthcare frameworks poses logistical challenges. Training healthcare personnel to administer tests and interpret results accurately is critical, as is ensuring the availability of necessary resources, such as and follow-up care treatment protocols. Additionally, healthcare systems must navigate reimbursement models for rapid testing to promote widespread adoption without compromising financial sustainability [22].

Equity in access to rapid testing is another significant concern, particularly in underserved communities. Disparities in healthcare access can hinder the effectiveness of rapid tests, as populations without adequate resources may not benefit from these technologies. Initiatives aimed at increasing access to rapid testing—especially in low-resource settings—are essential to ensure that the benefits of rapid diagnostics extend to all patients, regardless of socioeconomic background [23].

Key Components of a Fast Track Lab System:

In today's fast-paced world, the need for rapid analysis and swift decision-making across various sectors—be it healthcare, pharmaceuticals, research, or environmental testing—has made the implementation of Fast Track Lab Systems (FTLS) a priority. These systems are designed to expedite laboratory processes, streamline workflows, and enhance the overall quality of outputs. The importance of fast-tracking laboratory processes cannot be understated, as it leads to quicker diagnostics, quicker research findings, and ultimately improved patient care and safety [24].

1. Automation

One of the most critical components of a Fast Track Lab System is automation. Laboratory automation refers to the use of technology to carry out routine processes with minimal human intervention. Automation enhances efficiency by significantly speeding up processes such as sample preparation, testing, and reporting. Equipment like automated liquid handling systems, robotic arms, and high-throughput screening machines enable a laboratory to process massive volumes of samples in a fraction of the time it would take a human [12].

In addition to speeding up operations, automation reduces the margin of error associated with manual tasks, such as sample mishandling or incorrect pipetting, thereby improving the accuracy and reliability of results. Moreover, automated systems can operate continuously, allowing laboratories to function around the clock and reducing turnaround times for results [25].

2. Data Management and Integration

The efficiency of a Fast Track Lab System is heavily reliant on robust data management practices. Effective data management encompasses the acquisition, storage, retrieval, analysis, and sharing of laboratory data. Central to this component is the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS), which acts as the backbone of data handling in a lab environment [25].

A well-integrated LIMS not only organizes essential information about samples and tests but also connects with various laboratory instruments, facilitating seamless data transfer. The integration capabilities enable laboratories to collate and analyze data from multiple sources, resulting in a unified view of information that informs decisionmaking. Furthermore, powerful data analytics tools embedded within these systems facilitate real-time analysis, predictive modeling, trend identification, which are crucial for timely interventions and accurate forecasting [26].

3. Quality Control and Assurance

In any laboratory setting, quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) are paramount to ensure the validity and reliability of results. A Fast Track Lab System must incorporate robust QC/QA measures that are not only efficient but also adhere to the regulatory standards of organizations such as the FDA, ISO, and CLSI [11].

Implementing quality control processes involves the routine validation of measurement instruments, calibration of equipment, and comparison of results against known standards. Furthermore, quality assurance protocols ensure that testing procedures and outcomes are consistent and reproducible,

providing clients and stakeholders with confidence in laboratory results. Regular audits, proficiency testing, and adherence to Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) guidelines form the cornerstone of maintaining quality in a fast-track scenario [27].

4. Flexible Workflow Design

Another pivotal component of an effective Fast Track Lab System is a flexible workflow design. The ability to adapt to changing demands and varying sample types significantly enhances operational efficiency. In the face of unpredictable situations, such as disease outbreaks or emergency response incidents, laboratories need to pivot quickly to meet higher demands [28].

A flexible workflow enables laboratories to alternate between different tasks or processes without extensive downtime or reconfiguration of equipment. This flexibility also allows laboratories to incorporate new technologies or methodologies as they become available, thus continually updating their capabilities to meet evolving industry standards [26].

5. Expert Personnel and Training

While technology plays a powerful role in fast-tracking laboratory functions, the human element cannot be overlooked. The expertise and training of personnel are vital in operating sophisticated systems, interpreting complex data, and ensuring adherence to safety standards. A competent workforce that is well-versed in both the operational aspects of laboratory systems and the relevant science behind the tests performed ensures that laboratory processes are executed with both speed and accuracy [29].

To cultivate a proficient workforce, ongoing training and professional development programs must be a central focus. Laboratories should foster a culture of continuous learning, encouraging staff to stay updated on industry trends, new technologies, and regulatory changes. This not only enhances individual competency but also promotes teamwork and collective problem-solving, both of which are essential in a fast-paced laboratory environment [21].

6. Safety and Compliance Measures

Safety and compliance are paramount in any laboratory setting, particularly for Fast Track Lab Systems that handle hazardous materials, biological specimens, or sensitive data. Laboratories must

ensure that they are compliant with relevant health and safety regulations, as noncompliance can lead to severe legal ramifications and compromise the integrity of results [29].

Incorporating safety measures such as chemical fume hoods, proper waste disposal systems, and personal protective equipment (PPE) is essential. Moreover, compliance with data protection regulations, such as HIPAA for healthcare laboratories, is necessary to maintain patient confidentiality and safeguard sensitive information [11].

Blood Test Workflow

Before delving into optimization strategies, it is crucial to understand the typical workflow of blood test processing. This process generally involves several stages: specimen collection, transportation, handling and storage, analysis, and reporting [30].

- Specimen Collection: Blood samples are usually drawn by healthcare professionals and transported to the lab. The accuracy of identification and labeling at this stage is critical to avoid mix-ups or errors.
- Transportation: The speed at which samples arrive at the laboratory can significantly impact processing time. Delays in transportation can occur due to logistical issues.
- Handling and Storage: Once received, samples must be properly stored and handled to maintain their integrity. Inadequate storage conditions can lead to sample degradation and erroneous results.
- 4. **Analysis**: Modern laboratories utilize various analytical methods, including automated and manual techniques, to process samples. The efficiency of this stage largely determines the overall processing time.
- Reporting: After analysis, results must be accurately documented and communicated to the healthcare provider. This step is crucial for ensuring timely decisionmaking concerning patient care.

Each stage of the blood testing workflow presents opportunities for inefficiency and delay. A review of these stages helps identify bottlenecks that can be addressed to enhance overall performance. For instance, studies have shown that logistical delays in specimen transportation contribute significantly to increased turnaround times for test results. Moreover, human factors such as staff workload, error rates, and communication gaps can further complicate laborious processes [31].

Optimizing workflow is not merely about speeding up processes; it involves creating a more robust, reliable, and patient-centered laboratory environment. Faster blood test processing can lead to improved clinical outcomes, enhanced patient satisfaction, and reduced operational costs for healthcare facilities [30].

- 1. Process Re-engineering: Redesigning the workflow with a focus on eliminating redundant steps and reducing human errors is a crucial first step. This may involve mapping out each stage of the process to identify inefficiencies. By restructuring tasks—such as consolidating specimen collection or reducing the number of manual handling steps—labs can enhance workflow efficiency even before introducing new technologies [32].
- Technology Integration: Automation and advanced technologies are revolutionizing blood test processing. Implementing automated pipetting systems, robotic sample handlers, and inventory management systems can significantly reduce the time required for technical tasks. Additionally, true end-to-end information systems (LIS) ensure that specimens are tracked at all stages of their journey, allowing for real-time monitoring and quick decision-making [12].
- 3. Streamlined Communication: Ineffective communication often results in unnecessary delays. Establishing clear communication protocols among laboratory staff, healthcare providers, and couriers can facilitate faster problem resolution and ensure that all parties are informed about the status of samples. Incorporating digital communication tools such as instant messaging or collaborative platforms can bridge information gaps and expedite responses to urgent requests [33].
- 4. **Staff Training and Engagement**: The proficiency of laboratory staff plays an

essential role in optimizing workflow. Regular training programs can help staff stay updated on the latest technologies, procedures, and best practices, thereby minimizing errors and improving efficiency. Furthermore, encouraging staff engagement through feedback loops and brainstorming sessions can foster a culture of continuous improvement, motivating employees to contribute to workflow optimization [34].

- 5. **Prioritizing Quality Assurance**: While focusing on speed, it is critical not to compromise on quality. Implementing robust quality assurance measures ensures that test results are accurate, which is paramount for patient safety. Regular audits, cross-validation of results, and adherence to regulatory guidelines can boost confidence in the processing and reporting phases of blood testing [35].
- Outsourcing Non-Core Tasks: Many laboratories face overwhelming an workload that can hinder the speed of blood test processing. Outsourcing non-core tasks—such as specimen transportation or archiving-can free up laboratory staff to focus on primary responsibilities, enhancing their efficiency effectiveness in processing tests [36].

To ensure that workflow optimization efforts are yielding the desired results, it is crucial to establish clear performance metrics. Common indicators of success include turnaround times for results, error rates, staff productivity levels, and patient satisfaction scores. Regular monitoring of these metrics allows laboratories to assess the impact of implemented changes and make further adjustments as necessary [36].

Moreover, qualitative measures such as staff feedback and patient testimonials can provide invaluable insight into the effectiveness of optimization strategies. Labs that remain adaptable and responsive to these evaluations are more likely to sustain improvements over time [37].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the implementation of a fast track lab system in the emergency department represents a promising strategy for reducing turnaround times for critical blood tests. By addressing the inherent inefficiencies of traditional laboratory processes and prioritizing the needs of patients in acute care settings, healthcare providers can enhance clinical decision-making and improve overall patient outcomes. As the demand for efficient and effective emergency care continues to grow, innovative solutions like fast track lab systems will play an essential role in shaping the future of emergency medicine and laboratory services.

References:

- Sandler G. Costs of unnecessary tests. Br Med J Jul. 1979;7(6181):21–4. 10.1136/bmj.2.6181.21.
- Mareiniss DP. A brewing storm: our overwhelmed emergency departments. Am J Emerg Med. 2017;35(2):368. 10.1016/j.ajem.2016.10.079.
- Gouk C, Roetger N. Routine blood tests in Hospital patients: a survey of junior doctors' cost awareness and appropriate ordering. Australian Med Stud J. 2018;8(2).
- 4. Richardson DB, Mountain D. Myths versus facts in emergency department overcrowding and hospital access block. Med J Aust. 2009;190(7):369–74. 10.5694/j.1326-5377.2009.tb02451.x.
- Pennestri F, Tomaiuolo R, Banfi G, Dolci A. Blood over-testing: impact, ethical issues and mitigating actions. Clin Chem Lab Med Jun. 2024;25(7):1283-7. 10.1515/cclm-2023-1227.
- Duncan H, Sophie S, Colin D, Khushal A, Piervirgilio P, Luke Eliot H. Validation of the National Emergency Department Overcrowding Score (NEDOCS) in a UK non-specialist emergency department. Emerg Med J. 2020;37(12):801. 10.1136/emermed-2019-208836.
- 7. NPS MedicineWise. More is not always better when it comes to healthcare. A national conversation about reducing unnecessary tests, treatments and procedures. 2022.
- 8. ACEM & RCPA. Pathology Testing in the Emergency Department Australia: Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) and Royal College of

- Pathologists of Australasia (RCPA). Australasian College for Emergency Medicine (ACEM) and the Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia (RCPA). 2018.
- Fatovich DM, Hirsch RL. Entry overload, emergency department overcrowding, and ambulance bypass. Emerg Med J. 2003;20(5):406. 10.1136/emj.20.5.406.
- 10. Kristensen M, Iversen AKS, Gerds TA, et al. Routine blood tests are associated with short term mortality and can improve emergency department triage: a cohort study of > 12,000 patients. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med Nov. 2017;28(1):115. 10.1186/s13049-017-0458-x.
- 11. Barten DG, Latten GHP, van Osch FHM. Reduced Emergency Department utilization during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic: viral fear or Lockdown Effect? Disaster Med Pub Health Prep. 2022;16(1):36–9. 10.1017/dmp.2020.303.
- Australasian College for Emergency Medicine. Guidelines on the Implementation of the Australasian Triage Scale in Emergency Departments Australia. ACEM. 2023.
- 13. Sullivan C, Staib A, Khanna S, et al. The National Emergency Access Target (NEAT) and the 4-hour rule: time to review the target. Med J Aust. 2016;204(9):354–354.
- 14. Goldstein LN, Wells M, Vincent-Lambert C. The cost of time: a randomised, controlled trial to assess the economic impact of upfront, point-of-care blood tests in the emergency centre. Afr J Emerg Med Jun. 2019;9(2):57–63. 10.1016/j.afjem.2019.01.011.
- 15. Kankaanpää M, Holma-Eriksson M, Kapanen S, et al. Comparison of the use of comprehensive point-of-care test panel to conventional laboratory process in emergency department. BMC Emerg Med. 2018;18(1):43. 10.1186/s12873-018-0198-x.
- 16. Zhang JB, Lin J, Zhao XD. Analysis of Bias in measurements of Potassium,

- Sodium and Hemoglobin by an Emergency Department-based Blood Gas Analyzer relative to Hospital Laboratory Autoanalyzer results. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0122383. 10.1371/journal.pone.0122383.
- 17. Duhalde H, Skogö J, Karlsson M. Point-of-care hemolysis detection in blood gas specimens directly at the emergency department. Scand J Clin Lab Investig. 2019;79(5):283–7. 10.1080/00365513.2019.1612089.
- 18. Asha SE, Chan ACF, Walter E, et al. Impact from point-of-care devices on emergency department patient processing times compared with central laboratory testing of blood samples: a randomised controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. Emerg Med J. 2014;31(9):714. 10.1136/emermed-2013-202632.
- 19. Rehmani R, Amanullah S. Analysis of blood tests in the emergency department of a tertiary care hospital. Postgrad Med J. 1999;75(889):662. 10.1136/pgmj.75.889.662.
- 20. Coleman J, Morgan S. We live in testing times. Aust J Gen Pract. 2014;43:273–6.
- 21. Gurazada SG, Gao S, Burstein F, Buntine P. Predicting patient length of stay in Australian emergency departments using Data Mining. Sensors. 2022;22(13):4968. 10.3390/s22134968.
- 22. Ramesh B, Pillai VS, Koshy RC, Jagathnath Krishna KM. Role preoperative investigations in elderly patients undergoing oncosurgical procedures - a retrospective review audit. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2018;34(4):535-9. 10.4103/joacp.joacp_147_17.
- 23. Li L, Georgiou A, Vecellio E, et al. The Effect of Laboratory Testing on Emergency Department length of Stay: a multihospital longitudinal study applying a cross-classified Random-effect modeling Approach. Acad Emerg Med. 2015;22(1):38–46. 10.1111/acem.12565.
- 24. Kaushik N, Khangulov VS, O'Hara M, Arnaout R. Reduction in laboratory

- turnaround time decreases emergency room length of stay. Open Access Emerg Med. 2018;10:37–45. 10.2147/oaem.s155988.
- 25. Hasselbalch RB, Schultz M, Hartmann Rasmussen LJ, et al. P1745 routine blood tests are strong predictors of mortality among patients with suspected heart disease in the emergency department. Eur Heart J. 2018. 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy565.P1745.
- Goldstein LN, Wells M, Vincent-Lambert C. Doctors' perceptions of the impact of upfront point-of-care testing in the emergency department. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):e0208655.
 10.1371/journal.pone.0208655.
- 27. Baskaran R, Gonski PN, Metz C. Preventable presentations of older adults to emergency departments: General practitioners' perspectives. Emerg Med Australas. 2022;n/a(n/a). 10.1111/1742-6723.13965.
- 28. Stanfliet JC, King JA, Piillay TS. Inadequacy of primary health care test request guidelines Lack of an evidence base. SAMJ. 2009;99:8.
- 29. Gopal Rao G, Crook M, Tillyer ML. Pathology tests: Is the time for demand management ripe at last? J Clin Pathol. 2003;56:243–248.
- 30. Bulger J, Nickel W, Messler J, et al. Choosing wisely in adult hospital medicine: Five opportunities for improved healthcare value. JHM. 2013;8(9):486–492.
- 31. Almeqdadi M, Nair HK, Hill J, Sanchez-Cruz J, Nader C, Jaber BL. A quality improvement project to reduce overutilization of blood tests in a teaching hospital. J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect. 2019;9(3):189–194.
- 32. Zhi M, Ding EL, Theisen-Toupal J, Whelan J, Arnaout R. The landscape of inappropriate laboratory testing: A 15-year meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2013;8(11):e78962.

- 33. Ellemdin S, Rheeder P, Soma P. Providing clinicians with information on laboratory test costs leads to reduction in hospital expenditure. SAMJ. 2011;101:10.
- 34. Speroff T, O'Connor GT, Gerald T. Study designs for PDSA quality improvement research. Qual Manag Health Care. 2004;13(1):17–32.
- 35. Bindraban RS, Ten Berg MJ, Naaktgeboren CA, Kramer M, Van Solinge WW, Nanayakkara WB. Reducing test overutilization in hospital settings: A narrative review. Ann Lab Med. 2018;38:402–412.
- National Health Laboratory Service. National Health Laboratory Service annual report 2022–2023. Johannesburg: NHLS, 2023; p. 52.
- 37. Cadamuro J, Ibarz M, Cornes M, et al. Managing inappropriate utilization of laboratory resources. Diagnosis. 2019;6:1.