Letters in High Energy Physics
ISSN: 2632-2714

Volume 2024
July

Childhood Trauma and its Long-Term Consequences: Psychosocial, Social and Laboratory-Based Analysis of Biological Markers

Tawasif Nazal Labbad Alanazi[1], Iyad Rahban B Alenezi[2], Hanan Mohammed Alahmadi[3], Alanazi, Naif Shehab M[4], ALENEZI, MATAB NADY D[5]

[1.] Specialist – Psychology, Therapy and Rehabilitation, Irada and Mental Health Complex in Arar

[2.] Specialist - Social Service, Therapy and Rehabilitation, Irada and Mental Health Complex in Arar, Saudi Arabia

[3.] Specialist - Social Service, Therapy and Rehabilitation, North Medical Tower, Arar

[4.] Technician – Laboratory, Laboratory and Medical Technology, North Medical Tower Hospital, ARAR, Saudi Arabia

[5.] Technician – Laboratory, Laboratory and Medical Technology, North Medical Tower Hospital, ARAR, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Childhood trauma encompasses a range of adverse experiences that can have profound and lasting impacts on an individual's psychological and physiological well-being. Studies have shown that experiences such as abuse, neglect, and extreme parental inconsistency can lead to disruptions in emotional regulation, cognitive development, and social relationships. These negative effects often manifest as anxiety, depression, and other mental health disorders in adulthood. Furthermore, laboratory-based analyses have identified biological markers associated with childhood trauma, such as alterations in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and dysregulation of cortisol levels, which contribute to the understanding of the intricate relationship between early adverse experiences and long-term physiological responses. In addition to the psychological repercussions, childhood trauma can lead to significant changes in brain structure and function, influencing emotional and behavioral outcomes. For instance, neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that individuals with a history of childhood trauma often exhibit reduced volume in key brain areas involved in stress regulation, such as the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. These findings underscore the importance of integrating psychosocial and laboratory-based analyses to better understand the multi-faceted consequences of childhood trauma.

***Keywords*:** Childhood trauma, long-term consequences, psychosocial analysis, biological markers, emotional regulation

Introduction:

Childhood trauma, increasingly recognized as a critical public health issue, refers to emotionally painful experiences during formative years, such as abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. The significance of investigating childhood trauma lies not only in its immediate effects on the psychological well-being of children but also in its far-reaching consequences that can persist into adulthood [1].

Childhood trauma is often categorized into various types, including physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect, each of which can leave indelible marks on a young person's psyche. The adverse effects of such experiences can manifest in myriad ways, leading to mental health disorders, behavioral issues, and impaired social functioning. Research conducted by the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study shows a stark correlation between the number of traumatic events experienced during childhood and the likelihood of developing various health complications, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and mental health disorders like depression and anxiety [2].

Understanding childhood trauma necessitates acknowledging the systemic and sociocultural factors contributing to its prevalence. Factors such as socioeconomic status, parental mental health, and community environment play a significant role in shaping a child's vulnerability to trauma. Moreover, the stigma surrounding mental health often exacerbates the challenges faced by both victims and

their families, creating a cycle of silence and neglect that can perpetuate the traumatic experiences associated with childhood [3].

A nuanced approach to the study of childhood trauma incorporates both psychosocial perspectives and biological analyses. The psychosocial paradigm highlights the impact of early adverse experiences on brain development, emotional regulation, and interpersonal relationships. Studies reveal that children exposed to trauma exhibit alterations in their cognitive and emotional processing, leading to difficulties in forming healthy attachments and managing stress. These psychosocial ramifications necessitate therapeutic interventions tailored to address the unique needs of traumatized children, emphasizing resilience and recovery [4].

The second component of this research analysis involves a closer examination of biological markers associated with childhood trauma. Laboratory-based studies have identified distinct neurobiological changes that occur as a result of traumatic experiences, including alterations in stress hormone levels, immune response, and brain structure. For instance, childhood trauma has been linked to dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, a system responsible for the body's stress response, which can lead to long-term consequences for both mental and physical health. Techniques such as neuroimaging have enabled researchers to visualize these changes in brain structure, particularly within areas associated with stress regulation, emotional processing, and decision-making [5].

Furthermore, emerging studies explore the concept of epigenetics—how environmental stressors can influence gene expression—offering compelling evidence that childhood trauma can leave a biological "footprint." This perspective introduces a complex layer to understanding resilience, where not only do psychosocial factors contribute to an individual's recovery, but biological predisposition may also significantly influence outcomes [6].

The intersection of psychosocial and biological research serves to inform a more holistic understanding of childhood trauma. Interventions rooted in this integrated approach could be pivotal in mitigating the effects of trauma and enhancing adaptive functioning across the lifespan. Prevention strategies, informed by insights from both domains, can foster safe environments conducive to healthy development, ultimately reducing the incidence of childhood trauma [7].

Defining Childhood Trauma: Types and Prevalence

Childhood trauma refers to the emotional and psychological response that occurs when a child experiences an event or series of events that exceed their ability to cope. The American Psychological Association (APA) defines trauma as the emotional response that a person has to an event such as an accident, rape, or natural disaster. In the context of childhood, trauma can stem from a myriad of sources, all of which can disrupt a child's sense of safety and security, leading to adverse effects on their development [8].

The complexities of childhood trauma are illustrated by the "ACE" study, which stands for Adverse Childhood Experiences. Conducted in the late 1990s, this longitudinal study investigated the correlation between traumatic childhood experiences and long-term health outcomes. The ten categories of adverse experiences identified in the ACE study include emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, physical neglect, household dysfunction (such as substance use, mental illness, and domestic violence), and parental separation or divorce. The impact of these experiences is often cumulative, where the presence of multiple traumas increases the likelihood of negative physical and mental health outcomes in adulthood [9].

Childhood trauma can take many forms, and it is essential to categorize them for effective understanding and intervention. The following are some of the primary types of childhood trauma [10-13]:

1. **Physical Abuse:** This involves intentional physical harm inflicted upon a child by a caregiver or another individual. It can include hitting, punching, burning, or other forms of violence that leave physical marks and psychological scars.

2. **Emotional Abuse:** Emotional or psychological abuse is characterized by behaviors that harm a child's self-esteem or emotional well-being. This includes constant criticism, rejection, threats, or isolation, which can lead to feelings of

worthlessness and depression that linger into adulthood.

3. **Sexual Abuse:** Sexual abuse involves any sexual act committed against a child, including molestation, exploitation, and exposure to sexual activity. This type of trauma can result in profound psychological consequences, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

4. **Neglect:** Neglect occurs when a child's basic physical and emotional needs are not met. This can manifest as lacking food, shelter, medical care, or emotional support. Neglect can significantly hinder a child's development and lead to long-term issues regarding self-worth and attachment.

5. **Witnessing Domestic Violence:** Children who observe violence against a caregiver often suffer from trauma, even if they are not directly harmed. Witnessing such chaos can create an unstable sense of security and an ingrained belief that violence is a normal aspect of relationships.

6. **Community Violence:** Exposure to violence in one's neighborhood or community, such as gang violence or shootings, can contribute to a pervasive sense of fear and insecurity, affecting children's emotional health and daily functioning.

7. **Loss or Grief:** The death of a loved one or the abrupt disruption of familial relationships due to divorce or separation can deeply impact children. The grieving process can be significantly more complicated for children who lack the coping mechanisms to process such loss.

8. **Medical Trauma:** Serious illnesses or injuries, as well as invasive medical procedures, can also lead to trauma. Children undergoing hospitalization or chronic health issues may develop anxiety, fear, or PTSD related to their condition.

Prevalence of Childhood Trauma

Understanding the prevalence of childhood trauma is paramount for recognizing its scope and addressing the needs of affected individuals. Research indicates that childhood trauma is alarmingly common. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), approximately 61% of adults surveyed reported experiencing at least one type of ACE, while nearly 16% reported experiencing four or more. Furthermore, studies have shown that marginalized communities are disproportionately affected by childhood trauma, with socioeconomic factors playing a critical role in the prevalence of adverse experiences [14].

The impact of socioeconomic status, race, and ethnicity cannot be understated. Poverty increases the likelihood of exposure to trauma, whether through living in violent neighborhoods, experiencing food and housing insecurity, or facing systemic discrimination. Children of color, particularly Black and Indigenous children, are often at a heightened risk for experiencing trauma due to the intersection of racial adversity, community violence, and institutional biases [15].

The consequences of childhood trauma extend far beyond immediate emotional distress. Children who experience trauma are at a greater risk for a range of mental health issues, including depression, anxiety disorders, substance abuse, and PTSD. In many cases, childhood trauma can interfere with academic achievement and healthy social development, leading to challenges in establishing meaningful relationships and navigating adulthood [16].

Moreover, the long-term effects of childhood trauma can manifest in physical health issues. Studies have shown that individuals with high ACE scores are at an increased risk for chronic illnesses such as heart disease, diabetes, and obesity. The physiological responses to trauma—including chronic stress—can have a direct impact on biological systems, affecting brain development and physical health over the lifespan [11].

Psychological Consequences of Early Childhood Trauma

One of the primary psychological consequences of early childhood trauma is the development of emotional dysregulation. Children who experience trauma often struggle to manage their emotions, leading to heightened feelings of anxiety, anger, and sadness. They may exhibit behavioral issues such as aggression, withdrawal, or avoidance, as they learn maladaptive coping mechanisms in response to their pain. These reactions can persist into adolescence and adulthood, manifesting in criminal behavior, substance abuse, and social isolation [10].

Moreover, individuals who have faced early trauma may develop a pervasive sense of hopelessness and

low self-esteem. The internalization of negative beliefs about oneself can result in difficulties in forming healthy relationships, as well as challenges in setting and achieving personal goals. This pervasive negative self-concept may stem from messages received during traumatic experiences—messages of worthlessness, fear, or abandonment become ingrained, impacting self-identity [13].

PTSD is another significant psychological consequence of childhood trauma. Children may reenact traumatic experiences through play or may exhibit symptoms such as flashbacks, nightmares, or hyperawareness. However, PTSD may not present the same way in children as in adults; they might express distress through aggressive behavior or developmental regression. It is crucial for adults—parents, teachers, and caregivers—to recognize these signs and understand that they are manifestations of profound psychological distress [16].

The effects of early childhood trauma extend into how individuals form and maintain relationships. Individuals who have experienced trauma may find it challenging to trust others or may develop attachment issues. Attachment theory posits that early interactions with caregivers shape how individuals seek and maintain relationships in the future. A child who has faced neglect or abuse may adopt insecure attachment styles, resulting in difficulties in forming close, trusting relationships as adults [17].

For example, those with anxious attachment may become overly clingy or dependent, seeking constant reassurance. Conversely, those with avoidant attachment may prioritize self-sufficiency to an extent that they reject intimacy and avoid deep connections altogether. These patterns can result in cycles of dysfunctional relationships that perpetuate feelings of loneliness and isolation, further intensifying psychological distress [18].

Additionally, individuals may encounter significant challenges in establishing boundaries. Experienced trauma can warp the understanding of personal boundaries, leading to difficulties asserting one's needs or recognizing when one's boundaries are violated. This can result in re-traumatization, especially when individuals find themselves in environments or relationships reminiscent of their previous trauma [12].

Given the profound psychological consequences of early childhood trauma, it is vital to identify effective coping mechanisms and therapeutic interventions. Psychoeducation about the effects of trauma can empower individuals and communities to understand the underlying issues stemming from traumatic experiences. Therapy modalities, such as trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) or eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), have shown effectiveness in treating trauma-related disorders [19].

Additionally, creating a strong support network can significantly impact recovery. Supportive relationships with caregivers, friends, and mental health professionals can foster resilience and provide a safe space for individuals to express their emotions and process their experiences. Techniques that promote emotional regulation, such as mindfulness and self-compassion practices, can aid in cultivating a healthier emotional landscape [20].

Prevention and early intervention are crucial in curtailing the impacts of early trauma. Recognizing the signs of trauma in children and providing timely support can prevent the development of more severe psychological issues later in life. Community-based programs that offer resources to families, education about trauma's effects, and access to mental health services can serve as vital components in fostering healthy childhood development [21].

Biological Markers: Examining Stress Hormones and Brain Structure in Childhood Trauma

The HPA axis is a complex network involving the hypothalamus, pituitary gland, and adrenal glands. When stressed, the hypothalamus releases corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), triggering the pituitary gland to secrete adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH). ACTH stimulates the adrenal glands to produce cortisol, a hormone fundamental to the stress response. Under acute stress conditions, cortisol is essential for mobilizing energy and initiating necessary physiological changes for survival. However, chronic stress can lead to a dysregulated HPA axis, resulting in prolonged elevated levels of cortisol [22].

A wealth of evidence indicates that individuals with a history of childhood trauma exhibit abnormalities in HPA axis functioning. In particular, studies show that children exposed to chronic stress have elevated baseline cortisol levels compared to their non-

traumatized peers. This heightened cortisol response is significant, as excessive and sustained cortisol exposure can lead to a variety of adverse health issues. It can impair immune function, disrupt metabolic processes, and negatively affect brain function and structure [23].

The brain's structure is particularly sensitive to the effects of stress and trauma during critical developmental periods. Neuroimaging studies have provided compelling evidence that childhood trauma is associated with structural brain alterations. For instance, research has shown that individuals with a history of trauma often exhibit reduced hippocampal volume. The hippocampus is essential for learning and memory, and its impairment can exacerbate cognitive and emotional difficulties [24].

Additionally, the amygdala, which plays a crucial role in emotion regulation and fear processing, often shows hypertrophy in individuals with a history of trauma. This structural change may contribute to heightened anxiety, increased aggression, and difficulty in emotion regulation, all of which are commonly observed in traumatized individuals. Functional connectivity between these regions can also be disrupted, leading to the aberrant processing of emotional stimuli and maladaptive responses to stress [25].

Furthermore, other brain regions such as the prefrontal cortex, responsible for executive functions such as decision-making, impulse control, and emotional regulation, may exhibit reduced volume in individuals exposed to trauma. Given that the prefrontal cortex is crucial for moderating responses to stress and regulating emotional states, its structural impairment may further predispose traumatized individuals to mental health disorders [20].

The relationship between stress hormones and brain structure in the context of childhood trauma is complex and bidirectional. Chronic exposure to elevated cortisol can adversely affect neurogenesis, synaptic plasticity, and overall brain development. For example, hypercortisolemia has been shown to coordinate neurotoxic effects that can incur damage to neurons and inhibit the formation of new neural connections. This effect is particularly detrimental during developmental windows when the brain is highly malleable and sensitive to environmental influences [25].

Simultaneously, alterations in brain structure can modulate the HPA axis's response to stress. For instance, dysfunctions in the prefrontal cortex can impair its regulatory control over the amygdala and other regions of the limbic system, leading to a heightened stress response and perpetuating a vicious cycle. Thus, the interplay between stress hormones and alterations in brain structure creates a feedback loop, reinforcing the impact of early trauma on emotional and psychological health across the lifespan [23].

Bridging Psychosocial and Biological Perspectives in Childhood Trauma

From a psychosocial standpoint, childhood trauma affects a child’s emotional regulation, interpersonal relationships, and social competencies. Researchers in psychology emphasize the role of early relationships and environmental stressors, highlighting key concepts such as attachment theory, resilience, and social support. Attachment theory posits that the bonds formed between children and caregivers shape emotional and behavioral responses throughout life. Insecure attachment, often a result of traumatic experiences, can lead to inadequate coping mechanisms, increasing vulnerability to psychological disorders [26].

Moreover, resilience is a critical element in understanding how children cope with trauma. Resilience factors—such as supportive adult relationships, community resources, and adaptive coping strategies—can mitigate the impact of trauma. Psychosocial research often focuses on the availability of these resources and the ways in which children can be empowered to navigate their trauma narratives effectively [27].

The biological aspect of childhood trauma emphasizes how traumatic experiences can alter neurobiological functioning and development. Exposure to trauma during critical periods can lead to dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which plays a central role in stress response. Chronic activation of this system due to ongoing trauma or adverse childhood events may contribute to long-term physiological changes. For example, elevated cortisol levels have been associated with various health problems, including immune dysfunction, cardiovascular issues, and increased susceptibility to mental disorders [22].

Additionally, neuroimaging studies have revealed that childhood trauma can lead to structural and functional changes in key brain regions, including the amygdala, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex. The amygdala, responsible for fear processing and emotional regulation, often shows hyperactivity in individuals with a history of trauma. Conversely, the hippocampus, critical for memory and learning, may experience volume reduction, impairing emotional regulation and cognitive function. These biological shifts underscore the necessity of integrating biological insights into psychosocial frameworks to form comprehensive interventions and understandings of childhood trauma [28].

Given the complexities of childhood trauma, integrative approaches that unify psychosocial and biological perspectives are essential. Such approaches recognize that psychological treatment and biological understanding can mutually inform and enhance one another. One model of integrative treatment is the biopsychosocial approach, which emphasizes the interaction between biological, psychological, and social factors in health. This model allows for a more holistic understanding of trauma and supports the development of multifaceted intervention strategies [29].

Practical applications of integrative approaches are seen in various treatment modalities for childhood trauma. Trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) exemplifies this integration by addressing the cognitive, emotional, and physiological impacts of trauma. This evidence-based intervention simultaneously targets maladaptive beliefs and behaviors while promoting coping mechanisms that strengthen resilience [30].

In addition to psychotherapy, pharmacological interventions can be used to address biological aspects of trauma. Antidepressants or anti-anxiety medications may be prescribed to manage severe psychological symptoms, supporting an individual's ability to engage fully in therapeutic processes. Combining these pharmacological approaches with psychosocial therapies heightens the potential for healing, demonstrating a bridge between mind and body [31].

Continued research is vital for advancing integrative approaches to childhood trauma. Cross-disciplinary studies that incorporate psychological, biological, and social methodologies will contribute to a richer understanding of how trauma affects children differently based on their unique contexts and experiences. Research exploring epigenetics—how environmental factors can influence gene expression—holds promise for further elucidating the biological mechanisms behind psychosocial outcomes in traumatized children [32].

Moreover, longitudinal studies are essential for examining how trauma can manifest over time and what factors influence recovery trajectories. By employing a variety of methodologies, including qualitative interviews, biological assessments, and psychosocial testing, researchers can develop a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between trauma and individual outcomes [33].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, childhood trauma is a multifaceted issue with profound implications extending far beyond immediate emotional distress. As current research continues to unravel the complexities surrounding childhood trauma, it is imperative to consider not only how early adverse experiences shape individual destinies but also how systemic and biological factors intertwine to influence healing and recovery. The subsequent sections of this work will delve deeper into these themes, illuminating pathways for intervention and future research that prioritize the well-being of affected individuals and foster the resilience necessary for thriving in adulthood.

References:

1. Dube SR, Felitti VJ, Dong M, Chapman DP, Giles WH, Anda RF. Childhood abuse, neglect, household dysfunction and the risk of illicit drug use: The adverse childhood experiences Study. Pediatrics. 2003;111(3):564–572. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.3.564.
2. Widom CS, DuMont K, Czaja SJ. A prospective investigation of major depressive disorder and comorbidity in abused and neglected children grown up. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2007;64:49–56. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.1.49.
3. Maier SF, Watkins LR. Stressor controllability and learned helplessness: The roles of the dorsal raphe nucleus, serotonin, and corticotropin-releasing factor. Neuroscience

and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2005;29:829–841. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.03.021.

4. Dube SR, Miller JW, Brown DW, Giles WH, Felitti VJ, Dong M, Anda RF. Adverse childhood experiences and the association with ever using alcohol and initiating alcohol use during adolescence. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2006;38(4):444e1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.06.006.
5. Clark DB, Lesnick L, Hegedus A. Trauma and other stressors in adolescent alcohol dependence and abuse. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 1997:1744–1751. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199712000-00023.
6. Copeland W, Keeler G, Angold A, Costello E. Traumatic Events and Posttraumatic Stress in Childhood. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2007;64:577–584. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.64.5.577.
7. Argyropoulos SV, Nutt DJ. Anhedonia revisited: Is there a role for dopamine-targeting drugs for depression? Journal of Psychopharmacology. 2013;27:869–877. doi: 10.1177/0269881113494104.
8. Heim C, Newport DJ, Mletzko T, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB. The link between childhood trauma and depression: insights from HPA axis studies in humans. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2008;33(6):693–710. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.03.008.
9. Carrion VG, Weems CF, Ray RD, Reiss AL. Toward an empirical definition of pediatric PTSD: the phenomenology of PTSD symptoms in youth. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2001;41:166–173. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200202000-00010.
10. Ford JD, Stockton P, Kaltman S, Green BL. Disorders of Extreme Stress (DESNOS) Symptoms Are Associated With Type and Severity of Interpersonal Trauma Exposure in a Sample of Healthy Young Women. Journal of Interpersonal Violence. 2006;21(11):1399–1416. doi: 10.1177/0886260506292992.
11. De Bellis MD. Developmental Traumatology: A contributory mechanism for alcohol and substance use disorders Special Review in Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2001;27:155–170. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4530(01)00042-7.
12. Chrousos GP, Gold PW. The concepts of stress and stress system disorders: overview of physical and behavioral homeostasis. Journal of the American Medical Association. 1992;267(9):1244–1252.
13. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Fourth Edition Text Revision. American Psychiatric Press; Washington D.C: 2000.
14. American Psychiatric Association. Trauma- and Stressor-Related Disorders, in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fifth Edition American Psychiatric Publishing; Arlington, VA: 2013.
15. Kirsch I, Lynn SJ, Vigorito M. The Role of Cognition in Classical and Operant Conditioning. Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2004;60(4):369–392. doi: 10.1002/jclp.10251.
16. Dell'Osso B, Nestadt G, Allen A, Hollander E. Serotonin-Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitors in the Treatment of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: A Critical Review. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2006;67:600–610. doi: 10.4088/jcp.v67n0411.
17. De Bellis MD. Developmental traumatology: the psychobiological development of maltreated children and its implications for research, treatment, and policy. Development and Psychopathology. 2001;13(3):539–564. doi: 10.1017/s0954579401003078.
18. Pagel JF. In: The neuropharmacology of nightmares, in Sleep and Sleep Disorders: A Neuropsychopharmacological Approach. Lader M, Cardinali DP, Pandi-Perumal SR, editors. Springer Science; New York NY: 2006. pp. 243–250.
19. De Bellis MD, Chrousos GP, Dorn LD, Burke L, Helmers K, Kling MA, Trickett PK, Putnam FW. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation in sexually abused girls. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 1994;78:249–255. doi: 10.1210/jcem.78.2.8106608.

20. McEwen BS. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: Central role of the brain. Physiological Review. 2007;87:873–904. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00041.2006.

21. Sanchez MM, Ladd CO, Plotsky PM. Early adverse experience as a developmental risk factor for later psychopathology: evidence from rodent and primate models. Dev Psychopathol. 2001;13(3):419–449. doi: 10.1017/s0954579401003029.

22. Rosmalen JG, Oldehinkel AJ, Ormel J, de Winter AF, Buitelaar JK, Verhulst FC. Determinants of salivary cortisol levels in 10–12 year old children: A population-based study of individual differences. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005;30:483–495. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.12.007.

23. Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA, Gunnar MR, Toth SL. The Differential Impacts of Early Physical and Sexual Abuse and Internalizing Problems on Daytime Cortisol Rhythm in School-Aged Children. Child Development. 2010;81(1):252–269. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01393.x.

24. Brunson KL, Eghbal-Ahmadi M, Bender R, Chen Y, Baram TZ. Long-term, progressive hippocampal cell loss and dysfunction induced by early-life administration of corticotropin-releasing hormone reproduce the effects of early-life stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA. 2001;98:8856–8861. doi: 10.1073/pnas.151224898.

25. Hayakawa K, Konishi Y, Matsuda T, Kuriyama M, Konishi K, Yamashita K, Okumura R, Hamanaka D. Development and aging of brain midline structures: Assessment with MR imaging. Radiology. 1989;172:171–177. doi: 10.1148/radiology.172.1.2740500.

26. Plotsky PM, Meaney MJ. Early, postnatal experience alters hypothalamic corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) mRNA, median eminence CRF content and stress-induced release in adult rats. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1993;18:195–200. doi: 10.1016/0169-328x(93)90189-v.

27. Tsigos C, Chrousos GP. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, neuroendocrine factors and stress. Journal of Psychosomatic Research. 2002;53(4):865–871. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3999(02)00429-4.

28. Schmidt-Reinwald A, Pruessner JC, Hellhammer DH, Federenko I, Rohleder N, Schuermeyer TH, Hirschbaum C. The cortisol response to awakening in relation to different challenge tests and a 12-hour cortisol rhythm. Life Sciences. 1999;64:1653–1660. doi: 10.1016/s0024-3205(99)00103-4.

29. Brunson KL, Kramar E, Lin B, Chen Y, Colgin LL, Yanagihara TK, Lynch G, Baram TZ. Mechanisms of late-onset cognitive decline after early-life stress. Journal of Neuroscience. 2005;25:9328–9338. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2281-05.2005.

30. Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2009;10:434–445. doi: 10.1038/nrn2639.

31. LeDoux J. Fear and the brain: where have we been, and where are we going? Biol Psychiatry. 1998;44:1229–1238. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3223(98)00282-0.

32. Herman JP, Ostrander MM, Mueller NK, Figueiredo H. Limbic system mechanisms of stress regulation: hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2005;29(8):1201–1213. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2005.08.006.

33. De Souza EB. Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors: physiology, pharmacology, biochemistry and role in central nervous system and immune disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 1995;20:789–819. doi: 10.1016/0306-4530(95)00011-9.