

# Vision and Communication in Emergencies: Integrating General Physician, Ophthalmology, Nursing, Pharmacy, and Speech-Language Pathology

Meshal Subah Alharbi<sup>1</sup>, Aloyayd, Zahra Abdullah A<sup>2</sup>, Hala Kamal Alfari<sup>3</sup>, Bader Kassem Abumelha<sup>4</sup>, Abdulhadi Hassan Alsalem<sup>5</sup>, Amnah Ali Alkalifah<sup>6</sup>, Afnan Obaid Ahmad Aljefri<sup>7</sup>, Mohammed Ali Ahmed Kdum<sup>8</sup>, Nouf Mohammed Albalawi<sup>9</sup>, Ammar Fahad Almoman<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King Salman Hospital, Gp, Preventive Medicine

<sup>2</sup> Omran General Hospital, Nurse Technician

<sup>3</sup> Eradah Complex And Mental Health, Speech And Hearing Therapist

<sup>4</sup> General Physician, National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup> Maternity And Children Hospital Alahsa, Nursing

<sup>6</sup> Maternity And Children Hospital Alahsa, Nursing

<sup>7</sup> Jubail General Hospital, Emergency Department

<sup>8</sup> Assir Health Cluster, Pharmacist Technician

<sup>9</sup> Service Resident In Kkh In Tabuk

<sup>10</sup> Eastern Health Cluster, Nurse Technician

## Abstract:

In emergency situations, the integration of diverse healthcare professionals is essential for ensuring comprehensive patient care. A well-coordinated approach among general physicians, ophthalmologists, nurses, pharmacists, and speech-language pathologists plays a crucial role in managing patients with complex needs. Vision and communication are paramount in these scenarios, as individuals may experience acute challenges that necessitate immediate attention. For instance, ophthalmologists can swiftly address vision-related issues, while general physicians can oversee overall health concerns and coordinate with nursing staff to monitor vital signs and administer treatments. Effective communication within this multidisciplinary team enhances patient outcomes and accelerates recovery, allowing each specialist to contribute their expertise efficiently. The role of speech-language pathologists is equally important in emergencies, particularly for patients who may have suffered neurological trauma or language impairments. Integration of these specialists ensures that communication barriers do not hinder the delivery of critical care. Pharmacists contribute by managing medications effectively, advising on best practices and potential interactions that could arise from polypharmacy in acute care. Regular collaboration and the establishment of protocols for sharing information can enhance the responsiveness of emergency teams. Overall, an integrated model of care that emphasizes collaboration among these various disciplines leads to improved patient safety, streamlined processes, and faster recovery times, ultimately providing a more efficient healthcare response in emergencies.

**Keywords:** Vision, Communication, Emergencies, General Physician, Ophthalmology, Nursing, Pharmacy, Speech-Language Pathology

## Introduction:

In the realm of healthcare, the provision of quality care during emergencies necessitates a multifaceted approach that harnesses the diverse expertise of various medical professionals. The integration of specialties such as general practice, ophthalmology, nursing, pharmacy, and speech-language pathology is critical for ensuring an effective response to emergent health crises, as these situations often involve complex considerations pertaining to patient care, communication, and the management of

medical interventions [1]. The ability of medical professionals to collaborate effectively can ultimately mean the difference between life and death in emerging scenarios, where time, clarity of communication, and precise medical action are paramount [2].

Emergencies can arise from myriad causes, including natural disasters, public health crises, or accidents, and they invariably place immense pressure on healthcare systems and professionals. During such times, patients may present with a wide

range of conditions that demand immediate attention, including visual impairments and communication challenges exacerbated by urgent medical situations. These issues can complicate diagnosis, treatment, and the overall patient experience, often requiring rapid yet coordinated responses from a team composed of professionals with diverse skill sets. The interplay between vision and communication in emergencies serves as both a critical focal point and a challenge within this multi-disciplinary framework [3].

Visual impairments can significantly hinder a patient's ability to understand and respond to healthcare professionals in high-stakes situations. An individual experiencing an emergency may face difficulties in processing visual information that is crucial for understanding instructions, navigating health facilities, or taking medications. This is where the expertise of ophthalmologists becomes vital, as timely assessments can determine the extent of visual impairment and the appropriate interventions needed. In tandem, general practitioners must play a pivotal role in the initial evaluation and stabilization of patients, ensuring that any issues related to vision are addressed as part of a comprehensive emergency care plan [4].

Moreover, the nursing profession serves as the cornerstone of frontline medical care, where nurses often act as the primary interface between patients and the healthcare system. Their ability to communicate effectively with patients—who may be disoriented or anxious in emergency situations—is critical for gathering relevant medical histories, relaying essential information about treatment options, and providing emotional support. Understanding the nuances of effective communication in times of crisis is essential for nurses who need to adapt their approach based on the patients' needs, especially those with sensory impairments [5].

Pharmacists also play an indispensable role in emergency preparedness and response, particularly when it comes to medication management. For individuals with visual impairments, identifying medications can be particularly challenging, emphasizing the need for clear labeling and guidance. Furthermore, pharmacists are pivotal in educating patients about medication adherence and potential side effects, which are often complicated

by the immediate stressors of an emergency. Coordinating with nursing and physician staff ensures that patients receive the right medications in an accurate and timely manner, ultimately enhancing patient safety and care [6].

The integration of speech-language pathology into emergency healthcare is equally significant. Patients may face communication barriers arising from cognitive impairments, traumatic injuries, or the psychological impact of an emergency situation. Speech-language pathologists are crucial in assessing and addressing these challenges, facilitating effective communication between patients and healthcare teams. Their interventions can include developing strategies to help patients convey their needs, comprehend instructions, and engage in meaningful dialogue with care providers. In this context, effective communication becomes crucial not only for delivering care but also for empowering patients during vulnerable moments [7].

As the complexities of modern healthcare systems evolve, there is an increasing recognition of the importance of interdisciplinary cooperation in emergency management. The integration of general physicians, ophthalmologists, nursing staff, pharmacists, and speech-language pathologists into a cohesive approach is essential for addressing the multi-dimensional nature of patient needs in emergency situations. This research aims to explore the synergies that can be achieved through collaborative efforts among these disparate yet interconnected disciplines. By examining case studies, best practices, and gaps in current emergency response frameworks, this study seeks to provide insights into how enhanced cooperation can lead to improved health outcomes and better communication pathways for patients facing emergencies [8].

### **The Role of General Physicians in Emergency Care**

Emergencies can arise from a variety of sources, including accidents, chronic disease exacerbations, natural disasters, and public health crises, among others. Each of these situations presents unique challenges requiring swift evaluation and management. General physicians, trained to provide comprehensive care across a diverse range of health issues, are equipped to respond effectively to these

emergencies. Their broad knowledge base allows them to assess patients quickly, recognize life-threatening conditions, and determine the appropriate course of initial treatment or referral [9].

### **Responsibilities of General Physicians in Emergencies**

1. **Initial Assessment and Triage:** One of the primary responsibilities of general physicians in emergencies is to conduct initial assessments and triage patients based on the severity of their conditions. This determination is crucial in emergencies where resources may be limited, ensuring that those who need immediate attention receive it promptly. General physicians are trained to employ systematic evaluation methods—including vital signs assessment, history-taking, and physical examination—to discern the urgency of a patient's condition [10].

2. **Stabilization of Patients:** After assessing the patient's condition, general physicians are charged with the stabilization of patients. This can involve managing airway compromises, administering vital medications, starting intravenous fluids, and performing basic life support if necessary. General physicians often act as first responders, empowered to deliver advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) and manage acute interventions until specialized care becomes available [11].

3. **Coordination and Communication:** In an emergency setting, effective coordination and communication are paramount. General physicians frequently serve as the linchpin between various healthcare professionals, including nurses, specialists, and paramedics. They harmonize treatment plans, relay patient history and ongoing assessments, and ensure that proper documentation occurs. This coordinated effort promotes seamless transitions of care, which are essential for optimal patient outcomes [12].

4. **Patient and Family Support:** Alongside technical medical skills, general physicians also carry the emotional burden of emergencies by providing psychological support to patients and their families. They must often communicate difficult news, offer reassurance in uncertain situations, and guide families in understanding treatment options. By fostering an environment of trust and empathy, general physicians enhance the patient experience

during emergencies, which can be critical to recovery [13].

5. **Public Health Role:** Beyond individual patient care, general physicians have a significant role in broader public health during emergencies. They are often on the frontline of medical response in times of crises like natural disasters or pandemics. Their ability to triage large groups and manage infectious diseases swiftly is crucial not just for immediate care but also for mitigating the strain on public health systems. They can lead community efforts in vaccination drives, health screenings, and education, effectively championing initiatives that prevent escalation during health emergencies [14].

Training for general physicians encompasses comprehensive education covering various medical disciplines, which equips them with the requisite skills to handle emergencies effectively. Their education typically includes intense clinical rotations in emergency medicine, internal medicine, pediatrics, and other specialties, enhancing their versatility and confidence in diverse clinical settings [15].

This broad-based training fosters a crucial ability: adaptability. Emergency situations can be chaotic and unpredictable, necessitating providers who can think critically under pressure. General physicians, accustomed to a wide range of clinical scenarios, can adapt their knowledge and skills according to the demands of the situation. Continuous professional education and hands-on training are vital as medical practices evolve rapidly, reinforcing the foundational skills of general physicians in emergency response [16].

The success of emergency care is deeply rooted in teamwork and collaboration. General physicians work alongside paramedics, emergency room staff, nurses, and specialists to deliver cohesive care. In high-stakes environments, every team member plays a distinct role that contributes to the overarching goal of patient safety and recovery [17].

General physicians are critical in fostering a culture of communication and collaboration among multidisciplinary teams. Their comprehensive view of a patient's health history and broader medical context allows them to provide valuable insights that enhance treatment strategies. They can effectively translate a patient's chronic conditions into immediate consideration in emergencies, ensuring

comprehensive care delivery that may help avert complications or long-term consequences [13].

Despite their vital role, general physicians often face numerous obstacles during emergencies. Limited resources, high patient volumes, and time constraints can hinder their ability to provide optimal care. Furthermore, the emotional toll of witnessing trauma, suffering, and loss can create burnout among providers—complicating their effectiveness [18].

Additionally, disparities in health care access and utilization can exacerbate challenges faced in emergencies. Inequitable health systems may limit the resources available to general physicians, thereby impacting the patient population they serve. Addressing these systemic issues is critical for improving emergency care [11].

### **Ophthalmology's Critical Contribution in Acute Situations**

Ocular emergencies encompass a broad range of conditions, including trauma, infections, and sudden vision loss, each requiring immediate medical intervention. Common acute ocular conditions include traumatic eye injuries caused by accidents, chemical burns resulting from industrial incidents or household products, and acute glaucoma. Each of these scenarios presents unique challenges that can compromise vision and, in some cases, threaten the integrity of the eye itself [19].

Trauma is one of the leading causes of ocular emergencies. Blunt or penetrating injuries can result in a host of complications, from corneal abrasions to retinal detachments. For instance, a blunt trauma can lead to a hyphema (bleeding in the anterior chamber), which necessitates immediate evaluation and management to prevent lasting damage. On the other hand, penetrating injuries, such as those from foreign bodies or projectiles, require immediate surgical intervention to preserve vision and prevent severe complications, including endophthalmitis—an infection of the interior of the eye [20].

Infections, such as bacterial keratitis and endophthalmitis, also demand urgent attention. The cornea, being the most vulnerable part of the eye, can quickly deteriorate if infected, leading to vision loss. In hospital settings, an ophthalmologist's immediate intervention can be instrumental in

initiating appropriate antimicrobial treatment and surgical management if necessary [12].

It is essential to recognize that the eye is not an isolated structure; it often reflects systemic health conditions. Several systemic emergencies have ocular manifestations that can provide critical clues to a patient's overall health status. For example, a sudden decrease in vision may indicate a stroke, especially when accompanied by other neurological symptoms. Conditions such as diabetic retinopathy or hypertensive retinopathy can act as harbingers of systemic disease, necessitating a multidisciplinary approach to patient care [21].

In emergency settings, the eye can serve as a diagnostic window to detect systemic disease, enhancing the ability of healthcare providers to manage concomitant medical issues effectively. An ophthalmologist's expertise can be invaluable in discerning whether an ocular issue is a standalone emergency or a symptom of a broader health crisis. For instance, retinal veins' occlusions can indicate systemic hypercoagulability and may warrant further investigation and intervention beyond the ocular realm [22].

In acute care environments, the ophthalmologist serves as an essential member of the healthcare team, collaborating closely with emergency medicine physicians, trauma surgeons, and other specialists. The rapid assessment and management of ocular emergencies require a specific skill set that includes not only the ability to perform comprehensive ocular examinations but also the technical expertise to execute intricate procedures such as eyelid repair, cataract extractions, or vitrectomy in cases of severe retinal detachment [23].

Moreover, the impact of timely ophthalmic intervention cannot be overstated. Research indicates that delays in the treatment of ocular emergencies can lead to significantly worse outcomes, including permanent vision loss. As such, embedded ophthalmology resources within emergency departments are increasingly recognized as crucial asset. This trend mirrors broader moves in medicine toward integrative care models, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive management of their health issues in a single setting [24].

To optimize their resource contributions within acute care contexts, ophthalmologists must engage

in ongoing education and training that aligns their skills with the latest developments in emergency medicine. Collaborative training sessions that include simulations of ocular emergencies can build synergistic relationships between ophthalmology and emergency medicine, fostering better communication skills and procedural teamwork. This approach ensures that all healthcare providers understand the vital signs of ocular emergencies and the swift actions required to manage them effectively [25].

Additionally, comprehensive protocols should be established to guide first responders and emergency room staff on the appropriate steps when managing ocular emergencies. Such protocols should include standardized referral processes to ophthalmologists, ensuring that patients can access specialized care without unnecessary delay, thus preserving vision and improving overall health outcomes [26].

#### **Nursing Support in Multidisciplinary Emergency Teams:**

Nurses are often the first point of contact in emergency settings, whether in hospitals, urgent care facilities, or pre-hospital environments such as ambulances. Their responsibilities span a breadth of activities, including initial assessments, treatment administration, patient advocacy, and post-care evaluations. Given their direct interaction with patients and families, nurses are uniquely positioned to identify urgent needs, relay critical information, and execute interventions effectively [22].

In multidisciplinary emergency teams, nurses are indispensable not only as care providers but also as communicators and coordinators. Their training equips them to handle diverse patient populations and a variety of conditions, making their input essential when rapid decisions are required. By applying their clinical expertise and holistic understanding of patient needs, nurses help sculpt the trajectory of care from the moment a patient arrives at the facility or is encountered in the field [27].

One of the core contributions of nursing in emergency care is their ability to conduct thorough and holistic assessments. Nurses are trained to evaluate both the physical and emotional aspects of a patient's condition, which is particularly crucial in emergencies where the psychological state can significantly influence patient outcomes. By

employing frameworks like the “head-to-toe assessment” and the use of subjective and objective data collection, nurses can deliver comprehensive evaluations that inform treatment plans [28].

For example, nurses assess not only the immediate injury or ailment but also consider factors such as social history, existing comorbidities, and emotional distress. This 360-degree perspective allows for nuanced care tailored to the complexities of each patient's situation, leading to more favorable outcomes [2].

In emergencies, timeliness is of the essence. Nurses utilize their clinical judgment to prioritize care and initiate interventions swiftly. According to the principles of triage, which govern emergency department practices, nurses often lead in categorizing the urgency of cases, making them crucial in determining treatment urgency. Their familiarity with protocols allows nurses to administer life-saving interventions—such as intravenous access, medication administration, and basic life support—while also monitoring patients for changes in status [29].

In many instances, nurses are empowered to act independently or alongside other team members to stabilize patients. Functioning within the scope of their practice, they often serve as the linchpin that connects various disciplines, ensuring that everyone—from physicians to ancillary staff—is informed about the patient's condition and history [13].

Communication stands as one of the cornerstones of healthcare delivery, especially in the chaotic environments of emergency care. Nurses excel in this realm, frequently acting as intermediaries between the patient and medical team. Their education often includes training in communication skills, which enables them to engage with patients and their families effectively, explain medical procedures, and provide updates on care [30].

Given the complexity of multidisciplinary teams, the risk of information silos can pose significant challenges. Nurses routinely bridge these gaps by providing continuity of information. For instance, during handoffs—when one healthcare provider transfers responsibility for a patient to another—nurses play a crucial role in ensuring that pertinent details are conveyed, which includes medical

history, assessment findings, and any actions taken prior to transfer [31].

Nurses are trained to utilize tools such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), enabling them to present concise and structured information that other team members can easily understand. Through effective communication, nurses help prevent misunderstandings that could lead to delays in care or medical errors, thereby promoting patient safety [32].

Another critical aspect of communication in emergency nursing is engagement with families and caregivers. Emergencies can be incredibly distressing for loved ones, and nurses often serve as a supportive presence, providing updates and offering emotional support. Engaging families in discussions about care can also enhance patient outcomes since informed families are better equipped to make decisions and assist in care plans post-discharge [33].

Moreover, family dynamics can greatly influence patient recovery and satisfaction. By involving families in the care process and educating them about treatment protocols, nurses contribute to a more holistic approach that respects the patients' social environments [11].

Although they are not always at the forefront, nurses often assume leadership roles within teams, especially in crises that require quick thinking and decisive action. They advocate for patients by voicing concerns about treatment plans and ensuring that patient preferences and values are respected. This advocacy extends beyond individual patients to systemic issues, where nurses may call for changes in protocols that improve overall care standards [34].

### **Pharmacy's Role in Medication Management in Emergencies:**

Pharmacists are trained healthcare professionals equipped with extensive knowledge about pharmacology, drug interactions, and patient care. In emergency settings, they are tasked with navigating the complexities of acute care, where decisions must be made swiftly and accurately. Their responsibilities typically include medication reconciliation, ensuring the appropriateness of drug therapy, monitoring for adverse effects, and

providing vital drug information to other members of the healthcare team [35].

One of the primary roles of pharmacists in emergency situations is conducting medication reconciliation. This process involves verifying the patient's medication history to ensure that there are no discrepancies between the medications they were taking prior to the emergency and those prescribed upon admission or during treatment in the emergency department. Given that patients often arrive in high-stress situations without complete medical histories, this task can be daunting. Pharmacists work diligently to gather information from various sources, including patient interviews, family members, and electronic health records, to create a comprehensive list of medications [36].

A thorough medication reconciliation helps prevent medication errors, which can lead to adverse drug interactions or therapeutic redundancies. For example, a patient who arrives at the emergency department with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) may be taking theophylline at home. The pharmacist must ensure that the prescribed medications do not inadvertently exacerbate this condition or lead to unnecessary complications such as toxicity due to overlapping drugs [37].

Another critical responsibility of pharmacists in emergencies is ensuring the appropriateness of prescribed drug therapies. In acute settings, clinicians often have to make rapid decisions based on limited information. Pharmacists provide valuable support by reviewing medication orders for appropriateness based on the patient's clinical status, allergies, and renal or hepatic function. This review is crucial in emergency departments where patients may present with polypharmacy—taking multiple medications that could interact negatively [38].

For example, a patient may arrive in the emergency department after an opioid overdose. Here, a pharmacist's role can extend to reviewing the patient's medication list to identify other central nervous system depressants that could compound the effects of opioids, thereby heightening the risk of respiratory depression. Utilizing their expertise in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, pharmacists can make recommendations for alternative therapies or necessary adjustments in

dosages, thereby ensuring that the chosen drugs are safe and effective in mitigating the patient's acute condition [21].

Pharmacists are also instrumental in monitoring patients for adverse drug reactions (ADRs) or therapeutic failures during their stay in the emergency department. In an acute care setting, patients are often administered medications that are potent and, at times, high-risk. The consequences of ADRs can be immediate and dire, making vigilant monitoring paramount [39].

Upon a patient's administration of a new medication, pharmacists can swiftly assess and contribute to the patient's healthcare plan by identifying signs of potential ADRs. For instance, if a patient receiving a new antibiotic begins to present with signs of an allergic reaction, the pharmacist can intervene by recommending alternatives and alerting the healthcare team to adjust the treatment plan immediately. Continuous monitoring enables pharmacists to flag potential issues proactively, thereby minimizing risk and optimizing therapeutic outcomes [40].

Pharmacists serve as accessible sources of drug information in emergencies. Emergency healthcare providers often face time constraints, making it challenging to gather and assimilate detailed medication-related knowledge quickly. Pharmacists utilize their vast drug knowledge to support clinical decision-making by providing succinct information about drug interactions, contraindications, and guidelines pertaining to acute interventions [41].

Moreover, they also play a role in patient education, empowering patients to understand their medications better, even in the context of an emergency. Information provided can include how to take medications correctly, understanding potential side effects, and recognizing drug interactions when patients are discharged. This is essential for ensuring continuity of care and fostering responsible medication usage following emergency treatment [42].

#### **Addressing Communication Barriers with Speech-Language Pathology:**

Speech-language pathologists are trained professionals who diagnose and treat communication disorders, which can encompass speech, language, voice, and fluency issues. Their

expertise extends to a variety of populations, including children with articulation disorders, adults recovering from strokes, and individuals with conditions such as autism spectrum disorder or aphasia. The overarching goal of SLPs is to enhance individuals' communication abilities, thereby improving their quality of life and fostering effective social interaction [12].

Communication barriers can arise from a multitude of factors, including:

1. **Speech Disorders:** These are characterized by difficulties in producing sounds or fluency, leading to challenges in articulation, pronunciation, or stuttering.
2. **Language Disorders:** This encompasses difficulties in understanding or using language, impacting both expressive (speaking or writing) and receptive (listening or reading) abilities.
3. **Cognitive-Communication Disorders:** These disorders can affect an individual's ability to organize thoughts, follow conversations, or understand the social rules of communication. Such impairments may result from traumatic brain injuries or neurodegenerative diseases.
4. **Physical Barriers:** Conditions such as hearing impairments or the physical inability to articulate words can hinder effective communication, requiring alternative methods of interaction [43].

SLPs utilize a diverse array of approaches tailored to the specific needs and abilities of their patients. Below are some fundamental strategies employed by speech-language pathologists:

#### **1. Personalized Assessment and Treatment Planning**

Before implementing strategies, it is essential for SLPs to conduct thorough assessments. These evaluations may include standardized tests, observational assessments, and interviews with caregivers and other professionals. Understanding the unique communication profile of a patient enables SLPs to design personalized treatment plans that address specific barriers. This approach not only increases the efficacy of interventions but also fosters a collaborative atmosphere wherein patients and families feel involved in the therapeutic process [44].

## **2. Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC)**

For individuals with profound speech or language impairments, augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) systems can provide critical support. AAC varies from simple tools, such as picture exchange systems and communication boards, to high-tech options, including speech-generating devices and mobile applications. SLPs evaluate the suitability of AAC tools based on the patient's needs and abilities, providing training and support for both the patient and their communication partners. The implementation of AAC can significantly enhance an individual's ability to express themselves and engage in conversations, thereby reducing frustration and improving social interactions [45].

## **3. Natural Language Acquisition Techniques**

For children, especially those with language delays, SLPs often utilize natural language acquisition techniques. These approaches emphasize creating a language-rich environment that encourages spontaneous speech and language use. Practitioners might engage in play-based activities that promote language development through interaction. Techniques such as modeling language, expanding utterances, and providing immediate feedback can stimulate linguistic growth and foster confidence in communication [46].

## **4. Cognitive-Communication Rehabilitation**

For patients recovering from neurological incidents or cognitive impairments, SLPs may implement cognitive-communication rehabilitation strategies. These interventions aim to improve attention, memory, and problem-solving skills that underlie effective communication. Techniques might involve structured cognitive tasks, social skills training, and the use of memory aids. By addressing the cognitive aspects of communication, SLPs help patients regain their confidence and improve their functional communication in everyday settings [47].

## **5. Family Involvement and Education**

Understanding the impact of communication barriers extends beyond the individual; it influences family dynamics and social relationships. Hence, SLPs often involve caregivers and family members in the treatment process. Education about the patient's communication needs, the use of specific

strategies at home, and positive reinforcement techniques can reinforce therapeutic goals and facilitate integration into daily life. Family involvement not only provides patients with consistent support but also alleviates the stress and frustration often associated with communication disorders [48].

## **6. Community Resources and Support**

SLPs acknowledge the importance of connecting patients and their families with community resources. These may include support groups, educational programs, and social skills training workshops. Participation in community-driven initiatives can enhance social interaction and provide opportunities for clients to practice their communication skills in real-world situations, ultimately fostering greater confidence and autonomy [49].

## **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, the intersection of vision and communication in emergency care highlights the critical need for an integrated approach among various healthcare professionals. As emergencies continue to challenge healthcare systems globally, there remains a pressing requirement for research in this domain to identify effective strategies for collaboration, optimize patient care, and improve emergency response frameworks. This study will contribute to the broad body of knowledge on emergency healthcare by elucidating the role of each profession and advocating for a more integrated and communicative response during crises, ultimately prioritizing patient-centered care in the most urgent of circumstances.

## **References:**

1. Toya H. Skidmore M. Economic development and the impacts of natural disasters. *Econ Lett.* 2007;94(1):20–25.
2. Viswanath K. Minsky S. Ramamurthi D. Kontos EZ. Communications under uncertainty: communication behaviors of diverse audiences during the A(H1N1) incidence of spring and summer 2009.
3. Kim N. How much more exposed are the poor to natural disasters? global and regional measurement. *Disasters.* 2012;36(2):195–211. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7717.2011.01258.x.



4. Taylor-Clark K. Viswanath K. Blendon R. Communication inequalities during public health disasters: Katrina's wake. *Health Commun.* 2010;25(3):221–229. doi: 10.1080/10410231003698895.
5. Savoia E. Massin-Short SB. Rodday AM. Aaron LA. Higdon MA. Stoto MA. Public health systems research in emergency preparedness: a review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med.* 2009;37(2):150–156. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2009.03.023.
6. Andrulis DP. Siddiqui NJ. Gantner JL. Preparing racially and ethnically diverse communities for public health emergencies. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2007;26(5):1269–1279. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.26.5.1269.
7. Viswanath K. Public communications and its role in reducing and eliminating health disparities. In: Thomson GE, editor; Mitchell F, editor; Williams MB, editor. *Examining the Health Disparities Research Plan of the National Institutes of Health: Unfinished Business.* Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine; 2006. pp. 215–253.
8. Perry RW. Evacuation decision-making in natural disasters. *Mass Emergencies.* 1979;4:25–38.
9. Fernandez LS. Byard D. Lin CC. Benson S. Barbera JA. Frail elderly as disaster victims: emergency management strategies. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2002;17(2):67–74. doi: 10.1017/s1049023x00000200.
10. Nelson C. Lurie N. Wasserman J. Zakowski S. Conceptualizing and defining public health emergency preparedness. *Am J Public Health.* 2007;97(Suppl 1):S9–S11. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.114496.
11. Public Health Preparedness Capabilities. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2011. National Standards for State and Local Planning.
12. Tierney KL. *Facing the Unexpected: Disaster Preparedness and Response in the United States.* 4th. Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press; 2001.
13. Viswanath K. Ackerson LK. Race, ethnicity, language, social class, and health communication inequalities: a nationally-representative cross-sectional study. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(1):e14550. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014550.
14. *Reducing Disaster Risk: A Challenge for Development.* New York: United Nations Development Programme; 2004.
15. Blendon RJ. Benson JM. Desroches CM. Weldon KJ. Using opinion surveys to track the public's response to a bioterrorist attack. *J Health Commun.* 2003;8(Suppl 1):83–92. doi: 10.1080/713851964. discussion 148-151.
16. Viswanath K. Ramanadhan SR. Kontos EZ. Mass media and population health: a macrosocial view. In: Galea S, editor. *Macrosocial Determinants of Population Health.* New York: Springer; 2007. pp. 275–294.
17. Paek HJ. Hilyard K. Freimuth V. Barge JK. Mindlin M. Theory-based approaches to understanding public emergency preparedness: implications for effective health and risk communication. *J Health Commun.* 2010;15(4):428–444. doi: 10.1080/10810731003753083.
18. Thackeray R. Neiger BL. Smith AK. Van Wagenen SB. Adoption and use of social media among public health departments. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:242. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-242.
19. Cretikos M. Eastwood K. Dalton C, et al. Household disaster preparedness and information sources: rapid cluster survey after a storm in New South Wales, Australia. *BMC Public Health.* 2008;8:195. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-195.
20. Aburto NJ. Pevzner E. Lopez-Ridaura R, et al. Knowledge and adoption of community mitigation efforts in Mexico during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;39(5):395–402. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2010.07.011.
21. Murphy MW. Iqbal S. Sanchez CA. Quinlisk MP. Postdisaster health communication and information sources: the Iowa flood scenario.

- Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2010;4(2):129–134. doi: 10.1001/dmp.2010-v4n2-hre10009.
22. Hornik RC. Epilogue: evaluation design for public health communication programs. In: Hornik RC, editor. *Public Health Communication: Evidence for Behavior Change*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2002.
23. Wray R, Jupka K. What does the public want to know in the event of a terrorist attack using plague? *Biosecur Bioterror*. 2004;2(3):208–215. doi: 10.1089/bsp.2004.2.208.
24. Meredith LS, Eisenman DP, Rhodes H, Ryan G, Long A. Trust influences response to public health messages during a bioterrorist event. *J Health Commun*. 2007;12(3):217–232. doi: 10.1080/10810730701265978.
25. Rubin GJ, Potts HW, Michie S. The impact of communications about swine flu (influenza A H1N1v) on public responses to the outbreak: results from 36 national telephone surveys in the UK. *Health Technol Assess*. 2010;14(34):183–266. doi: 10.3310/hta14340-03.
26. Di Giuseppe G, Abbate R, Albano L, Marinelli P, Angelillo IF. A survey of knowledge, attitudes and practices towards avian influenza in an adult population of Italy. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2008;8:36. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-8-36.
27. McGough M, Frank LL, Tipton S, Tinker TL, Vaughan E. Communicating the risks of bioterrorism and other emergencies in a diverse society: a case study of special populations in North Dakota. *Biosecur Bioterror*. 2005;3(3):235–245. doi: 10.1089/bsp.2005.3.235.
28. Plough A, Bristow B, Fielding J, Caldwell S, Khan S. Pandemics and health equity: lessons learned from the H1N1 response in Los Angeles County. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2011;17(1):20–27. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0b013e3181ff2ad7.
29. Keim ME, Noji E. Emergent use of social media: a new age of opportunity for disaster resilience. *Am J Disaster Med*. 2011;6(1):47–54.
30. Barr M, Raphael B, Taylor M, et al. Pandemic influenza in Australia: using telephone surveys to measure perceptions of threat and willingness to comply. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2008;8:117. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-8-117.
31. Horney JA, Macdonald PD, Van Willigen M, Berke PR, Kaufman JS. Individual actual or perceived property flood risk: did it predict evacuation from Hurricane Isabel in North Carolina, 2003? *Risk Anal*. 2010;30(3):501–511. doi: 10.1111/j.1539-6924.2009.01341.x.
32. Puhlman J, Johnson R.K. Survey of male college students' perceptions and knowledge of speech-language pathology. *J. Commun. Disord*. 2019;82:105936. doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2019.105936.
33. Mahmoud H.N., Mahmoud A.H. Knowledge and Attitudes of Jordanian Dentists toward Speech Language Pathology. *J. Lang Teach. Res*. 2019;10:1298–1306. doi: 10.17507/jltr.1006.19.
34. Potter N.L., Allen M. Clinical swallow exam for dysphagia: A speech pathology and nursing simulation experience. *Clin. Simul. Nurs*. 2013;9:e461–e464. doi: 10.1016/j.ecns.2012.08.001.
35. Hines S., Wallace K., Crowe L., Finlayson K., Chang A., Pattie M. Identification and nursing management of dysphagia in individuals with acute neurological impairment: Update. *Int. J. Evid. Based Healthc*. 2011;9:148–150. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-1609.2011.00211.x.
36. Zikria M., Mumtaz N., Saqulain G., Naveed Babur M. The Role of Speech-language Pathologists in the Hospitals of Pakistan. *Iran. Rehabi. J*. 2021;19:165–172. doi: 10.32598/irj.19.2.1335.1.
37. Janes T.L., Zupan B., Signal T. Community awareness of speech pathology: A regional perspective. *Aust. J. Rural. Health*. 2021;29:61–70. doi: 10.1111/ajr.12680.
38. Dondorf K., Fabus R., Ghassemi A.E. The interprofessional collaboration between nurses and speech-language pathologists working with patients diagnosed with dysphagia in skilled nursing facilities. *J. Nurs. Educ. Pract*. 2016;6:17–20. doi: 10.5430/jnep.v6n4p17.

- 
39. Brown J., O'Brien K., Knollman-Porter K., Wallace T. The Speech-Language Pathologists' Role in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury for Middle and High School-Age Children: Viewpoints on Guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Am. J. Speech Lang. Pathol.* 2019;28:1363–1370. doi: 10.1044/2019\_AJSLP-18-0296.
  40. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association Supply and Demand Resource List for Speech-Language Pathologists. 2019.
  41. Willoughby K.A., Chan B.T.B., Marques S. Using simulation to test ideas for improving speech language pathology services. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.* 2016;252:657–664. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2016.01.029.
  42. Ghassemi A.E., Fabus R. An interprofessional education pilot study for nursing and speech-language pathology students. *Iran. J. Nurs. Midwifery Res.* 2016;22:497–498. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR\_4\_17.
  43. King E.E. Knowledge and Perception of Speech-Language Pathologists by Allied Health Personnel in the Acute Care Hospital Setting. *Merge.* 2017;1:1–32.
  44. Robbertse A., De Beer A. Perceived barriers to compliance with speech-language therapist dysphagia recommendations of South African nurses. *S. Afr. J. Commun. Disord.* 2020;67:e1–e6. doi: 10.4102/sajcd.v67i1.686.
  45. Guo R., Bain B.A., Willer J. Results of an assessment of information needs among speech-language pathologists and audiologists in Idaho. *J. Med. Lib. Assoc.* 2008;96:138–144. doi: 10.3163/1536-5050.96.2.138.
  46. Sullivan A., Cleave P.C. Knowledge of the roles of speech-language pathologists by students in other health care programs. *JSLPA.* 2003;27:98–107.
  47. Alanazi A.A. Audiology and speech-language pathology practice in Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Health Sci.* 2017;11:43–55.
  48. Hines S.E., Wallace K. The role of nurses in managing dysphagia: A systematic review. *J. Nurs. Manag.* 2014;22:206–212. doi: 10.1111/jonm.12041.
  49. Innes A., Woods R.T. The challenges of providing care for adults with dementia. *Nurs. Stand.* 2011;25:35–41. doi: 10.7748/ns2011.11.25.10.35.c8501.