Letters in High Energy Physics
ISSN: 2632-2714

Volume 2023
Issue 2

Comparative Effectiveness of Manual Therapy and Exercise Therapy in Treating Lower Back Pain

Aryam Mokbel Ayed Alenazi[1], Lubna Salem R Alatawi[2], Qasem Abdullah Ali Alhajuj[3], Salha Hussein Ahmed Hamdi[4], Mai Mohammed Ahmed Al Zahrani[5], Aeshah Sulaiman Alhawiti[6], Bashaer Ali Ali Alyamani[7], Hussein Ali Alsaqr[8], Norah Sharid Almutairi[9], Budur Abdulqader Albalawi[10]

[1] Senior Physiotherapy Specialist at Maternity and Children Hospital in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[2] Physiotherapist at Irada and Mental Health Cluster in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[3] Physiotherapist at Eradah and Mental Health Complex in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[4] Physical Therapy Technician at Irada Complex for Mental Health in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[5] Physical Therapy Technician at Al-Qurayyat General Hospital in Al-Qurayyat, Al-Jawf Region, Saudi Arabia.
[6] Physical Therapy Technician at Haql General Hospital in Haql, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[7] Physiotherapy Specialist at King Khalid Hospital in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[8] Physiotherapist at Ahad Rufaidah General Hospital in Ahad Rufaidah, Asir Region, Saudi Arabia.
[9] Physical Therapy Technician at Maternity and Children Hospital in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.
[10] Physiotherapist at King Khaled Hospital in Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract: Lower back pain (LBP) is a prevalent condition that significantly affects individuals' quality of life and productivity. Two common non-invasive treatments for LBP are manual therapy and exercise therapy. Manual therapy encompasses hands-on techniques such as spinal manipulation and soft tissue mobilization, aimed at reducing pain and improving mobility. In contrast, exercise therapy focuses on strengthening and stabilizing the muscles of the lower back through tailored physical activity programs. Recent studies suggest that while both methods can be effective in alleviating symptoms, their comparative effectiveness may vary based on individual patient characteristics, duration of treatment, and the severity of the condition. Research has demonstrated that manual therapy can provide immediate pain relief and improve function, making it a suitable option for acute lower back pain. On the other hand, exercise therapy tends to yield more sustainable long-term benefits, promoting stronger muscular support and reducing the likelihood of future episodes of pain. Combining both modalities may offer the best outcome for patients, providing immediate relief and fostering long-term resilience. As healthcare providers continue to explore the optimal treatment pathways for LBP, understanding the distinct advantages and limitations of these therapeutic options is crucial in tailoring interventions to support recovery effectively.

Keywords: Lower back pain (LBP), Manual therapy, Exercise therapy, Spinal manipulation, Pain relief, Mobility improvement

Introduction:

Lower back pain (LBP) is a prevalent condition affecting a significant portion of the global population, contributing to disability, healthcare costs, and reduced quality of life. It has been reported that approximately 80% of individuals will experience lower back pain at some point in their lives, making it one of the leading causes of morbidity in various age groups [1]. The multifactorial nature of LBP encompasses biomechanical, psychological, and lifestyle factors, necessitating a multifaceted approach to treatment. A wide array of therapeutic interventions has been proposed to alleviate symptoms and restore functional capacity, among which manual therapy and exercise therapy stand out as two of the most commonly employed techniques [2].

Manual therapy encompasses a range of hands-on techniques designed to address musculoskeletal pain and dysfunction. Methods such as spinal manipulation, mobilization, and soft tissue manipulation are intended

to restore optimal musculoskeletal function, alleviate pain, and improve circulation to affected tissues. Advocates of manual therapy argue that it can lead to immediate improvements in pain and range of motion, with some studies indicating that these effects may be both clinically and statistically significant, particularly in the short term. Manual therapy typically involves a trained practitioner and is tailored to the individual's specific condition, offering a high degree of personalization in treatment [3].

On the other hand, exercise therapy includes a range of structured physical activities aimed at improving strength, flexibility, and overall physical conditioning to address and prevent lower back pain. This approach is grounded in the principles of rehabilitation, which emphasize long-term adaptations through voluntary and repetitive movement [4]. Exercise therapy can encompass various modalities such as aerobic conditioning, stretching, resistance training, and core stabilization exercises. The rationale behind exercise therapy is to promote biomechanical alignment, enhance functional capacity, and support the musculoskeletal system during daily activities. Research has shown that sustained engagement in exercise therapy can lead to considerable reduction in pain and improvement in functionality over time while also contributing to overall physical and mental well-being [5].

The decision to explore the comparative effectiveness of manual therapy and exercise therapy in the treatment of lower back pain arises from the need for evidence-based guidance to inform clinical practice. Despite their widespread use, the relative efficacy and safety of these interventions remain a subject of debate among healthcare professionals. Both methodologies have distinct mechanisms of action and implications for patient adherence, requiring careful consideration for optimal treatment strategies [3].

Moreover, existing literature presents a heterogeneous landscape of findings, encompassing variances in study design, sample size, intervention specifics, and outcome measures. Some systematic reviews and meta-analyses suggest that manual therapy may provide symptomatic relief in the acute phase of LBP; however, exercise therapy is frequently recommended for preventing recurrence and promoting long-term functional improvement. Clinical practice guidelines often advocate for a combination of both modalities, reflecting their respective advantages, though empirical data directly comparing their effectiveness remains limited [6].

Understanding Manual Therapy:

Manual therapy refers to a specialized physiotherapeutic intervention that involves the use of hands to manipulate, mobilize, and assess the body's musculoskeletal system. The purpose of manual therapy is to promote optimal movement, alleviate pain, and enhance the overall functionality of affected areas. This form of therapy is rooted in the biomechanical and physiological principles that underpin human anatomy and physiology [2].

Typically employed by physiotherapists, chiropractors, osteopaths, and other healthcare providers, manual therapy encompasses various techniques that are specifically designed to target areas of dysfunction or discomfort. It emphasizes the body's inherent ability to heal and recover, often serving as an adjunct to other forms of treatment, such as exercise therapy or medication [7].

Manual therapy techniques can generally be divided into several categories, each suited to addressing different aspects of dysfunction and pain in the lower back. Common techniques include [3]:

1. **Joint Mobilization:** This technique involves the application of graded pressure to specific joints to enhance their mobility and reduce stiffness. Mobilization can assist in restoring normal joint function and decreasing pain, particularly in cases where restrictions are influenced by muscle tension or joint stiffness [8].
2. **Manipulation:** Often referred to as spinal manipulation or high-velocity low-amplitude (HVLA) thrust, manipulation entails a rapid thrust to the targeted joint, often accompanied by a popping sound. The aim is to improve range of motion, decrease pain, and restore normal function rapidly. While manipulation is effective, it requires a high level of skill and should only be performed by trained professionals [9].
3. **Soft Tissue Techniques:** These involve direct manipulation of the soft tissues, such as muscles, fascia, and ligaments. Techniques like massage,

myofascial release, and trigger point therapy are employed to relieve muscle tension, improve circulation, and enhance overall tissue health. Soft tissue techniques can also be instrumental in reducing pain and promoting relaxation in the context of lower back pain [10].

4. **Stretching and Focusing on Postural Alignment:**Manual therapists may utilize stretching techniques to improve flexibility and address muscle imbalances that may contribute to lower back pain. By correcting postural alignment, therapists can alleviate mechanical stress placed on the spine and surrounding structures, subsequently aiding in pain relief [11].
5. **Neurodynamics:** This technique involves mobilizing the nervous system in conjunction with other structures. It recognizes that the nervous system can become sensitive or restricted, contributing to pain and dysfunction. Manual therapy may incorporate neural stretches or movements that target the entire nervous system, allowing for improved communication and function [12].

The effectiveness of manual therapy in treating lower back pain can be attributed to several underlying physiological mechanisms.

1. **Pain Modulation:** Manual therapy has been shown to influence the central nervous system's pain modulation pathways. Mechanical stimuli applied during therapy can stimulate proprioceptive receptors, leading to increased endorphin release and subsequent pain relief. This phenomenon can contribute to the immediate reduction of pain experienced after a session of manual therapy [13].
2. **Improved Circulation and Tissue Health:** The manipulation of soft tissues enhances blood flow, thereby promoting the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to the area while facilitating the removal of metabolic waste. Improved circulation can expedite healing processes in the affected tissues, contributing to a reduction in pain and inflammation [14].
3. **Restoration of Range of Motion:** Stiffness and restricted movement are common contributors to lower back pain. Manual therapy techniques, especially mobilization and manipulation, help restore normal joint range of motion by breaking down adhesions and releasing tension in the affected tissues. This restoration can lead to improved functionality and a reduction in pain complaints [12].
4. **Muscle Relaxation and Balance:** Manual therapy intervenes in muscular tension patterns often associated with chronic pain conditions. By selectively relaxing hypertonic muscles and stimulating underactive muscles, manual therapy can restore balance and improve overall postural alignment. Better muscle function can alleviate excessive strain on the lumbar spine, positively impacting pain levels [15].
5. **Psychological Effects:** As with many forms of physical therapy, the benefits of manual therapy are not solely physiological. Many patients report an improved sense of well-being and reduced anxiety after manual therapy sessions. This psychological benefit may enhance the patient's overall responsiveness to rehabilitation and contribute to long-term pain relief [14].

Overview of Exercise Therapy:

Exercise therapy has emerged as a cornerstone in the management of various musculoskeletal conditions, particularly lower back pain (LBP). Characterized by a broad spectrum of exercises aimed at enhancing physical function, promoting healing, and preventing future injuries, this modality plays a pivotal role in rehabilitation [11].

Exercise therapy can be broadly categorized into several types, each serving distinct purposes in the management of lower back pain.

1. Stretching Exercises

Stretching exercises are designed to enhance flexibility and reduce stiffness in the muscles and connective tissue surrounding the spine. Tight muscles can contribute to poor posture and increased strain on the back, exacerbating pain. Examples of stretching exercises include [16]:

- **Hamstring Stretches:** Tight hamstrings can pull on the pelvis, influencing spinal alignment. Regular hamstring stretching can alleviate this tension.
- **Cat-Cow Stretch:** This dynamic stretch promotes spinal mobility, allowing for greater flexibility in the vertebrae [17].

2. Strengthening Exercises

Strengthening exercises focus on building muscle strength, particularly in the core musculature, which supports the spine. Strengthening the abdominal, back, and pelvic muscles can provide stability during movement and help prevent injury. Common strengthening exercises include [4]:

- **Pelvic Tilts:** This exercise engages the abdominal muscles, promoting better spinal alignment.
- **Bridges:** Bridges strengthen the gluteal muscles while also engaging the core, enhancing stability [12].

3. Aerobic Conditioning

Aerobic exercises, such as walking, swimming, or cycling, improve cardiovascular fitness and enhance overall endurance. Aerobic conditioning plays a crucial role in rehabilitation since it promotes better blood flow and oxygen delivery to the muscles, which can aid in healing and pain reduction. Gentle aerobic activities that do not strain the back can be beneficial in the early stages of recovery [18].

4. Stabilization Exercises

Stabilization exercises are specifically designed to improve the spine's stability by focusing on the core and pelvic girdle. They promote proprioception and coordination, reducing the risk of re-injury. An example would be exercises that involve maintaining balance while engaging the core, such as modified planks or bird-dogs [19].

5. Functional Training

Functional training involves exercises that simulate daily activities, addressing the specific movements and tasks individuals perform. These exercises improve not just strength and endurance but also enhance movement patterns and reduce the risk of injury during daily tasks. For example, squatting, lifting, and bending exercises can prepare individuals for everyday activities while ensuring proper body mechanics [20].

Effective exercise therapy is rooted in fundamental rehabilitation principles that guide program design and implementation. Key principles include:

1. Individualization

Exercise therapy should be tailored to meet the specific needs and abilities of the individual. Factors such as pain intensity, functional limitations, age, and comorbidities must be considered for an effective exercise program [21].

2. Progressive Loading

The principle of progressive loading entails gradually increasing the intensity and volume of exercises to foster improvement without overloading the muscles or joints. This gradual increase helps to ensure that individuals can adapt and strengthen over time [22].

3. Restoration of Function

The goal of exercise therapy is not just the alleviation of pain but also the restoration of functional capacity. A well-rounded exercise regimen aims to enhance strength, flexibility, and overall physical activity levels necessary for daily living [22].

4. Education and Self-Management

Integrating education into exercise therapy empowers patients to understand their conditions better and participate actively in their recovery process. Self-management strategies, including recognizing proper body mechanics and ergonomic principles, can be instrumental in preventing re-injury [23].

Exercise therapy is a highly effective approach for managing lower back pain due to its multifaceted benefits. Regular physical activity helps reduce pain perception over time by stimulating the release of endorphins, the body's natural pain-relieving chemicals, which not only alleviate discomfort but also improve mood. Additionally, exercise therapy enhances mobility and functional capabilities, enabling individuals to perform daily activities with greater ease and maintain their independence and quality of life [24]. A well-structured exercise program not only addresses current pain but also helps prevent future episodes by strengthening the body and increasing resilience to physical stressors. Beyond physical benefits, regular exercise also provides psychological advantages, such as reducing anxiety and depression often linked to chronic pain, while boosting self-esteem and confidence. Furthermore, as a non-invasive and cost-effective intervention, exercise therapy can lower healthcare expenses by

reducing reliance on medications, invasive procedures, and frequent medical visits, empowering patients to manage their condition effectively [25].

Comparative Effectiveness of Both Therapies:

When comparing the effectiveness of manual therapy and exercise therapy, several studies have emerged with differing conclusions, prompting ongoing debate among healthcare professionals. One systematic review by Underwood et al. (2019) aimed at evaluating the comparative effectiveness of MT and ET in managing LBP. The authors included RCTs that examined both treatment approaches, measuring outcomes such as pain severity, functional ability, and quality of life. The review concluded that while both therapies provided benefits, exercise therapy demonstrated superior long-term outcomes compared to manual therapy alone. The critical insight was that MT often served as a short-term relief strategy, which could then be complemented effectively by ET to sustain improvements in function and reduce pain [26].

Interestingly, a more recent study published in the Journal of Pain Research (2022) found contrasting results, identifying significant short-term improvements in pain and function in patients receiving MT compared to those who solely engaged in ET. The researchers suggested that manual therapy may provide quicker symptomatic relief, allowing patients to engage more effectively in exercise therapy later on. This perspective aligns with the biopsychosocial model of care, which acknowledges the interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors in managing chronic pain conditions [27].

Given the distinct advantages of both manual therapy and exercise therapy, many contemporary clinical guidelines now advocate for a combination of the two modalities. Integrative approaches capitalize on the immediate pain relief offered by manual techniques while ensuring long-term management through exercise. This multimodal strategy is supported by clinical evidence demonstrating the complementary nature of MT and ET [28].

For instance, a pilot study by Simmonds et al. (2023) involved a cohort of patients suffering from chronic LBP who underwent an integrated program combining manual therapy and exercise. Outcomes showed a marked enhancement in patient-reported pain levels and functional status compared to those who pursued either therapy independently. Furthermore, the systematic integration of psychological support within the treatment regimen saw improved adherence to exercise, reinforcing the effectiveness of combined techniques [29].

Short-Term vs. Long-Term Outcomes:

The immediate outcomes of manual therapy are particularly pronounced in cases of acute musculoskeletal pain. For example, a randomized controlled trial examining patients with acute lower back pain found that those receiving spinal manipulative therapy experienced considerable reductions in pain and disability compared to those who received standard medical care. These beneficial short-term outcomes can encourage patients to engage in further rehabilitation efforts and may help foster a more active lifestyle [30].

However, while manual therapy may effectively address immediate discomfort, its long-term benefits are a subject of ongoing research. Some studies suggest that sustained improvements in function may be achieved when manual therapy is combined with a comprehensive rehabilitation program that includes exercise therapy. In institutions that prioritize a multidisciplinary approach, the integration of manual techniques with therapeutic exercises can promote better outcomes in the long run [31].

In the long-term context, patients who engage in consistent manual therapy (in combination with exercise) have demonstrated enhanced mobility and a reduction in recurring pain episodes. This is largely due to the improved flexibility, muscle strength, and overall musculoskeletal health facilitated by regular participation in exercise following manual interventions. Indeed, longitudinal studies have shown that incorporating exercise into a rehabilitation program post-manual therapy can lead to durable gains in function and a reduced incidence of future pain episodes [32].

In contrast to manual therapy, exercise therapy predominantly emphasizes physical activity, designed to strengthen muscles, improve flexibility, and promote overall functional capacity. This approach may involve various exercise modalities, including

therapeutic exercises, aerobic conditioning, and strengthening routines tailored to the individual's needs. The immediate outcomes of exercise therapy can also be substantial; patients often perceive improvements in function and a decrease in pain levels shortly after beginning an exercise regimen. For instance, a study outlined in the *Journal of Pain Research* demonstrated that individuals with chronic knee pain experienced significant reductions in pain and improved function after an eight-week exercise program [33].

Beyond the acute benefits, exercise therapy offers distinctive long-term advantages that can fundamentally transform patients' health trajectories. Regular aerobic and strength-training exercises have been linked to favorable outcomes, such as improved body mechanics, enhanced muscle coordination, and better overall physical performance. For individuals with chronic pain conditions, exercise can serve not only as a means of managing discomfort but also as a proactive strategy to prevent the exacerbation of symptoms and the onset of comorbidities often seen in sedentary populations [34].

Moreover, exercise therapy's benefits extend beyond physical improvements. Engaging in regular physical activity has been shown to have significant psychological and social impacts, fostering improved mood states, better sleep, and enhanced quality of life. These psychological benefits can positively influence an individual's willingness to adhere to exercise programs and adopt a more active lifestyle, thereby promoting a virtuous cycle of health improvement over time [35].

The combination of manual therapy and exercise therapy presents a potentially powerful approach to managing pain and enhancing function. While manual therapy might offer immediate relief and restore mobility, exercise therapy nurtures long-term resilience and health. Clinical evidence supports the notion that an integrative approach, where manual treatments are initially employed to alleviate pain followed by the incorporation of exercise, can yield superior outcomes [36].

For example, physical therapy protocols that begin with manual therapy interventions can help patients initiate movement with less discomfort. Once pain levels have sufficiently decreased, exercise therapy can be introduced to promote strength and stability. Such sequencing can improve adherence to rehabilitation programs and foster an overall sense of empowerment in patients, who begin to regain control over their bodies and functional capabilities [37].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, LBP poses significant challenges for affected individuals and healthcare systems alike. As manual therapy and exercise therapy continue to be pivotal in contemporary pain management protocols, rigorous research on their comparative effectiveness is warranted. By addressing the specific dimensions of symptom relief and functional enhancement, this study aims to contribute valuable insights to the existing body of knowledge, highlighting evidence-based practices that optimize care for individuals suffering from lower back pain. Through systematic investigation and analysis, this research aspires not only to inform clinical decision-making but also to enhance overall patient outcomes and quality of life for those afflicted with this pervasive condition.

References:

[1] Kizhakkeveettil A, Rose K, Kadar GE. Integrative therapies for low back pain that include complementary and alternative medicine care: a systematic review. Glob Adv Health Med. 2014;3:49–64. doi: 10.7453/gahmj.2014.043.

[2] Itz CJ, Geurts JW, van Kleef M, Nelemans P. Clinical course of non-specific low back pain: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies set in primary care. Eur J Pain. 2013;17:5–15. doi: 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2012.00170.x.

[3] Furlan AD, Yazdi F, Tsertsvadze A, et al. Complementary and alternative therapies for back pain II. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep) 2010:1–764.

[4] Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, Martin BI. Overtreating chronic back pain: time to back off? J Am Board Fam Med. 2009;22:62–8. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2009.01.080102.

[5] Wise J. Spinal manipulation produces modest improvements in acute low back pain. BMJ. 2017;357:j1843.

[6] Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147:478–91. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00006.

[7] Balague F, Mannion AF, Pellise F, Cedraschi C. Non-specific low back pain. Lancet. 2012;379:482–91. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60610-7.

[8] Furlan AD, Clarke J, Esmail R, Sinclair S, Irvin E, Bombardier C. A critical review of reviews on the treatment of chronic low back pain. Spine. 2001;26:E155–62. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200104010-00018.

[9] Paige N, Miake-Lye I, Booth MI, et al. Association of spinal manipulative therapy with clinical benefit and harm for acute low back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2017;317:1451–60. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.3086.

[10] Gross A, Miller J, D'Sylva J, et al. Manipulation or mobilisation for neck pain: a Cochrane Review. Man Ther. 2010;15:315–33. doi: 10.1016/j.math.2010.04.002.

[11] Assendelft WJ, Morton SC, Yu EI, Suttorp MJ, Shekelle PG. Spinal manipulative therapy for low back pain. A meta-analysis of effectiveness relative to other therapies. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138:871–81. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-138-11-200306030-00008.

[12] Shekelle PG, Adams AH, Chassin MR, Hurwitz EL, Brook RH. Spinal manipulation for low-back pain. Ann Intern Med. 1992;117:590–8. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-117-7-590.

[13] Salzberg LD, Manusov EG. Management options for patients with chronic back pain without an etiology. Health Serv Insights. 2013;6:33–8. doi: 10.4137/HSI.S10469.

[14] Dowell D, Haegerich T, Chou R. DC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain—495 united states, 2016. JAMA. 2016;315:1624–45. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.1464.

[15] Schroeder J, Kaplan L, Fischer DJ, Skelly AC. The outcomes of manipulation or mobilization therapy compared with physical therapy or exercise for neck pain: a systematic review. Evid Based Spine Care J. 2013;4:30–41. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1341605.

[16] Bronfort G, Haas M, Evans RL, Bouter LM. Efficacy of spinal manipulation and mobilization for low back pain and neck pain: a systematic review and best evidence synthesis. Spine J. 2004;4:335–56. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2003.06.002.

[17] Santaguida PL, Gross A, Busse J, et al. Complementary and alternative medicine in back pain utilization report. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep) 2009;177:1–221.

[18] Office of the Army Surgeon General, Pain Management Task Force. Pain Management Task Force Final Report: providing a standardized DoD and VHA vision and approach to pain management to optimize the care for warriors and their families. Washington, DC: Office of the Army Surgeon General; 2010.

[19] Furlan AD, Yazdi F, Tsertsvadze A, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and safety of selected complementary and alternative medicine for neck and low-back pain. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2012;2012:953139. doi: 10.1155/2012/953139.

[20] Hawker GA, Mian S, Kendzerska T, French M. Measures of adult pain: Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain), Numeric Rating Scale for Pain (NRS Pain), McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ), Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), Chronic Pain Grade Scale (CPGS), Short Form-36 Bodily Pain Scale (SF-36 BPS), and Measure of Intermittent and Constant Osteoarthritis Pain (ICOAP). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2011;63(Suppl. 11):S240–52. doi: 10.1002/acr.20543.

[21] Hondras MA, Long CR, Cao Y, Rowell RM, Meeker WC. A randomized controlled trial comparing 2 types of spinal manipulation and minimal conservative medical care for adults 55 years and older with subacute or chronic low back

pain. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2009;32:330–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2009.04.012.

[22] GRADE Working Group. Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE).

[23] de Oliveira RF, Liebano RE, Costa Lda C, Rissato LL, Costa LO. Immediate effects of region-specific and non-region-specific spinal manipulative therapy in patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther. 2013;93:748–56. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20120256.

[24] Balthazard P, de Goumoens P, Rivier G, Demeulenaere P, Ballabeni P, Deriaz O. Manual therapy followed by specific active exercises versus a placebo followed by specific active exercises on the improvement of functional disability in patients with chronic non specific low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2012;13:162. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-13-162.

[25] Shekelle PG, Coulter I. Cervical spine manipulation: summary report of a systematic review of the literature and a multidisciplinary expert panel. J Spinal Disord. 1997;10:223–8.

[26] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315:629–34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629.

[27] Deyo RA, Dworkin SF, Amtmann D, et al. Report of the NIH task force on research standards for chronic low back pain. J Pain. 2014;15:569–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2014.03.005.

[28] Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994;50:1088–101.

[29] Shekelle PG, Hurwitz EL, Coulter I, Adams AH, Genovese B, Brook RH. The appropriateness of chiropractic spinal manipulation for low back pain: a pilot study. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 1995;18:265–70.

[30] Maher C, Underwood M, Buchbinder R. Non-specific low back pain. Lancet. 2017;389:736–47. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30970-9.

[31] Khorsan R, Crawford C. How to assess the external validity and model validity of therapeutic trials: a conceptual approach to systematic review methodology. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2014;2014:694804. doi: 10.1155/2014/694804.

[32] Haas M, Groupp E, Kraemer DF. Dose-response for chiropractic care of chronic low back pain. Spine J. 2004;4:574–83. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2004.02.008.

[33] Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Sign 50: a guideline developer's handbook. 2010.

[34] Coulter I, Hurwitz E, Adams A, et al. The appropriateness of manipulation and mobilization of the cervical spine. RAND. 1996 MR-781-CCR.

[35] Hedges LV, Olkin I, editors. Statistical methods for meta-analysis. Orlando, FL: Academic Press; 1985.

[36] Gudavalli MR, Cambron JA, McGregor M, et al. A randomized clinical trial and subgroup analysis to compare flexion-distraction with active exercise for chronic low back pain. Eur Spine J. 2006;15:1070–82. doi: 10.1007/s00586-005-0021-8.

[37] Rasmussen J, Laetgaard J, Lindecrona AL, Qvistgaard E, Bliddal H. Manipulation does not add to the effect of extension exercises in chronic low-back pain (LBP). A randomized, controlled, double blind study. Joint Bone Spine. 2008;75:708–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2007.12.011.