Assessing the Effectiveness of Team-based Public Health Models in Managing Patient Health: A Focus on Nursing, Medicine, and Pharmacy

Rahmah Abdullah Ahmed Fallatah¹, Alqasem, Ahmad Hasan A², Khalid Salamah H Alanazi³, Alshahrani, Dalal Ayidh F⁴, Sukaina Aljeelani Mohammed Fal⁵, Mashael Mafareh Hamad Alruwili⁶, Bader Saad Zidan Alrashedi⁷, Abdulaziz Mutarid Osailan Alanazi⁸, Kassab Sahw Kassab Al Shamre⁹, Altimni Ibrahim Mohammed S¹⁰, Zabin, Abdulaziz Aedh M¹¹.

- ^{1.} General Practice, General Practitioner at Al Haram Hospital, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ^{2.} Pharmacy, Technician at the Branch of the Ministry of Health, Asir, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ^{3.} Pharmacy, Pharmacist at the Ministry of Health, Arar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ^{4.} General Nursing, Medina Health Cluster, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- ^{5.} Nursing, Specialist Nurse at Medina Cluster Public Health, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ⁶ Nursing, Technician Nurse at AlFaisaliah Health Center, Arar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - 7. Nursing, Nursing Technician at Management of Health Centres in Khyber, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- 8. Nursing, Specialist Nurse at Prince Abdulaziz Bin Musaed Hospital, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - ^{9.} Nursing, Technician Nurse at Forensic Medical Center, Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- 10. Public Health, Technician at the Branch of the Ministry of Health, Asir Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 - 11. Public Health, Technician at the Branch of the Ministry of Health, Asir, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Abstract:

Team-based public health models have gained recognition for their potential to improve patient outcomes by promoting collaborative practice among healthcare professionals. This approach combines the expertise of nurses, physicians, pharmacists, and other allied health specialists to create a holistic treatment plan tailored to individual patient needs. Evidence suggests that these multidisciplinary teams can lead to enhanced communication, increased patient satisfaction, and better management of chronic conditions. By allowing each member to contribute their unique skills and knowledge, team-based models aim to address gaps in care and decrease the likelihood of medical errors, ultimately enhancing the overall quality of patient health management. Despite the promising outcomes associated with team-based approaches, there are challenges in their implementation, such as role ambiguity, communication barriers, and varying degrees of training among team members. Studies indicate that successful integration of nursing, medicine, and pharmacy professionals in public health initiatives necessitates investment in comprehensive training programs, equitable workload distribution, and clear protocols for interprofessional collaboration. Ongoing evaluation of these models is critical to ensure they are effectively addressing healthcare disparities and adapting to the evolving demands of public health. By systematically assessing the impact of team-based care, stakeholders can better understand its efficacy in managing patient health and fostering a more integrated healthcare system.

Keywords: Team-based public health, collaborative practice, nursing, medicine, pharmacy, patient outcomes, healthcare professionals, chronic conditions, communication, implementation challenges

Introduction:

In an era characterized by rapidly evolving healthcare challenges, including an aging population, the rise of chronic diseases, and increased health disparities, innovative approaches to patient management are imperative. Among these approaches, team-based public health models have emerged as potent strategies for promoting effective patient health outcomes. By integrating the diverse areas of expertise embodied in nursing, medicine, and pharmacy, these models foster collaborative care that can address the multifaceted needs of patients [1].

The concept of team-based care in public health is grounded in the recognition that health determinants are intricate and vary significantly among different populations. The World Health Organization (WHO) underscores the necessity multidisciplinary collaboration, citing that health outcomes are not merely a product of medical intervention but are also influenced by social determinants such as socioeconomic status, education, and environment. Team-based models facilitate a holistic approach to care, enabling healthcare professionals from various disciplines to work together, share insights, and develop comprehensive care plans tailored to individual patient needs [2].

Nurses, physicians, and pharmacists constitute the cornerstone of healthcare delivery systems, and their roles are evolving to encompass a more integrated approach to patient management. Nurses often serve as the primary point of contact for patients, guiding their care journeys and facilitating communication among team members. Their extensive training in patient advocacy, education, and holistic care positions them to play a crucial role in team-based models. Physicians, with their diagnostic expertise and clinical oversight, ensure that treatment strategies align with evidence-based practices while maintaining a focus on patient safety and outcomes. Meanwhile, pharmacists are increasingly recognized for their vital contributions to medication management and health literacy, ensuring that patients have access to safe and effective pharmaceutical care [3].

Despite the promising potential of team-based public health models, there remains a relative paucity of empirical research examining their effectiveness, particularly concerning patient health outcomes. Existing literature often highlights the efficiencies achieved through such models, including improved communication, reduced hospital readmission rates, and lower healthcare costs. However, more in-depth investigations are required to understand the specific dynamics between the various stakeholders involved and to identify best practices that optimize these interactions for maximal patient benefit [4].

Furthermore, as public health challenges become more complex, there is a pressing need to investigate how demographic variables, such as age, gender, race, and socioeconomic status, influence the effectiveness of team-based care in diverse populations. The drive toward personalized medicine suggests that patient-centered approaches, tailored to the unique characteristics and circumstances of each individual, can enhance health outcomes. Thus, this research seeks to explore how team-based models can be employed to account for diversity in patient populations and how these models can ensure equitable healthcare delivery [5].

The Role of Nursing in Team-Based Care:

Team-based care can be defined as a collaborative approach to healthcare where professionals from various disciplines work together to provide patient-centered solutions. This model has emerged in response to the complexities of modern healthcare, which involves managing a multitude of factors affecting patients' health, such as chronic diseases, mental health issues, and social determinants of health. In team-based care settings, diverse healthcare professionals—physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and therapists—converge with a shared goal: to optimize patient outcomes [6].

Nurses occupy a unique and pivotal position within multidisciplinary teams. They serve as patient advocates, care coordinators, and educators, and they often function as the primary point of contact within the healthcare system. This role is accentuated in team-based care models where communication, collaboration, and continuity of care are paramount [7].

ISSN: 2632-2714 Iss

1. Advocacy and Patient-Centered Care

One of the fundamental contributions of nurses in MDTs is their patient advocacy role. Nurses are often the first healthcare professionals to identify changes in a patient's condition or concerns regarding treatment. Their continuous, hands-on interaction with patients provides them with invaluable insights into patients' needs, preferences, and concerns. This direct relationship allows nurses to advocate for necessary changes in care plans, ensuring that the patient's voice is heard in discussions among team members [8].

For instance, in a diabetes management team, a nurse can gather information about a patient's lifestyle, dietary habits, and psychosocial challenges—information that may not surface in a traditional medical examination. By conveying this information to the physician, dietitian, and social worker, nurses significantly contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the patient's situation [9].

2. Care Coordination and Communication

In an era of complex healthcare needs, care coordination becomes critical. Nurses play a key role in maintaining continuity of care, which is particularly important for patients dealing with multiple health issues. They are often responsible for ensuring that all team members are on the same page, which requires effective communication skills [10].

Nurses serve as the communication nexus in MDTs, relaying vital information regarding treatment plans, medication management, and follow-up care. They often act as liaisons, translating medical jargon into comprehensible language for the patient and the family. For example, a nurse might help a patient understand the implications of a medication regimen prescribed by a physician and coordinate follow-up appointments, consultations, or referrals to other specialists as required [11].

3. Holistic Assessment and Nursing Diagnosis

Nurses conduct comprehensive assessments that go beyond mere clinical indicators. The nursing process emphasizes the importance of holistic assessment, which considers the physical, emotional, social, and environmental factors impacting a patient's health. This comprehensive approach enables nurses to identify nursing diagnoses, formulate care plans, and

implement clinical interventions tailored to each patient [2].

For instance, in a multidisciplinary cardiac care team, a nurse can assess not only the patient's physical signs but also gauge the psychological impact of a diagnosis. This might include recognizing anxiety or depression that could impede a patient's ability to adhere to a prescribed treatment plan, leading to collaborative sessions with psychologists or counselors as part of the teammanaged approach [9].

4. Education and Empowerment

Education represents a critical aspect of patient selfmanagement. Nurses are often tasked with educating patients and their families about their diagnoses, treatment options, and self-care strategies. They play a significant role in empowering patients to take charge of their health, providing resources and tools necessary for informed decision-making [12].

In team-based care, nurses work closely with educational specialists to develop and deliver tailored education programs. For example, in pediatric care settings, nurses can provide age-appropriate education and resources not just for the child but also for the parents, ensuring that the entire family is informed and involved in the care process [10].

5. Quality Improvement and Policy Development

The nursing profession also significantly impacts quality improvement initiatives and policy development within MDTs. Nurses, by virtue of their frontline experience, can identify systemic barriers and suggest improvements on policies affecting patient care. Their observations often drive changes in clinical protocols, ensuring that care is evidence-based and best practice-oriented [13].

Collaborative quality improvement endeavors in hospitals often benefit from nurse-led initiatives focused on reducing hospital readmissions, enhancing patient safety, or improving patient satisfaction scores. When nurses are actively involved in such initiatives, they contribute to a culture of continuous learning and improvement, which can lead to overall better outcomes [11].

Impact of Physicians in Collaborative Health Strategies:

At the heart of any effective collaborative health strategy is the role of the physician. Physicians are not only responsible for diagnosing and treating medical conditions but also for orchestrating the various components of patient care. They serve as leaders within the healthcare team, coordinating the efforts of diverse healthcare professionals to ensure that each patient receives comprehensive and This cohesive treatment. leadership encompasses numerous functions including communication, education, and advocacy [12].

Physicians bring their clinical expertise and decision-making skills to the table, allowing them to develop tailored treatment plans that account for the multifaceted needs of patients. Their training equips them with the ability to evaluate medical histories, interpret diagnostic results, and implement evidence-based practices—critical components in the effective management of health conditions. Nevertheless, in a collaborative framework, physicians also exhibit adaptability and willingness to embrace input from other health professionals, recognizing the value of multidisciplinary insights in shaping treatment pathways [14].

One of the most significant benefits of physician involvement in collaborative health strategies is the tangible improvement in patient outcomes. Research indicates that team-based care positively influences various health indicators, including increased patient satisfaction, reduced hospital readmission rates, and improved chronic disease management. Studies have demonstrated that patients receiving care from cohesive teams often experience fewer errors, better medication adherence, and more timely interventions [15].

Several mechanisms contribute to these enhanced outcomes. First, the interdisciplinary nature of collaborative care fosters shared decision-making processes, which empower patients and make them active participants in their health journey. When physicians work in tandem with other healthcare professionals, such as nurse practitioners or physician assistants, they can provide a more holistic perspective of a patient's health, considering not merely physical ailments but also psychological and social factors. This comprehensive approach addresses the full spectrum of patient needs [16].

Additionally, effective communication is a cornerstone of successful collaboration. Physicians who engage actively within their teams promote open dialogue, ensuring that all members are informed and aligned on patient care plans. This communication is not only critical among healthcare professionals but also extends to patients and their families. When patients feel informed and supported by a collaborative team, their adherence to treatment plans improves, further promoting better health outcomes [17].

An important consideration in the discussion of collaborative health strategies is their potential to address health disparities. Physicians, when working in multidisciplinary teams, are presented with an opportunity to better understand and tackle the social determinants of health that disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Collaborating with public health professionals, social workers, and community organizers, physicians can design and implement tailored interventions that cater specifically to the unique needs of diverse patient populations [18].

For example, a physician working in a community health center may partner with social workers to identify patients facing socioeconomic barriers to care. Together, they can create outreach programs that provide education, support services, and resources to these individuals. Such collaborative efforts not only help to reduce health disparities but also promote population health by addressing the broader factors that influence health outcomes [19].

The impact of physicians in collaborative health strategies is undeniable, with profound implications for the future of healthcare. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, the integration of technology, such as telemedicine and electronic health records, presents new opportunities and challenges for collaborative care. Physicians must adapt their roles to incorporate these innovations, leveraging data and technology to enhance teamwork and improve patient engagement [18].

The emergence of value-based care models further underscores the importance of collaboration. In these models, healthcare providers are compensated based on patient outcomes rather than the volume of services provided. Physicians are thus incentivized to work more closely with their colleagues, ensuring a team-oriented approach that prioritizes quality

over quantity in healthcare delivery. This shift heralds a new era, where the collaboration between physicians and allied health professionals is not just beneficial but essential for achieving optimal patient care [20].

Pharmacy's Integral Role in Patient Health Management

Pharmacists are healthcare professionals trained in the science of pharmacology and clinical medicine. Traditionally, their primary responsibilities revolved around the preparation and dispensation of medications. However, the evolution of healthcare delivery and the increasing complexity of drug therapies have necessitated a broader scope of practice. Today, pharmacists engage in various tasks that encompass clinical drug monitoring, patient education, medication reconciliation, and interdisciplinary collaboration [21].

One of the most critical aspects of pharmacists' responsibilities revolves around medication management. They serve as medication experts, ensuring that prescriptions are safe, appropriate, and effective for individual patients. In this capacity, pharmacists undertake several functions, including reviewing medication orders, assessing potential drug interactions, advising on appropriate dosages, and monitoring patients for adverse drug reactions. Their specialized knowledge allows them to identify potential problems before they arise, thus playing a pivotal role in preventing medication errors, a significant concern in healthcare settings [22].

Among pharmacists' core competencies is Medication Therapy Management (MTM). This comprehensive service encompasses a range of activities aimed at optimizing therapeutic outcomes for patients. MTM is particularly beneficial for patients managing chronic conditions, such as diabetes, hypertension, and asthma, who often require multiple medications. Pharmacists conducting MTM typically perform medication reviews, assess the patient's adherence to therapy, and evaluate the effectiveness and safety of the medication regimen [23].

During MTM consultations, pharmacists also engage in medication reconciliation – a process that involves comparing a patient's medication orders with the medications they were taking prior to a healthcare encounter. This critical step helps to ensure that patients do not experience discrepancies

that could lead to medication mismanagement and compromised health. Through these efforts, pharmacists can identify and resolve medication-related issues, advocate for therapy adjustments, and ultimately improve patient adherence and health outcomes [24].

In addition to their role in medication management, pharmacists are pivotal in patient education and consultation. They serve as accessible healthcare resources, providing patients with essential information about their medications, including dosage instructions, potential side effects, and the importance of adherence. This educational component is vital in empowering patients to take an active role in their healthcare [25].

Pharmacists also take the time to understand patients' perspectives, fears, and beliefs regarding their medications. This patient-centered approach allows pharmacists to tailor their consultations to address specific concerns and barriers to adherence. For instance, if a patient expresses anxiety about a potential side effect, the pharmacist can provide reassurance and strategies to mitigate this concern, ensuring that patients feel more comfortable with their prescribed therapies [26].

Moreover, pharmacists play a crucial role in public health initiatives through vaccination and wellness programs. These services enhance access to care, especially in underserved communities, where healthcare providers may not be as readily available. By administering vaccinations and educating patients about preventive health measures, pharmacists contribute to the overall health and well-being of their communities [27].

Pharmacists' contributions to patient health management are further amplified through their collaboration with other healthcare professionals. As members of interdisciplinary healthcare teams, pharmacists help to create a cohesive approach to patient care. This collaboration allows for the sharing of vital patient information, leading to more informed decision-making regarding treatment plans [28].

For instance, in a hospital setting, pharmacists work closely with physicians, nurses, and other healthcare providers to develop and implement comprehensive care plans. By providing insights into pharmacotherapy and medication management, pharmacists help to streamline communication and

improve patient care outcomes. This collaborative approach also minimizes the likelihood of medication errors, as all healthcare professionals involved are informed and aligned on the treatment regimen [29].

The integration of technology into pharmacy practice has further enhanced pharmacists' ability to manage patient health effectively. Electronic health records (EHRs) and computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems improve the accessibility of patient information, allowing pharmacists to make timely and informed decisions regarding medication therapy. Moreover, technology facilitates the monitoring of medication adherence through digital health applications and automated reminders, helping to promote better patient engagement and adherence [30].

Telepharmacy, a growing trend accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has also transformed the way pharmacists interact with patients. Through virtual consultations, pharmacists can reach a broader audience, providing essential medication management and consultation services remotely. This expansion of services not only enhances accessibility but also allows pharmacists to continue supporting patients effectively, regardless of their physical location [31].

Benefits of Interprofessional Collaboration:

Interprofessional collaboration (IPC) refers to the process in which professionals from various fields work collaboratively toward achieving a common goal, particularly in health care settings. This integrated approach to care is increasingly being recognized as a crucial factor in enhancing the quality of patient care and overall health outcomes. By allowing professionals to share their unique skills and expertise, IPC not only improves communication but also significantly elevates patient satisfaction levels and optimizes health outcomes. This essay will delve into the multifaceted benefits of interprofessional emphasizing collaboration. improved communication, enhanced patient satisfaction, and better health outcomes through effective teamwork

One of the primary advantages of interprofessional collaboration is the enhancement of communication among health care providers. Effective communication is the cornerstone of successful

patient care. When professionals from various disciplines work together, information-sharing becomes more fluid, ensuring that everyone is on the same page regarding patient care plans, treatment protocols, and any changes in patient status [33].

For instance, in a hospital setting, a collaborative team comprising physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, and physical therapists can efficiently exchange information that helps in developing a comprehensive care plan. Regular interdisciplinary meetings and updates enable team members to address concerns and solutions in real time, which is critical for patient safety and care continuity. Moreover, IPC fosters an environment where providers feel encouraged to speak up and share their insights, leading to more informed decision-making processes that benefit patients [32].

The use of technology can significantly bolster communication in interprofessional settings. Electronic health records (EHRs), for example, allow for seamless data sharing among team members, thus reducing the likelihood of errors and misunderstandings. When all team members have access to the same information, the potential for misdiagnosis, duplicated tests, and contradictory treatments decreases dramatically. Thus, improved communication achieved through IPC is not only about exchanging information; it also encompasses creating a culture of trust and transparency that ultimately benefits patient care [33].

Patient satisfaction is a crucial outcome that directly correlates with the quality of care provided. Research has consistently shown that patients who experience care through an interprofessional approach report higher levels of satisfaction compared to those treated in traditional, siloed environments. There are several reasons for this enhancement in patient satisfaction [32].

First, interprofessional collaboration typically results in a more holistic approach to patient care. By integrating the perspectives and expertise of various health care professionals, patients receive a more comprehensive assessment of their needs, wants, and preferences. For example, a patient undergoing cancer treatment may benefit not just from the oncologist's expertise but also from input from nutritionists, psychologists, and pain management specialists. This comprehensive care plan addresses not only the medical but also the

emotional and dietary considerations that are critical for the patient's overall well-being [34].

Additionally, when patients are cared for by a team of professionals, they frequently report feeling more involved in their care decisions. In a collaborative environment, health care providers encourage patients to voice their concerns and choices, fostering a sense of agency. This engagement is essential as it empowers patients, making them active participants in their health care journey [35].

Furthermore, as interprofessional teams enhance communication, patients experience fewer delays in care and treatments. When questions arise, they can often be resolved promptly through team discussions, reducing anxiety and frustration. An emphasis on teamwork also leads to coordinated appointments and streamlined follow-ups, contributing to an overall improved experience for the patient [33].

Perhaps the most important benefit of interprofessional collaboration lies in its impact on health outcomes. Numerous studies indicate that collaborative care improves various measurable outcomes for patients. These improvements can include lower rates of hospital readmissions, reduced length of stay, and decreased medical errors – all crucial elements in the trajectory of patient recovery [36].

One of the key reasons for improved health outcomes is that IPC allows for more vigilant and continuous patient monitoring. In interprofessional teams, responsibilities and observations can be divided among members, often leading to faster responses to changes in a patient's condition. For instance, if a patient's vital signs begin to deteriorate, a nursing team member can communicate this information effectively to the physician, who can then make swift decisions regarding intervention. This level of vigilance and responsiveness is often challenging to achieve in traditional, unidisciplinary care settings [37].

Moreover, interprofessional collaboration can lead to more innovative approaches to problem-solving. Disparate perspectives can yield unique ideas and solutions to complicated medical issues. For instance, in managing chronic diseases like diabetes, a team-centric approach can yield better management strategies through combined nutritional advice, medication management, and

lifestyle intervention—all supporting effective longterm care and improved patient outcomes [38].

The effectiveness of IPC in managing complex cases illustrates its relevance in modern health care, particularly as populations age and chronic diseases become more prevalent. Enhanced collaboration not only contributes to better health outcomes for individual patients but also promotes public health by potentially reducing the strain on resources through more efficient care delivery [39].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the effectiveness of team-based public health models in managing patient health is increasingly evident as these collaborative frameworks bring together diverse healthcare professionals, including nurses, physicians, and pharmacists, to provide comprehensive and coordinated care. The ability of multidisciplinary teams to enhance communication, streamline patient management, and address healthcare disparities plays a crucial role in improving health outcomes for individuals, especially those with conditions. However, this study highlights the of necessity overcoming challenges implementation, such as role ambiguity communication barriers, through targeted training and clear protocols.

As healthcare systems continue to evolve, fostering an integrated approach that harnesses the strengths of each profession will be paramount. Ongoing evaluation of these team-based models will provide insights into their real-world effectiveness and adaptability in various public health contexts. By these collaborative embracing strategies, stakeholders can work towards a more equitable and efficient healthcare landscape that prioritizes patient-centered care and addresses the complexities of modern health challenges. Ultimately, investing in team-based public health models positions healthcare systems to not only meet current demands but also to anticipate future needs, ensuring a

References:

- 1. Hays R. Measuring quality in the new era of team-based primary care. Qual Prim Care. 2007;15:133.
- Mazzocco K, Petitti DB, Fong KT, et al. Surgical team behaviors and patient outcomes. Am J Surg. 2009;197:678–685.

- 3. Tapp H, Hebert L, Dulin M. Comparative effectiveness of asthma interventions within a practice based research network. BMC Health Serv Res. 2011;11:188–188.
- Manser T. Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: a review of the literature. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2009;53:143–151.
- Burtscher MJ, Manser T. Team mental models and their potential to improve teamwork and safety: a review and implications for future research in healthcare. Safe Sci. 2012;50:1344– 1354.
- Gamm L, Kash B, Bolin J. Organizational technologies for transforming care: measures and strategies for pursuit of IOM quality aims. J Ambul Care Manage. 2007;30:291–301.
- Burtscher MJ, Manser T. Team mental models and their potential to improve teamwork and safety: a review and implications for future research in healthcare. Safe Sci. 2012;50:1344– 1354.
- 8. Taylor EF, Machta RM, Meyers DS, Genevro J, Peikes DN. Enhancing the primary care team to provide redesigned care: the roles of practice facilitators and care managers. Ann Fam Med. 2013;11:80–83.
- 9. Essens P, Vogelaar AL, Mylle JJ, et al. Team Effectiveness in Complex Settings: A Framework. London, England: Routledge; 2009.
- 10. Davenport DL, Henderson WG, Mosca CL, Khuri SF, Mentzer RM., Jr. Risk-adjusted morbidity in teaching hospitals correlates with reported levels of communication and collaboration on surgical teams but not with scale measures of teamwork climate, safety climate, or working conditions. J Am Coll Surg. 2007;205:778–784.
- 11. Valentine MA, Nembhard IM, Edmondson AC. Measuring teamwork in health care settings: a review of survey instruments. Med Care. 2015;53:e16–e30.
- 12. Michinov E, Olivier-Chiron E, Rusch E, Chiron B. Influence of transactive memory on perceived performance, job satisfaction and identification in anaesthesia teams. Br J Anaesth. 2008;100:327–332.

- 13. Wagner EH. The role of patient care teams in chronic disease management. BMJ. 2000;320:569–572.
- 14. Miller A, Scheinkestel C, Joseph M. Coordination and continuity of intensive care unit patient care. Hum Factors. 2009;51:354–367.
- 15. Shortell S, Jill M, Lin M, et al. The role of perceived team effectiveness in improving chronic illness care. Med Care. 2004;42:1040–1048.
- 16. Bower P, Campbell S, Bojke C, Sibbald B. Team structure, team climate and the quality of care in primary care: an observational study. Qual Saf Health Care. 2003;12:273–279.
- 17. Helfrich CD, Dolan ED, Fihn SD, et al. Association of medical home team-based care functions and perceived improvements in patient-centered care at VHA primary care clinics. Healthcare (Amst). 2014;2:238–244.
- 18. Salas E, Goodwin GF, Burke CS. Team Effectiveness in Complex Organizations: Cross-disciplinary Perspectives and Approaches. London, England: Routledge; 2008.
- 19. Harth A, Germann G, Jester A. Evaluating the effectiveness of a patient-oriented hand rehabilitation programme. J Hand Surg Eur Vol. 2008;33:771–778.
- 20. LeBreton JM, Senter JL. Answers to 20 questions about interrater reliability and interrater agreement. Organ Res Meth. 2008;11:815–852.
- 21. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e1000097.
- 22. Baker SD, Mathis CJ, Stites-Doe S. An exploratory study investigating leader and follower characteristics at U.S. healthcare organizations. J Manag Issue. 2011;23:341–363.
- 23. Strasser DC, Burridge AB, Falconer JA, Uomoto JM, Herrin J. Toward spanning the quality chasm: an examination of team functioning measures. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(11):2220–2023.

- 24. Dounis G, Ditmyer M, Vanbeuge S, et al. Interprofessional faculty development: integration of oral health into the geriatric diabetes curriculum, from theory to practice. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2013;7:1–9.
- 25. La Sala R, Boninsegni K, Tani A, et al. A cross sectional survey in a critical care: the job satisfaction and functioning team of the health professionals. Acta Biomed. 2015;86:183–188.
- 26. Sexton JB, Helmreich RL, Neilands TB, et al. The Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: psychometric properties, benchmarking data, and emerging research. BMC Health Serv Res. 2006;6:44.
- 27. Tremblay D, Roberge D, Berbiche D. Determinants of patient-reported experience of cancer services responsiveness. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015;15:425.
- 28. Song H, Chien AT, Fisher J, et al. Development and validation of the primary care team dynamics survey. Health Serv Res. 2015;50:897–921.
- 29. Strating M, Nieboer A. Psychometric test of the team climate inventory-short version investigated in Dutch quality improvement teams. BMC Health Serv Res. 2009;9:126.
- 30. Osborne JW, Costello AB. Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. Pan-Pac Manage Rev. 2009;12:131– 146.
- 31. Hamilton N, Freeman BD, Woodhouse J, Ridley C, Murray D, Klingensmith ME. Team behavior during trauma resuscitation: a simulation-based performance assessment. J Grad Med Educ. 2009;1:253–259.
- 32. El Ansari W, Lyubovnikova J, Middleton H, Dawson JF, Naylor PB, West MA. Development and psychometric evaluation of a new team effectiveness scale for all types of community adult mental health teams: a mixed-methods approach. Health Soc Care Commun. 2016;24:309–320.
- 33. Becker ER, Roblin DW. Translating primary care practice climate into patient activation: the role of patient trust in physician. Med Care. 2008;46:795–805.

- 34. Andrew B, Plachta S, Salud L, Pugh CM. Development and evaluation of a decision-based simulation for assessment of team skills. Surgery. 2012;152:152–157.
- 35. Lance CE, Butts MM, Michels LC. The sources of four commonly reported cutoff criteria: what did they really say? Organ Res Meth. 2006;9:202–220.
- 36. Keebler JR, Dietz AS, Lazzara EH, et al. Validation of a teamwork perceptions measure to increase patient safety. BMJ Qual Saf. 2014;23:718–726.
- 37. Beaulieu M-D, Dragieva N, Del Grande C, et al. The team climate Inventory as a measure of primary care teams' processes: validation of the French version. Healthc Policy. 2014;9:40–54.
- 38. Landry A, Erwin C. Perspectives on multidisciplinary team processes among healthcare executives: processes that facilitate team effectiveness. J Health Hum Serv Adm. 2015;38:350–380.
- 39. McCarthy G, O'Leary D, O'Riordan R, et al. A study of patient safety culture in Irish hospitals. J Health Organ Manag. 2012;26:569–589.