Letters in High Energy Physics
ISSN: 2632-2714

Volume 2023
Issue 4

Emergency Nursing Care for Patients with Drug Overdose: Protocols and Challenges

Aisha Mohammed H. Shibaili[1], Melhah Ebied Hamoud Alosaimi[2], Atheer Ata Allah Ruddah Altalhi[3], Aeshah Ahmed Ali Farhan[4], Manal Owaynan Khalaf Aljameeli[5], Khalaf Owaynan Khalaf Aljameeli[6], Maryam Hadi Zain Dakkas[7], Hani Daham J. Aldhafeeri[8], Aiyedh Sewailem G. H. Alharbi[9], Mohammed Binyah Alhurayji[10], Qassim Radhi Aldhafeeri[11].

[1] Nursing technician, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[2] Specialist-Nursing, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[3] Nursing specialist, King Faisal Medical Hospital, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[4] Nursing Technician, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[5] Nursing, Primary Health Care Center (PHC) Alrowdh, Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[6] Technician-Public Health, Khafji General Hospital, Al Khafji, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[7] NICU Staff Nurse, King Faisal Medical Complex (KFMC), Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[8] Technician-Emergency Medical Services, Emergency and Disaster Management and Ambulance Transport, Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[9] Technician-Emergency Medical Services, Emergency and Disaster Management and Ambulance Transport, Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[10] Nursing assistant, Emergency and Disaster Management and Ambulance Transport, Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[11] Nursing assistant, Emergency and Disaster Management and Ambulance Transport, Hafar Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

***Abstract*:**

Emergency nursing care for patients experiencing drug overdose is critical, as timely and effective intervention can significantly impact patient outcomes. Protocols typically begin with rapid assessment and stabilization of the patient's airway, breathing, and circulation (the ABCs). Nurses must also perform a thorough history taking to identify the substance involved, dosage, and time of ingestion, which informs subsequent treatment decisions. Administration of antidotes, such as naloxone for opioid overdoses, is essential and must be done promptly. Continuous monitoring for vital signs and potential complications, along with intravenous fluid administration and supportive care, are also key elements of care. Despite established protocols, emergency nurses face significant challenges when managing drug overdose cases. Variability in patient response to drugs, potential co-ingestion of multiple substances, and the psychological or behavioral issues associated with addiction complicate treatment. Additionally, the stigma surrounding drug use can impact care delivery and patient cooperation. Nurses must be equipped with not only clinical skills but also compassion and understanding to address these complex patient needs adequately. Continuous education on current drug abuse trends and evolving treatment methods is vital to enhance the quality of care provided in emergency settings.

***Keywords*:** Emergency nursing, drug overdose, treatment protocols, patient assessment, airway management, naloxone

Introduction:

Emergency nursing care for patients experiencing drug overdose is a critical aspect of the healthcare system, influencing not only immediate patient outcomes but also long-term recovery trajectories. Given the complex nature of drug overdoses, which can involve a variety of substances including opioids, stimulants, and alcohol, emergency nurses must be adept at rapidly assessing patient conditions, implementing standardized protocols, and addressing the multifaceted challenges that arise in these high-stress environments. As the prevalence of substance abuse continues to rise globally, understanding the protocols guiding emergency nursing care for overdose patients becomes increasingly vital [1].

At the core of emergency nursing care for drug overdoses lies the establishment of an effective triage system. This system is essential for prioritizing patients based on the severity of their condition and the potential for intervention success. Upon arrival, emergency nurses conduct a quick but thorough assessment, including obtaining vital signs, performing a primary survey to check for airway, breathing, and circulation (the ABCs), and collecting a detailed medical history [2]. Given the often-unreliable accounts of patients regarding their substance use, nurses utilize collateral information from family members or friends when available, which may help elucidate the specific substances involved and inform treatment decisions. In many cases, recognizing the signs and symptoms of overdose—such as altered mental status, respiratory depression, or cardiovascular instability—enables nurses to initiate life-saving interventions without delay [3].

Once the assessment phase is complete, emergency nurses must adhere to established protocols tailored to address the varying types of drug overdoses. The implementation of these protocols often involves initiating intravenous access, administering oxygen as necessary, and in some cases, employing specific antidotes. For instance, in the case of an opioid overdose, naloxone (Narcan) may be administered to reverse the respiratory depression caused by drugs like heroin or prescription opioids. Simultaneously, nurses are responsible for continuous patient monitoring, including frequent checks on vital signs, level of consciousness, and overall responsiveness to ensure any changes in condition are promptly addressed. This vigilant monitoring is instrumental in preventing complications that could arise due to ongoing toxicity or withdrawal symptoms [4].

However, despite the existence of clear protocols, emergency nurses face a multitude of challenges when providing care to overdose patients. One of the primary challenges is the unpredictability associated with the substances involved in an overdose. Many patients may present with polysubstance use, complicating the clinical picture and making it difficult to determine which interventions to prioritize. In addition, synthetic drugs with varying potencies, like fentanyl or other analogs, can exacerbate respiratory distress and lead to rapid deterioration of the patient's condition. Consequently, emergency nurses must rely not only on their training but also on clinical judgment and experience to navigate these complexities effectively [5].

Moreover, the emotional and psychological aspects of treating drug overdose patients also pose challenges for emergency nurses. These patients often experience a range of issues including feelings of shame, guilt, and fear, which can impede the delivery of care. Nurses must employ empathy and active listening skills to foster a trusting relationship, yet simultaneously maintain professional boundaries to protect their own emotional well-being. In instances where underlying mental health issues or substance use disorders are revealed, nurses face the additional responsibility of coordinating with social workers and mental health professionals to ensure that these patients receive comprehensive support beyond the emergency setting [6].

Recognizing the multifactorial nature of drug overdoses, emergency nursing care must also emphasize the importance of education and prevention. After stabilization, nurses are in a pivotal position to educate patients and their families about the risks of substance use, the importance of safe medication practices, and available resources for addiction treatment. Providing information about supportive services, such as community-based rehabilitation programs or support groups, can facilitate a smoother transition towards recovery, thus reducing the likelihood of future overdoses. However, the challenge lies in ensuring that patients

remain engaged in aftercare plans, as the stigma associated with substance use disorders often hinders individuals from seeking the help they need [7].

Assessment Protocols for Overdose Victims

Before discussing assessment protocols, it is crucial to understand the different types of overdoses. Overdoses can be classified as either intentional (suicide attempts or self-harm) or unintentional (accidental overdoses often related to recreational drug use). The substances involved can vary widely, including opioids (such as heroin and prescription painkillers), stimulants (like cocaine and methamphetamine), psychoactive substances, and alcohol. Each drug presents unique challenges in an emergency setting, influencing the assessment and treatment protocols employed [4].

The assessment of an overdose victim begins at the point of contact—whether in a pre-hospital setting, an emergency room, or other healthcare facilities. The immediate goal is to conduct a thorough evaluation of the victim's clinical status, prioritizing the identification of potentially life-threatening conditions. The primary assessment follows the ABCs of emergency medicine: assessing the airway, breathing, and circulation [7].

1. **Airway**: The airway must be assessed for patency. In cases of unresponsiveness, there is a high risk of airway obstruction due to the relaxation of the tongue and associated soft tissues. Healthcare providers may need to employ measures such as the head-tilt/chin-lift maneuver or advanced airway management techniques (e.g. intubation) to secure the airway [2].

2. **Breathing**: The effectiveness of breathing needs to be evaluated. Signs of respiratory depression, such as hypoventilation or the presence of cyanosis, indicate the necessity for supplemental oxygen or assisted ventilation. In opioid overdoses, the administration of Narcan (naloxone) can be life-saving as it rapidly reverses the effects of respiratory depression [1].

3. **Circulation**: Circulatory status should be assessed by monitoring vital signs, including heart rate, blood pressure, and peripheral perfusion. An electrocardiogram (ECG) may also be beneficial to evaluate potential arrhythmias or other cardiac complications. Any signs of shock or inadequate circulation require immediate interventions, such as intravenous fluids or medications [7].

Once the immediate life-threatening conditions have been addressed and stabilized, a secondary assessment can be undertaken. This involves a more detailed physical examination and gathering pertinent medical history from the victim or witnesses if possible. Key components of this secondary assessment include [8]:

1. **Physical Examination**: A comprehensive examination can reveal critical findings such as skin temperature, pupil size (miosis in opioid overdose or mydriasis in stimulant overdose), or the presence of track marks indicating intravenous drug use [8].

2. **Substance Identification**: Assessing the victim's drug use history is essential; however, it can be challenging due to the victim's altered mental status or inability to communicate. Observations of any drug paraphernalia, recent prescription histories, or witness accounts can assist in determining the substance involved. In some cases, toxicology screenings may be conducted to identify the exact substances present in the body [9].

3. **History of Overdose Events**: It is also pertinent to inquire about previous overdose incidents, psychiatric history, and substance use history to tailor the treatment approach. For instance, individuals with a history of opioid use may require a different treatment regimen compared to those who have overdosed on stimulants [8].

The assessment of overdose victims can also benefit from the application of standardized tools and frameworks. One such tool is the Opioid Overdose Risk Assessment (OORA), which evaluates several domains, including previous overdose history, the type(s) of drugs used, co-occurring health conditions, and psychosocial factors [10].

Moreover, employing evidence-based protocols, such as the Alcohol Withdrawal Scale (AWS) or Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment for Alcohol (CIWA-Ar), can provide valuable insights for individuals exhibiting signs of poly-substance use or alcohol withdrawal. Such standardized tools offer healthcare providers a systematic way to assess risks while informing treatment decisions [5].

Accurate documentation is paramount during the assessment process. A detailed account of the assessment findings, interventions initiated, and the

victim's response to treatment must be recorded to facilitate continuity of care. Clinicians must use this documentation to inform decisions regarding further treatment and potential admission to specialized care facilities, such as inpatient rehabilitation programs or psychiatric units [11].

The assessment does not conclude upon the stabilization of the overdose victim. Follow-up care is essential for addressing the underlying issues leading to substance use and preventing recurrence. This aspect of care includes referrals to addiction treatment programs, mental health services, and community resources that will support the individual in their recovery journey. Education regarding harm reduction strategies, such as the availability of naloxone for at-risk individuals or information about support groups like Narcotics Anonymous (NA), is also crucial in providing comprehensive support [12].

Initial Stabilization and Critical Interventions

The initial phase in managing an overdose victim focuses on stabilization, which aims to address life-threatening issues and mitigate further harm. Medical personnel and first responders approach stabilization through a systematic method that prioritizes airway, breathing, circulation (often referred to as the ABCs of emergency care), and quick evaluation of the patient's condition [13].

1. **Assessing the Scene**: Before providing care, responders should ensure their own safety and assess the environment. If there are substances present, protecting oneself from potential exposure is paramount.

2. **Calling for Help**: Emergency medical services (EMS) should be contacted immediately. The dispatcher can provide vital instructions over the phone and prepare advanced emergency care for the victim [3].

3. **Airway Management**: Maintaining a clear airway is a priority. If the patient is unconscious and unresponsive, basic airway maneuvers, including the chin lift or jaw thrust, may be required to free obstructed airways. If the patient continues to show signs of compromised airways, techniques such as inserting an oropharyngeal airway (OPA) or nasopharyngeal airway (NPA) can be employed [8].

4. **Ensuring Adequate Breathing**: Once the airway is secured, assessing the quality of breathing is next. If the individual is not breathing or is breathing inadequately, positive pressure ventilation techniques—such as bag-mask ventilation—might be necessary. Supplemental oxygen should also be administered when available, as it can help counteract the effects of hypoxia [10].

5. **Circulatory Support**: Assessing circulation through pulse checks can help determine the need for further interventions. If the victim exhibits signs of inadequate circulation or shock (peripherals that are cold and clammy, altered mental status, severe hypertension), rapid interventions, such as chest compressions for cardiac arrest, may be required [13].

Alongside stabilization, the administration of specific antidotes serves as a critical intervention to reverse the life-threatening effects of an overdose. For opioid overdoses, naloxone (Narcan) is the primary antidote [14].

1. **Naloxone Administration**: Naloxone functions as an opioid antagonist, displacing opioids from receptor sites and restoring normal respiratory function. The drug can be administered intranasally or intramuscularly. In cases of known or suspected opioid overdose, immediate administration of naloxone is crucial, even if symptoms are not entirely clear. The simplicity of naloxone's administration allows laypersons to help in emergency situations, which has contributed to its widespread distribution among first responders and even the general public [14].

2. **Monitoring and Reassessing**: After administering naloxone, the patient's response must be continually monitored. The duration of naloxone's effects is shorter than the effects of many opioids, meaning that repeated doses may be necessary. Continuous assessment of the victim's airway, breathing, and circulation is vital as they may relapse into respiratory depression once the naloxone wears off [15].

3. **Supportive Care**: Beyond the basic interventions, supportive care such as warming the individual, preventing aspiration (if they are unconscious), and keeping them calm can ease distress. In cases where overdoses involve multiple substances or unknown variables, monitoring for seizures or other complications becomes necessary [15].

4. **Post-Stabilization Strategy**: Once the individual is stabilized and primary immediate threats are addressed, the focus shifts toward long-term care and recovery. This includes assessing the need for further medical interventions and providing referrals to substance use treatment programs. Education on the risks of substance use and offering access to mental health services can play a crucial role in preventing recurrence [16].

Role of Antidotes and Pharmacological Treatment

The urgency in treating overdose cases cannot be overstated. The time between overdose exposure and medical intervention is often critical. Many substances provoke life-threatening responses very quickly, making prompt treatment essential for survival. Emergency services and first responders are typically equipped with the knowledge and materials necessary to initiate treatment while additional medical assistance is on the way. A tailored approach takes into consideration the specific substance used, the patient's clinical presentation, and the setting of the overdose [17].

Antidotes are specialized agents designed to counteract the toxic effects of specific overdose substances. Their design is to address the underlying physiological disruptions caused by the toxins, restoring normal function and promoting recovery [15].

1. **Naloxone**: Perhaps the most well-known antidote, naloxone is used primarily for opioid overdoses. It acts as an opioid receptor antagonist, displacing opioids from their receptors in the central nervous system and reversing the effects of respiratory depression, sedation, and hypotension. Naloxone can be administered intravenously, intramuscularly, or intranasally, and its rapid onset of action makes it invaluable in emergency scenarios, often leading to near-immediate recovery of consciousness in opioid overdose victims [18].

2. **Activated Charcoal**: While not an antidote in the traditional sense, activated charcoal is a critical pharmacological intervention for certain overdoses. It binds to a range of drugs and toxins in the gastrointestinal tract, preventing their absorption into the bloodstream. The efficacy of activated charcoal is highest when administered within an hour of ingestion, making its timely use crucial [19].

3. **Flumazenil**: Used specifically for benzodiazepine overdoses, flumazenil is a competitive antagonist at the GABA-A receptor. Its administration can reverse the central nervous system depressant effects of benzodiazepines. However, caution must be exercised due to the potential for seizures, particularly in poly-drug overdoses involving substances that lower the seizure threshold [2].

4. **Acetylcysteine**: This antidote is the standard treatment for acetaminophen (paracetamol) overdose. Acetylcysteine replenishes glutathione levels in the liver, promoting detoxication of the toxic metabolite N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI). Early administration of acetylcysteine is critical and can significantly reduce the risk of liver damage and enhance recovery outcomes [12].

5. **Digoxin-specific Antibodies**: In cases of digoxin overdose, digoxin-specific antibody fragments (digoxin immune Fab) can be administered to bind and neutralize digoxin's effects, thus alleviating cardiac toxicity and arrhythmias, which are common complications of digoxin overdose [4].

6. **Methylene Blue**: A treatment option for methemoglobinemia, methylene blue acts as a reducing agent that converts methemoglobin back to hemoglobin, effectively improving oxygen delivery in cases where an overdose has led to elevated levels of methemoglobin [19].

Aside from antidotes, other pharmacological agents may play critical roles in the supportive management of overdose victims. These treatments aim to stabilize vital functions and address specific symptoms observed in patients [4].

1. **Supportive Care**: In many instances, supportive care measures – such as oxygen supplementation, intravenous fluids, and monitoring vital signs – are implemented to maintain patient stability while antidotes take effect [20].

2. **Benzodiazepines for Agitation**: In cases where stimulants are involved, such as cocaine or methamphetamine, benzodiazepines may be administered to manage severe agitation or seizures that may arise during the acute phase of overdose [20].

3. **Anticonvulsants**: These may be necessary for seizures induced by certain drug overdoses,

particularly with stimulants or in cases where flumazenil has been administered and seizure risk is elevated [21].

4. **Cardiovascular Agents**: In the case of sympathomimetic overdose, such as that from cocaine, beta-blockers can help control tachycardia and hypertension. However, caution must be exercised due to the risk of unopposed alpha-adrenergic activity leading to severe hypertension [21].

Despite the development of various antidotes and treatments, challenges remain in the management of overdose victims. Issues include the variability in individual responses to treatment, the potential for co-ingestion of multiple substances that complicate clinical presentation, and the socio-economic factors that contribute to substance abuse trends. Additionally, public health initiatives aim to not only improve response to overdose situations but also implement preventive measures, such as education on the dangers of substance use and the importance of safe storage for prescription medications [22].

Challenges in Managing Overdose Cases

One of the primary challenges in managing overdose cases lies in the complexities of medical response. Overdoses can result from various substances, each requiring a distinct approach to treatment. For instance, opioid overdoses are often addressed with naloxone, an opioid antagonist that rapidly reverses the effects of opioids. However, this approach may not suffice in cases involving polydrug use, a growing phenomenon where various drugs, including stimulants and depressants, are consumed simultaneously. In such instances, medical providers must be equipped to recognize and counter the effects of multiple substances, often leading to an intricate and urgent need for specialized care [23].

Moreover, the acute nature of overdose situations demands rapid decision-making and interventions. Emergency medical personnel often encounter patients who are unresponsive or in critical condition, necessitating swift yet comprehensive assessments. The lack of clear patient histories compounds this issue. Individuals suffering from substance use disorders may not be forthcoming regarding their drug use, past medical histories, or co-occurring health conditions. This uncertainty can lead to delays in effective treatment, increasing the risk of morbidity and mortality [24].

From a public health perspective, managing overdose cases heightens concerns regarding health disparities and the accessibility of care. The opioid crisis, in particular, has disproportionately affected marginalized communities, where factors such as poverty, limited access to healthcare, and social stigma contribute to increased vulnerability. These disparities are compounded by the often reactive rather than proactive nature of healthcare responses to overdose incidents [25].

Furthermore, the burden of overdose cases extends beyond immediate medical treatment. The heightened demand for emergency medical services (EMS) and inpatient care can strain healthcare systems, particularly in regions that lack adequate resources. Hospitals may find themselves overwhelmed by the influx of overdose patients, leading to longer wait times and reduced quality of care for all patients. This scenario raises critical questions about systemic inefficiencies and the need for comprehensive strategies that incorporate harm reduction principles, addiction treatment services, and community-based interventions [26].

Effective management of overdose cases necessitates not only reactive treatment but also preventive measures. The challenge here lies in the multifaceted approach required to address the root causes of substance use disorders. Preventive strategies must encompass education, harm reduction, and robust support systems for individuals at risk of overdose. Initiatives such as public education campaigns to raise awareness about the risks of substance use, the availability of naloxone, and access to safe consumption spaces can significantly mitigate the impact of overdose cases [24].

However, despite the potential benefits, these strategies often encounter political and social resistance. Legislation surrounding harm reduction can be contentious, with varying perceptions of drug use and addiction influencing public policy. For example, the establishment of supervised consumption sites faces opposition from community members concerned about increased drug activity and safety risks. Consequently, the challenge remains not only about creating effective preventive measures but also about mobilizing public support and fostering community acceptance of harm reduction strategies [26].

The socio-economic context surrounding substance use and overdose cases further complicates management efforts. Economic instability, unemployment, and social isolation are factors that contribute to increased substance use and the likelihood of overdose. Tackling these underlying socio-economic determinants requires comprehensive policies that encompass economic support programs, mental health services, and community-based support networks [17].

Limited access to mental health care and addiction treatment services remains a significant barrier in addressing the challenges of overdose management. Many individuals with substance use disorders simultaneously struggle with mental health issues, creating a complex interplay that complicates treatment options. However, existing treatment frameworks often fail to integrate mental health and addiction services effectively, leaving many individuals without the comprehensive care they need [27].

Moreover, systemic issues such as stigma associated with substance use disorders can deter individuals from seeking help. Stigmatization often manifests in discriminatory attitudes toward those who use drugs, resulting in marginalized individuals feeling unwelcome or judged in healthcare settings. This cycle of stigma can perpetuate a reluctance to access essential services, thus exacerbating the challenges faced in managing overdose cases [27].

Conclusion:

In summary, emergency nursing care for patients suffering from drug overdose is a multifaceted process requiring swift assessment, adherence to protocols, and a compassionate understanding of the unique challenges presented by each case. The provision of effective care demands not only technical skills and clinical knowledge, but also an appreciation for the social dynamics and psychological factors influencing the patient's situation. As the landscape of substance abuse continues to evolve, ongoing training and interdisciplinary collaboration will remain vital components in enhancing the quality of emergency care, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes and fostering a more supportive environment for those facing the challenges of addiction.

References:

1. Prescott K, Stratton R, Freyer A, Hall I, Le Jeune I. Detailed analyses of self-poisoning episodes presenting to a large regional teaching hospital in the UK. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2009; 68: 260–268.
2. Togia A, Sergentanis TN, Sindos M et al. Drug abuse-related emergency calls: A metropolis-wide study. Prehosp. Disaster Med. 2008; 23: 36–40.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Drug overdose deaths—Florida, 2003–2009. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 2011; 60: 869–872.
4. Fisher J, Sanyal C, Frail D, Sketris I. The intended and unintended consequences of benzodiazepine monitoring programmes: A review of the literature. J. Clin. Pharm. Ther. 2012; 37: 7–21.
5. Newgard CD, Sears GK, Rea TD et al. The Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Epistry-Trauma: Design, development, and implementation of a North American epidemiologic prehospital trauma registry. Resuscitation 2008; 78: 170–178.
6. Bailey B, McGuigan M. Lithium poisoning from a poison control center perspective. Ther. Drug Monit. 2000; 22: 650–655.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. QuickStats: Number of poisoning deaths* involving opioid analgesics and other drugs or substances—United States, 1999–2007. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 2010; 59: 1026.
8. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: Systematic review of 67 prospective studies. Resuscitation 2010; 81: 1479–1487.
9. Cheatle MD. Depression, chronic pain, and suicide by overdose: On the edge. Pain Med. 2011; 12 (Suppl. 2): S43–48.
10. Spiller HA, Gorman SE, Villalobos D et al. Prospective multicenter evaluation of tramadol exposure. J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol. 1997; 35: 361–364.

11. Buykx P, Loxley W, Dietze P, Ritter A. Medications used in overdose and how they are acquired—an investigation of cases attending an inner Melbourne emergency department. Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health 2010; 34: 401–404.

12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC grand rounds: Prescription drug overdoses—a U.S. epidemic. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 2012; 61: 10–13.

13. Taylor DM, Cameron PA, Eddey D. Recurrent overdose: Patient characteristics, habits, and outcomes. J. Accid. Emerg. Med. 1998; 15: 257–261.

14. Li C, Martin BC. Trends in emergency department visits attributable to acetaminophen overdoses in the United States: 1993–2007. Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf. 2011; 20: 810–818.

15. Benson BE, Mathiason M, Dahl B et al. Toxicities and outcomes associated with nefazodone poisoning: An analysis of 1,338 exposures. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 2000; 18: 587–592.

16. Hasegawa K, Brown DF, Tsugawa Y, Camargo CA Jr. Epidemiology of emergency department visits for opioid overdose: A population-based study. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2014; 89: 462–471.

17. Merchant RC, Schwartzapfel BL, Wolf FA, Li W, Carlson L, Rich JD. Demographic, geographic, and temporal patterns of ambulance runs for suspected opiate overdose in Rhode Island, 1997–20021. Subst. Use Misuse 2006; 41: 1209–1226.

18. Doak MW, Nixon AC, Lupton DJ, Waring WS. Self-poisoning in older adults: Patterns of drug ingestion and clinical outcomes. Age. Ageing 2009; 38: 407–411.

19. Buckley NA, McManus PR. Changes in fatalities due to overdose of anxiolytic and sedative drugs in the UK (1983–1999). Drug Saf. 2004; 27: 135–141.

20. Seidler D, Schmeiser-Rieder A, Schlarp O, Laggner AN. Heroin and opiate emergencies in Vienna: Analysis at the municipal ambulance service. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 2000; 53: 734–741.

21. Matsumoto T, Ozaki S, Kobayashi O, Wada K. Current situation and clinical characteristics of sedative-related disorder patients in Japan: A comparison with methamphetamine-related disorder patients. Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi 2011; 113: 1184–1188.

22. Degenhardt L, Hall W, Adelstein BA. Ambulance calls to suspected overdoses: New South Wales patterns July 1997 to June 1999. Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health 2001; 25: 447–450.

23. Osaka Police (Homepage on the internet).

24. Clark MJ, Bates AC. Nonfatal heroin overdoses in Queensland, Australia: An analysis of ambulance data. J. Urban Health 2003; 80: 238–247.

25. Perez A. Critical drug overdoses and emergency medical services: Where should we put our efforts? Acad. Emerg. Med. 2004; 11: 1369.

26. Budnitz DS, Lovegrove MC, Shehab N, Richards CL. Emergency hospitalizations for adverse drug events in older Americans. N. Engl. J. Med. 2011; 365: 2002–2012.

27. Ookura R, Mino K, Ogata M. Clinical characteristics of patients with psychotropic drug overdose admitted to the emergency department. J. Jpn. Soc. Emerg. Med. 2008; 19: 901–913.