Letters in High Energy Physics
ISSN:2632-2714

Volume 2023
Issue 2

Public Health Strategies for Infection Control in Dermatology Clinics

Abdullah Ahmad Katib[1], Saleh Othman A Aloraini[2], Saud Farhan A Alanazi[3], Banjar, Mazen Abdullah N[4], Alghamdi, Mona Saeed A[5], Bader Seraj M Alharthi[6], Abdulrahman Mohammed Musnad Alhamyani[7], Alqahtani, Bayan Ayidh A[8], Nader Jari S Almutairi[9], Fahad Eid Bani Alreshidi[10]

[1] Consultant - Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Ministry of Health Branch, Madinah, Madinah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[2] Technician - Public Health, Health Administration and Community Health, Qassim Health Cluster - Al Bukayriyah Hospital, Al Bukayriyah, Al-Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia.

[3] Health Care Security, Eradah Mental Health Complex, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia.

[4] Technician - Public Health, Health Surveillance Centres, King Abdulaziz International Airport, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[5] Public Health, Ministry of Health - Autonomous Operation of Primary Health Care, Abha, Asir Region, Saudi Arabia.

[6] Health Administration and Community Health, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[7] Public Health Technician, Health Control Center, Taif International Airport, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia.

[8] Health Security Officer, Health Assistant, King Khalid Civilian Hospital, Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia.

[9] Technician - Public Health, Qaisumah General Hospital, Qaisumah, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia.

[10] Technicians and Health Assistants - Health Care Security, Sharaf Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract:

Infection control is critical in dermatology clinics, where patients often present with skin conditions that may be contagious or have the potential for secondary infections. Updating best practices for infection control involves regularly reviewing and revising protocols based on the latest guidelines from health organizations such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). Key strategies include stringent hand hygiene practices, the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and consistent cleaning and disinfection of surfaces and equipment. Training staff on recognizing potential sources of infections and implementing proper procedures can significantly reduce the risk of healthcare-associated infections and enhance patient safety. To effectively implement updated infection control practices, dermatology clinics should consider incorporating a multidisciplinary approach that involves collaborating with infection control specialists and keeping staff informed about current research and emerging pathogens. Clinics should also perform regular audits and simulations to assess compliance with infection control protocols, identify gaps, and make necessary adjustments. Additionally, patient education on pre- and post-procedure care can empower individuals to take an active role in infection prevention. By fostering a culture of vigilance and responsiveness to infection risks, dermatology clinics can ensure a safer environment for both patients and healthcare providers.

Keywords:

Infection Control, Dermatology Clinics, Best Practices, Hand Hygiene, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), Cleaning and Disinfection, Patient Safety, Healthcare-Associated Infections, Infection Control Specialists, Staff Training, Patient Education, Protocol Compliance, Emerging Pathogens.

Introduction:

Infection control is a critical component of patient care in dermatology clinics, where both minor surgical procedures and aesthetic treatments are common. The skin, as the largest organ of the human body, is not only a barrier against infections but can also serve as a reservoir for pathogens. As dermatologists and healthcare professionals frequently engage in procedures that breach the skin barrier—such as biopsies, excisions, and the administration of injectable agents— the risks of healthcare-associated

infections (HAIs) are prevalent. The ongoing evolution of our understanding of infectious diseases, alongside the emergence of antibiotic-resistant organisms, underscores the need for dermatology clinics to regularly update their infection control practices [1].

Historically, the dermatological community has faced challenges in developing standardized infection control measures. Differences in practices across clinics can lead to inconsistencies in the prevention of infections, putting both patients and healthcare providers at risk. The decline in hospital-acquired infections through stringent hospital policies has not mirrored the same in outpatient settings, which often lack comprehensive guidelines tailored explicitly for dermatology. This gap of knowledge creates an imperative for developing, implementing, and revising best practices geared towards infection prevention in dermatology-specific settings [2].

Research has shown that the presence of an organized infection prevention program can significantly reduce infection rates. Importantly, studies indicate that when healthcare providers adhere to established protocols—such as proper hand hygiene, sterilization of tools, and the use of personal protective equipment—there is a marked decrease in HAIs. New challenges, including the rise of multidrug-resistant organisms and the persistent threat of viral infections such as COVID-19, necessitate the continuous evaluation of these protocol [3].

The increasing complexity of procedures performed in dermatology clinics enhances the need for a multifaceted approach to infection control. The diversity of treatments, from laser procedures to chemical peels, raises unique considerations regarding the maintenance of a sterile environment. Each treatment modality presents specific risks of infection, thereby requiring tailored infection prevention strategies. For instance, lasers can generate recyclable particles and aerosolize pathogens, demanding an emphasis on ensuring air quality and establishing protocols for cleaning and disinfection post-procedure [4].

Integrating technological advancements into infection control practices has also become increasingly important. For instance, ultraviolet (UV) disinfection systems have gained traction as effective methods for sterilizing surfaces. Moreover, electronic health records and digital monitoring can facilitate better compliance with infection control measures, reducing the chance of human error. Adoption of teledermatology, especially highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic, has shown potential in decreasing patient loads in clinics, consequently minimizing the risk of in-clinic infections [5].

Education and training of staff are pivotal in maintaining and updating these infection control practices. Continuous professional development ensures that dermatology personnel are up to date with the latest guidelines and research findings. Institutions can leverage workshops, simulation-based training, and online learning platforms to keep staff informed about the latest infection prevention techniques. This commitment to education reinforces an organizational culture that prioritizes patient and provider safety [6].

This research will critically assess current best practices for infection control in dermatology clinics, integrating findings from recent studies and technological advancements. It will analyze the existing guidelines provided by top health organizations—such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO)—and evaluate their application within dermatology practices. Additionally, the research will consider the evolving nature of infectious diseases and explore how clinics can adapt their policies to mitigate the impact of emerging threats [7].

Furthermore, the essay will examine the role of regulatory agencies and professional organizations in establishing benchmarks and influencing infection control policy on a broader scale. The potential benefits of a harmonized approach to infection control across various healthcare settings cannot be overstated, especially in a rapidly evolving field like dermatology [8].

Current Standards and Guidelines for Infection Prevention:

Infection prevention is a critical aspect of healthcare, particularly in dermatology clinics where procedures often involve skin penetration and manipulation. The skin serves as a primary barrier to infection, but when it is compromised during dermatological procedures, the risk of infection increases significantly [9].

Dermatology clinics perform a variety of procedures, from simple skin examinations to complex surgical interventions. Common procedures include biopsies, excisions, laser treatments, and cosmetic procedures. Each of these interventions poses unique risks for

infection, particularly when sterile techniques are not followed. The skin can harbor numerous microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses, which can lead to localized or systemic infections if introduced into deeper tissues [10].

Infection risks in dermatology can be categorized into two main types: endogenous and exogenous. Endogenous infections arise from the patient's own flora, while exogenous infections originate from external sources, such as contaminated instruments or unwashed hands. To mitigate these risks, dermatology clinics must implement stringent infection control measures [11].

Guidelines and Standards for Infection Prevention

1. Hand Hygiene

Hand hygiene is the cornerstone of infection prevention in any healthcare setting. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasize the importance of handwashing with soap and water or the use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers. In dermatology clinics, healthcare providers should perform hand hygiene:

- Before and after patient contact.
- Before and after performing procedures.
- After contact with potentially contaminated surfaces or materials.

Training staff on proper hand hygiene techniques and ensuring access to handwashing facilities and hand sanitizers are essential components of an effective infection prevention program [12].

2. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

The use of personal protective equipment (PPE) is vital in minimizing exposure to infectious agents. In dermatology clinics, appropriate PPE may include gloves, masks, gowns, and eye protection, depending on the procedure being performed. Guidelines recommend:

- Wearing gloves during all procedures involving blood or bodily fluids, as well as when handling contaminated instruments.
- Using masks and eye protection when there is a risk of splashes or sprays of blood or other potentially infectious materials.
- Disposing of PPE properly after use to prevent cross-contamination [13].

3. Sterilization and Disinfection of Instruments

Instruments used in dermatological procedures must be properly cleaned, disinfected, and sterilized to prevent the transmission of infections. The CDC outlines several key practices:

- **Cleaning**: Instruments should be cleaned immediately after use to remove organic material and debris. This can be done manually or using ultrasonic cleaners.
- **Disinfection**: High-level disinfection should be performed on semi-critical items that come into contact with mucous membranes, while non-critical items should be disinfected using intermediate-level disinfectants.
- **Sterilization**: Critical items that penetrate skin or mucous membranes must be sterilized using methods such as steam sterilization (autoclaving) or ethylene oxide gas sterilization [14].

Regular monitoring and validation of sterilization processes are crucial to ensure their effectiveness.

4. Environmental Cleaning

Maintaining a clean clinical environment is essential for preventing HAIs. Dermatology clinics should adhere to the following guidelines for environmental cleaning:

- Regularly clean and disinfect surfaces, especially those that are frequently touched, such as examination tables, doorknobs, and light switches.
- Use EPA-registered disinfectants effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens, including bacteria and viruses.
- Implement a cleaning schedule that includes daily cleaning as well as deep cleaning on a routine basis [15].

5. Patient Preparation and Education

Preparing patients for dermatological procedures is an integral part of infection prevention. Clinics should:

- Conduct thorough assessments of patients' medical histories, including any history of infections or skin conditions that may increase infection risk.
- Educate patients about pre-procedure care, including the importance of hygiene, avoiding certain

medications that may affect healing, and following post-procedure care instructions.

- Ensure that patients are informed about signs of infection, such as redness, swelling, or discharge, and encourage them to report any concerns promptly [16].

6. Surveillance and Reporting

Ongoing surveillance of infection rates within dermatology clinics is essential for identifying trends and implementing improvements. Clinics should:

- Monitor and document infection rates associated with specific procedures.
- Conduct regular audits of infection control practices to ensure compliance with guidelines.
- Report any incidents of HAIs to appropriate health authorities, allowing for broader analysis and response to potential outbreaks [17].

Assessment of Existing Infection Control Protocols:

Infection control is a critical aspect of healthcare that aims to minimize the risk of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). In dermatology clinics, where patients are frequently treated for a variety of skin conditions and procedures that may involve invasive techniques, infection control protocols are crucial. These protocols not only protect patients but also safeguard healthcare workers and the environment [17].

Components of Infection Control Protocols

Hand hygiene is regarded as the cornerstone of infection control. Guidelines recommend that healthcare providers perform handwashing with soap and water or use alcohol-based hand sanitizers before and after patient contact. In dermatology clinics, hands should be cleaned before and after any procedure, particularly those that involve skin penetration, such as biopsies or the administration of injectable therapies [18].

The use of PPE, including gloves, masks, gowns, and eye protection, is integral to protecting both patients and healthcare providers from potential infections. In dermatology, gloves are typically used during examinations and procedures to prevent the transference of pathogens. Appropriate PPE protocols should be followed based on the treatment being performed, especially for procedures with a higher risk of exposure to blood or other bodily fluids [18].

Thorough cleaning and disinfection of surfaces and equipment in a dermatology clinic significantly reduce the risk of HAIs. High-touch surfaces, such as examination tables, doorknobs, and light switches, should be regularly disinfected with EPA-approved disinfectants. Equipment such as dermatoscopes and lasers must also be cleaned according to the manufacturer's recommendations and standard guidelines [19].

Proper sterilization of reusable instruments is vital in preventing the transmission of infections. Dermatology clinics often employ different sterilization methods for their tools, including autoclaving, chemical sterilization, or single-use disposable instruments. Protocols should ensure that all instruments are adequately sterilized and that appropriate records are maintained [19].

Safe management of clinical waste and sharps is a critical part of infection control. Dermatology clinics must have clearly defined protocols for the disposal of hazardous materials, including sharps like needles, scalpels, and contaminated dressings. This includes the use of puncture-proof containers for sharps and specific waste disposal methods for biohazardous materials [20].

Educating patients about infection control measures is an essential component of effective protocols. Patients should be informed about proper wound care, signs of infection, and the importance of promptly reporting any concerns to their healthcare provider. Informing patients about infection prevention protocols, such as not touching or picking at healing lesions, can reduce the likelihood of infections [20].

Effectiveness of Existing Protocols

The effectiveness of infection control protocols in dermatology clinics largely depends on adherence by healthcare professionals and adequate training. Studies have shown that compliance with hand hygiene and the use of PPE significantly reduces infection rates. Clinics that rigorously follow established guidelines often report lower incidences of HAIs and improved patient safety [21].

For instance, a review of infection control practices indicated that facilities that employed regular training sessions for staff on updated protocols exhibited better compliance and lower infection rates. Additionally, clinics that implemented systematic checks and feedback mechanisms on infection control practices

found that it fostered a culture of safety among staff [21].

Challenges in Implementing Infection Control Protocols

Despite the existence of established infection control protocols, several challenges can hinder their effectiveness in dermatology clinics [22].

One of the most significant challenges is the ongoing compliance of healthcare staff with infection control protocols. Factors such as high workload, time constraints, and inadequate knowledge can lead to lapses in adherence, compromising patient safety.

Continuous education and training play a crucial role in ensuring that staff members remain informed about best practices. However, many clinics may not prioritize or have the resources for regular training updates, resulting in knowledge gaps regarding infection control protocols.

Smaller dermatology clinics may face limitations in terms of funding and resources, affecting their ability to maintain the necessary supplies for effective infection control, such as PPE and sterilization equipment [22].

With varying state regulations and guidelines concerning infection control, dermatology clinics may adopt inconsistent practices. This variability can create confusion among healthcare workers, leading to inconsistencies in adherence and effectiveness.

To enhance the effectiveness of infection control protocols in dermatology clinics, several recommendations can be made [23].

Establishing mandatory and periodic infection control training for all staff members can help reinforce the importance of adherence to protocols. Incorporating hands-on simulations, workshops, and assessments can ensure that staff are proficient in infection prevention techniques.

Implementing systems to monitor compliance, such as checklists and audits, can provide valuable feedback to staff and ensure that standards are met consistently. Engaging staff in discussions about compliance findings can foster a culture of accountability and diligence [24].

Clinics must prioritize the allocation of resources, including adequate PPE, sterilization equipment, and cleaning supplies. Sufficient funding and supply management systems should be in place to ensure that all necessary tools are readily available.

Collaborating with professional dermatological organizations to develop standardized infection control protocols can promote consistency across clinics. Evidence-based guidelines should be widely disseminated and incorporated into clinic policies to ensure uniform adherence [25].

Enhancing patient education efforts can empower patients to take an active role in their care. Providing informative materials about infection prevention and encouraging patients to ask questions can enhance understanding and compliance with recommended practices [26].

Hand Hygiene Practices: Standards and Compliance:

In healthcare settings, effective hand hygiene is a cornerstone of infection control, particularly in specialized environments such as dermatology clinics. The skin, being the body's largest organ, serves as the first line of defense against pathogens; however, it is also a common site for various infections and skin disorders. Leverage of appropriate hand hygiene practices becomes paramount in ensuring patient safety, preventing cross-contamination, and maintaining public health.

Hand hygiene refers to the process of cleaning hands to remove dirt, soil, and microorganisms, which is essential to prevent infections. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) emphasizes its critical role in healthcare settings, stating that hand hygiene could reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) significantly. For dermatology clinics, the nature of procedures performed, ranging from minor surgical interventions to cosmetic treatments, necessitates an even higher standard of hand hygiene to protect not only the patients undergoing treatment but also the healthcare providers delivering care [27].

Standards and Guidelines for Hand Hygiene

The World Health Organization (WHO) and the CDC provide comprehensive guidelines detailing effective hand hygiene protocols. These guidelines emphasize two primary modalities: handwashing with soap and water and the use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers [28].

1. **Handwashing with Soap and Water**: This method is particularly recommended when hands are visibly

soiled or contaminated. The process includes wetting the hands with water, applying soap, and scrubbing all surfaces of the hands for at least 20 seconds before rinsing thoroughly and drying with a clean or disposable towel. In dermatology practices, where skin lesions may produce exudate or blood, handwashing is essential to eliminate viable pathogens effectively.

2. **Alcohol-based Hand Sanitizers**: In scenarios where soap and water are unavailable or when hands are not visibly soiled, alcohol-based hand sanitizers (with at least 60% alcohol content) can be employed. These agents are effective against many types of germs and are particularly useful in fast-paced clinic settings where rapid turnover is needed [28].

The CDC's "Five Moments for Hand Hygiene" model serves as a guiding framework for healthcare providers. This model identifies critical moments when hand hygiene should be performed:

- Before patient contact
- Before aseptic procedures
- After potential exposure to body fluids
- After patient contact
- After contact with patient surroundings

Complying with these recommendations is vital in reducing the risk of transmitting infections, particularly in dermatology clinics where procedures often involve skin barriers that could introduce pathogens directly into subdermal layers [29].

Challenges of Compliance

Despite the clear importance and guidelines for hand hygiene, compliance within dermatology clinics and healthcare in general can be inconsistent. A multifactorial approach influences the adherence to hand hygiene protocols:

1. **Workload and Time Constraints**: Busy dermatology clinics often operate on tight schedules, with multiple patients treated in a limited timeframe. The perceived lack of time can lead providers to skip or rush hand hygiene practices [30].
2. **Accessibility of Hand Hygiene Supplies**: Insufficient availability of handwashing facilities or alcohol-based sanitizers can hinder compliance. Clinics should ensure the strategic placement of hand hygiene stations in treatment areas for immediate access.
3. **Education and Training**: Ongoing training and reminders about the importance of hand hygiene can become sporadic over time. A lack of proper education amongst staff regarding effective hand hygiene techniques can affect compliance [30].
4. **Behavior Habits**: Personal habits and organizational culture also play a role in compliance. If a facility does not emphasize hand hygiene as a priority, staff may not recognize its importance.
5. **Infection Control Policies**: A lack of robust infection control policies may undermine the efforts made in promoting hand hygiene. Regular monitoring, evaluations, and audits by infection control teams can strengthen the adherence to established protocols [30].

Implications of Non-compliance

Failure to adhere to recommended hand hygiene standards in dermatology clinics can lead to severe consequences, including increased rates of HAIs, prolonged patient recovery times, and potentially serious complications. Moreover, dermatologic conditions often require topical treatments, which can introduce additional variables in infection transmission. For instance, managing acne or eczema might involve procedures that compromise the skin barrier, thus increasing susceptibility to infections.

Additionally, non-compliance with hand hygiene practices can have broader implications for healthcare systems, including heightened healthcare costs due to the need for extended treatment courses or inpatient care resulting from treatable infections. The public's trust in dermatological services can also decline if standards of infection prevention are viewed as inadequate [30].

Promoting Compliance

To effectively promote hand hygiene compliance in dermatology clinics, several strategies must be employed:

1. **Leadership Commitment**: Healthcare leaders should advocate for a strong culture of hand hygiene and infection control. Their active engagement in promoting compliance sets a precedence throughout their organization [31].
2. **Training and Education**: Regular training programs should be established to keep all staff updated on hand hygiene practices and the rationale behind them. Simulation and practical demonstrations can enhance understanding.

3. **Regular Audits and Feedback**: Conducting routine audits to measure compliance and providing constructive feedback ensures that hand hygiene practices are constantly evaluated and improved.
4. **Accessibility of Supplies**: Ensuring the availability of proper hand hygiene products is crucial. Clinics should stock hand sanitizers and soaps in all areas where patient interaction occurs, allowing for easy accessibility.
5. **Patient Engagement**: Educating patients about the importance of hand hygiene, both for themselves and for their healthcare providers, can foster a cooperative environment where everyone participates in infection prevention [31].

Proper Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE):

In the contemporary landscape of healthcare and various industries, the significance of protective measures against infectious diseases cannot be overstated. Among these measures, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) plays a pivotal role in minimizing the risk of transmission of pathogens. The appropriate use of PPE is essential not only for the safety of healthcare workers and professionals but also for patients, visitors, and the wider community [32].

Types of Personal Protective Equipment

The first step in understanding the proper use of PPE is recognizing the various types available and their specific functions. PPE encompasses a wide range of equipment designed to create barriers against pathogens. The primary components include:

1. **Gloves**: These are critical in preventing contamination of hands when interacting with patients or handling potentially infectious materials. They are usually made of latex, nitrile, or vinyl, each with varying levels of protective capabilities.
2. **Masks and Respirators**: Surgical masks protect the wearer from large droplets, while N95 respirators and higher-level protective masks filter out smaller airborne particles, providing a higher level of protection, particularly in settings where airborne transmission is a concern [33].
3. **Gowns and Aprons**: These garments offer protection for skin and clothing and are particularly vital in procedures where splashes or contact with bodily fluids are anticipated. Gowns can be disposable or reusable, depending on the context of use.
4. **Face Shields and Goggles**: These protect the eyes, face, and mucous membranes from splashes and sprays. Face shields offer the added benefit of providing coverage beyond the immediate proximity of the wearer's face.
5. **Footwear and Head Covers**: In certain high-risk environments, such as operating rooms, specialized footwear and surgical caps or hoods may also be required to mitigate infection risk [33].

Understanding the specifications of each type of PPE and the associated guidelines is foundational to infection control practices [33].

Guidelines for Proper Use of PPE

The effectiveness of PPE hinges on proper usage, which includes selection, donning, doffing, and disposal of equipment [34].

1. Selection

Choosing the appropriate PPE is contingent upon an assessment of the risk of exposure and the type of tasks to be performed. For instance, when dealing with blood or bodily fluids, gloves and gowns are necessary, whereas, in situations involving respiratory infections, respirators and masks become critical. Organizations typically adhere to established protocols, such as those from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) or the World Health Organization (WHO), to guide the selection process [35].

2. Donning PPE

Proper donning of PPE is a crucial step in ensuring its efficacy. The sequence generally begins with hand hygiene, followed by the application of gown, mask or respirator, goggles or face shield, and finally, gloves. Each layer must adhere to specific standards to create a protective barrier. For example, gloves should always be put on last, as they protect the hands from contamination when touching surfaces or patients [36].

3. Doffing PPE

Equally important is the process of doffing, or removing PPE, which poses a significant risk of self-contamination if not executed properly. Following safe removal protocols, which often require reapplication of hand hygiene between each piece of PPE, is essential. The recommended sequence usually involves removing gloves first, followed by goggles or face shields, gowns, and masks, ensuring that any

contaminated surfaces are inward-facing when removed [37].

4. Disposal and Reuse

Disposal practices must align with the type of PPE used. Disposable PPE should be discarded in designated waste containers to prevent environmental contamination and the spread of infection. On the other hand, reusable items must undergo rigorous cleaning and decontamination processes as per manufacturer guidelines, ensuring they can be safely used again [37].

Importance of Training and Compliance

The effectiveness of PPE can only be realized through compliance with established protocols and continuous training. Regular training sessions stress the importance of PPE in providing a safe working environment and help familiarize employees with updated guidelines, product features, and hands-on practice in donning and doffing PPE [38].

Organizations must foster a culture of safety where staff feel competent and empowered to use PPE responsibly. Compliance checks and audits also serve as mechanisms to encourage adherence to PPE protocols and reinforce the necessity of personal responsibility in infection control.

Additionally, the psychological aspects of PPE use can affect compliance. For instance, the discomfort of wearing a respirator or goggles for extended periods may lead to lapses in proper usage. By understanding these challenges and addressing them through education and support, organizations can enhance compliance and subsequently reduce infection risks [38].

Environmental Cleaning and Disinfection Strategies:

In healthcare settings, particularly in dermatology clinics, the importance of environmental cleaning and disinfection cannot be overstated. These practices are crucial for infection prevention, maintaining patient safety, and ensuring optimal treatment outcomes. The skin, being the largest organ of the body, is often the first line of defense against infections. However, dermatological procedures, treatments, and even examinations can lead to breaches of this barrier, making effective infection control protocols essential [39].

Dermatology clinics face unique infection risks that stem from the nature of skin conditions and treatments. Patients often present with various ailments, including infections, rashes, and lesions, which may be infectious, potentially exposing healthcare providers and other patients to pathogens. Common infectious agents in dermatology include bacteria (e.g., Staphylococcus aureus), viruses (e.g., herpes simplex virus), and fungi (e.g., Candida). Given these risks, a thorough understanding of cleaning and disinfection protocols is imperative for mitigating the potential spread of these pathogens [39].

The Role of Environmental Cleaning

Environmental cleaning involves the removal of visible soil and organic material from surfaces, which is a vital first step in the disinfection process. Surfaces in dermatology clinics can harbor pathogens if not properly maintained. Common high-touch surfaces include examination tables, chairs, counters, medical instruments, and treatment devices [40].

1. **Routine Cleaning Protocols**: Cleaning protocols should be established and adhered to regularly. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) provide guidelines for cleaning procedures, stressing the importance of frequency and thoroughness. In dermatology clinics, high-touch surfaces should be cleaned at the beginning and end of each patient session, and a more comprehensive cleaning should be performed daily [41].
2. **Dedicated Cleaning Staff**: Assigning dedicated cleaning staff can further enhance the effectiveness of cleaning efforts. Staff members should be trained in the importance of infection control practices, optimal cleaning techniques, and the use of appropriate cleaning agents. This specialized training ensures that staff are aware of the risks present in the dermatological environment and understand the necessary steps to mitigate them [41].
3. **Use of Appropriate Cleaning Agents**: Selecting the right cleaning agents is paramount for effective cleaning. Detergents and disinfectants should meet specific criteria, including effectiveness against a broad spectrum of pathogens and compatibility with various surface materials. Quaternary ammonium compounds, hydrogen peroxide, and sodium hypochlorite are commonly used disinfectants that can effectively eliminate pathogens found in dermatology clinics [41].

Disinfection Strategies

Once surfaces have been adequately cleaned, disinfection is the next critical step. Disinfection refers to the use of chemical agents to destroy or inactivate pathogens. In dermatology clinics, the disinfection process should encompass not only surfaces but also medical instruments and equipment [42].

1. **Surface Disinfection**: After thorough cleaning, surfaces should be treated with appropriate disinfectants. The surface contact time—how long the disinfectant should remain wet on the surface—must be strictly adhered to, as this is essential for achieving effective microbial inactivation. Clinics should maintain a list of disinfectants, including their contact times and compatible surfaces, ensuring understanding among staff [42].
2. **Instrument and Equipment Sterilization**: Medical instruments such as scissors, forceps, and dermatological devices must undergo strict sterilization protocols. Procedures should be classified into categories based on the risk of infection:
   - **Critical Items**: Items that enter sterile tissue or the vascular system must be sterilized using autoclaving or chemical sterilants.
   - **Semi-Critical Items**: Items that contact mucous membranes (like dermal fillers) should be high-level disinfected between uses.
   - **Non-Critical Items**: Items that touch only intact skin (like thermometers, stethoscopes) can be cleaned and disinfected with lower-level disinfectants [42].
3. **Environmental Monitoring and Audits**: Regular monitoring and auditing of cleaning and disinfection practices can help ensure compliance with protocols. This can be achieved through visual inspections, microbiological testing of surfaces, and feedback mechanisms to identify areas for improvement [43].

Training and Compliance

Effective infection control relies heavily on education and training of staff. Ongoing education programs should be established to keep clinicians and support staff informed about the latest guidelines and best practices for cleaning and disinfection. Regular workshops and training refreshers can reinforce the importance of adherence to protocols, which not only protects patients but also ensures staff safety.

In addition to staff training, patient education is another important component of infection prevention strategies. Patients should be made aware of the clinic's commitment to maintaining a clean and safe environment. This could include providing leaflets, verbal instructions, and engaging patients in understanding their roles in infection prevention, such as hand hygiene and recognizing signs of infection [44].

Training and Education for Healthcare Staff:

In the realm of healthcare, infection prevention is an immutable aspect of patient safety, particularly in specialized fields such as dermatology. As dermatology clinics often handle a wide array of skin conditions, including infectious diseases, staff education and training play a critical role in safeguarding both patients and healthcare providers from the risk of infection [45].

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) represent a significant burden on the healthcare system, leading to increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and higher healthcare costs. In dermatology clinics, where various procedures such as biopsies, phototherapy, and surgical interventions are common, there exists an elevated risk of surgical site infections and cross-contamination. Therefore, specialized training for dermatological healthcare staff is essential not only for enhancing the safety of procedures but also to instill a culture of infection prevention and control [46].

The effectiveness of training programs begins with understanding the specific risks associated with dermatological practices. For instance, practitioners must be educated about the potential transmission routes of pathogens prevalent in dermatology, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Educational modules can focus specifically on high-risk conditions, such as eczema, psoriasis, and skin infections, equipping staff with the knowledge to recognize and address these scenarios effectively [46].

Core Components of Training Programs

The structure of an effective training program for infection prevention in dermatology clinics encompasses several core components:

1. **Understanding of Microbiology**: Staff should be familiar with the types of microorganisms they may encounter in the clinic, including their modes of transmission and characteristics. This knowledge fosters better judgment on necessary precautions [47].
2. **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**: Education on the proper selection, use, and disposal of PPE is

pivotal. Training should cover the importance of gloves, masks, gowns, and eye protection in preventing skin and respiratory infections and enhancing overall aseptic technique.

3. **Hand Hygiene Practices**: Hand hygiene is recognized as the cornerstone of infection prevention. Healthcare staff must be trained in the principles of effective handwashing, including the proper techniques and the appropriate use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers. Regular audits and feedback on hand hygiene compliance can help reinforce these methods [47].
4. **Environmental Cleaning and Disinfection**: An understanding of the standards for cleaning and disinfecting tools, workspaces, and patient areas is vital. Training should provide clear protocols for handling equipment, as well as guidelines for managing spills, trash, and biohazardous waste [48].
5. **Sterilization Techniques**: Training programs should detail the various sterilization methods applicable to dermatology instruments, emphasizing the importance of following established protocols to minimize the risk of cross-infection. This includes understanding when to use sterilization versus high-level disinfection [48].
6. **Patient Education**: A significant aspect of infection prevention in dermatology involves educating patients about their roles in minimizing risk. Staff should be trained to communicate effectively with patients regarding post-procedure care, hygiene practices, and signs of infection.
7. **Policy and Protocol Adherence**: Training should be complemented by clear, accessible policies on infection prevention tailored to the specific operations of the dermatology clinic. Staff should be trained to recognize the importance of adherence to these protocols and empowered to report lapses or near misses without fear of reprimand [48].

Continuous Education and Adaptation

The landscape of healthcare is constantly evolving, with new pathogens, emerging infectious diseases, and changing guidelines necessitating continual education for healthcare staff. Healthcare organizations must establish mechanisms for ongoing professional development in infection prevention through regular refresher courses, workshops, and seminars. Furthermore, collaboration with infection control specialists can bring in insights from microbiology, epidemiology, and evidence-based practice, strengthening the training framework [49].

In addition to formal training sessions, fostering a culture of infection prevention within the clinic can be reinforced through regular team meetings focused on review and discussion of current practices, challenges encountered, and success stories in infection control efforts. This approach encourages open dialogue and continuous improvement, emphasizing teamwork and responsibility among all staff members [50].

The commitment of clinic leadership to infection prevention and control is fundamental to the effectiveness of staff training initiatives. Management must prioritize the allocation of resources for education, ensuring that training programs are adequately staffed and supported. Furthermore, leaders must model best practices by adhering to established infection prevention protocols, thereby fostering an environment where safety is paramount [51].

Leadership can also facilitate partnerships with external organizations, such as local health departments and professional societies, to ensure that staff receive up-to-date information on infection prevention. Such partnerships can provide access to valuable resources, including access to research and expert guidance [52].

Patient Involvement and Education in Infection Prevention:

In the realm of healthcare, the significance of patient involvement and education cannot be overstated, particularly regarding infection prevention. Infections acquired in healthcare settings, known as healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), pose a severe challenge, leading to increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. The World Health Organization (WHO) recognizes HAIs as a major global public health problem, and strategies that enhance patient involvement and education are critical for reducing these infections [53].

Patients are not merely recipients of care; they are active participants in the healthcare process. Their involvement in infection prevention extends from understanding their role during hospital stays to acting as advocates for their health in community settings. Engaging patients effectively means promoting an understanding of how infections spread, the best practices for prevention, and the active steps they can take to mitigate infectious risk [54].

A fundamental aspect of infection prevention is educating patients about how infections are transmitted. Knowledge about the transmission routes—whether through direct contact, airborne particles, or contaminated surfaces—empowers patients to identify potential risks both within healthcare facilities and in their daily lives. For instance, understanding the role of hand hygiene can motivate patients to wash their hands regularly and remind healthcare providers to do the same [55].

Patients can play a pivotal role in their infection prevention through active participation in their care plans. This involvement can take various forms, including asking healthcare providers about the hygiene practices they follow, ensuring that appropriate infection control measures are in place, and understanding the significance of vaccinations as a preventive strategy. Moreover, patients should feel empowered to voice concerns, particularly if they observe lapses in infection control protocols [56].

Evidence suggests that informed patients can directly contribute to the reduction of HAIs. For instance, studies have demonstrated that patients who are educated about the importance of hand hygiene are more likely to adhere to guidelines and remind healthcare providers to do the same. Furthermore, educating patients about the risks associated with invasive procedures and the importance of care around catheters and surgical sites can decrease the incidence of related infections [57].

The effectiveness of patient education in infection prevention hinges on the strategies employed to convey information. Traditional educational methods, such as pamphlets and brochures, while useful, may not adequately engage patients or cater to varying levels of health literacy. Therefore, a multi-faceted approach to education is essential [57].

Personalized education that considers a patient's background, comprehension levels, and specific health conditions can significantly improve outcomes. Health professionals should take the time to explain infection prevention protocols clearly and tailor communication to meet individual needs. This can involve one-on-one sessions with nurses, inclusion of family members in discussions, or using teach-back methods where patients repeat back information to confirm understanding [58].

Advancements in technology offer innovative solutions for imparting infection prevention knowledge. Mobile applications, webinars, and e-learning modules can provide patients with accessible resources. These tools often enhance engagement by leveraging multimedia formats that can explain complex concepts through videos, infographics, and interactive quizzes. Utilizing telehealth platforms has also gained traction, allowing healthcare practitioners to educate patients remotely, which is particularly vital in times of crisis, such as the COVID-19 pandemic [58] .

Community-based education programs that focus on infection prevention can further foster patient involvement. These programs can include workshops at local health fairs, collaboration with schools to teach children about hygiene, and outreach initiatives targeting at-risk populations. Community engagement not only raises awareness about infection control but also encourages a culture of health where individuals share knowledge and seek collective safety [58].

The effective involvement of patients in infection prevention requires a supportive healthcare environment that encourages open dialogue. Healthcare institutions must prioritize creating a culture of transparency and trust, where patients feel comfortable expressing their concerns about potential infection risks. This involves training healthcare staff to adopt a patient-centered approach, recognizing patients as partners in their care [59].

Educating healthcare workers on the significance of patient engagement in infection prevention is equally vital. Staff must be attuned to the importance of involving patients in discussions regarding their care, including infection risks and preventive measures. Training programs that emphasize communication skills and the value of patient feedback can create an environment conducive to collaboration [59].

Instituting policies that encourage patient involvement is essential for reinforcing the importance of infection prevention. Healthcare facilities can implement protocols that require staff to routinely check in with patients, ask for their feedback on infection control practices, and involve them in decision-making processes concerning their care. Additionally, integrating infection prevention education into pre-operative and post-operative patient instructions ensures that patients are well informed at critical junctures in their care journey [60].

Conclusion:

Updating best practices for infection control in dermatology clinics is essential for enhancing patient safety and minimizing the risk of healthcare-associated infections. By adhering to current standards and guidelines from reputable health organizations, clinics can implement effective strategies that incorporate rigorous hand hygiene, appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and thorough cleaning and disinfection protocols. Continuous staff training and education, along with active patient engagement in infection prevention, are critical components that support a culture of safety within clinical settings.

As dermatology advances and new challenges emerge, regular evaluations of infection control practices must be a priority to ensure they remain effective and relevant. Ultimately, fostering a proactive approach to infection control will not only protect patients but also contribute to the overall quality of care provided in dermatology clinics. By committing to these updates, clinics can establish a more resilient healthcare environment, enhancing trust and confidence among patients and healthcare providers alike.

References:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019 National and State Healthcare-Associated Infections Progress Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed February 6, 2022.
2. Wright MO, Allen-Bridson K, Hebden JN. Assessment of the accuracy and consistency in the application of standardized surveillance definitions: A summary of the American Journal of Infection Control and National Healthcare Safety Network case studies, 2010–2016. Am J Infect Control. 2017;45:607–611. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.03.035.
3. Billings C, Bernard H, Caffrey L, et al. Advancing the profession: an updated future-oriented competency model for professional development in infection prevention and control. Am J Infect Control. 2019;47:602–614. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.04.003.
4. McQuillen DP, MacIntyre AT. The value that infectious diseases physicians bring to the healthcare system. J Infect Dis. 2017;216:S588–S593. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix326.
5. Storr J, Twyman A, Zingg W, et al. Core components for effective infection prevention and control programmes: new WHO evidence-based recommendations. Antimicrob Res Infect Control. 2017. doi: 10.1186/s13756-016-0149-9.
6. Murphy DM, Hanchett M, Olmsted RN, et al. Competency in infection prevention: a conceptual approach to guide current and future practice. Am J Infect Control. 2012;40:296–303. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2012.03.002.
7. Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, Gilmartin H, Reese S. Infection prevention staffing and resources in U.S. acute care hospitals: results from the APIC MegaSurvey. Am J Infect Control. 2018;46:852–857. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2018.04.202.
8. McLachlan S, Kyrimi E, Dube K, et al. Towards standardization of evidence-based clinical care process specifications. Health Informatics J. 2020;26:2512–2537. doi: 10.1177/1460458220906069.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Creating a Business Case for Infection Prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed February 6, 2022.
10. Dick A, Perencevich EN, Pogorzelska-Maziarz M, et al. A decade of investment in infection prevention: a cost effectiveness analysis. Am J Infect Control. 2015;43:4–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2014.07.014.
11. Hebden JN. Slow adoption of automated infection prevention surveillance: are human factors contributing? Am J Infect Control. 2015;43:559–562. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2015.02.007.
12. Maaike SM, Pleun VD, Karel GM, et al. Accuracy of administrative data for surveillance of healthcare-associated infections: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2015. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008424.
13. Dahlke JD, Mendez-Figueroa H, Maggio L, et al. The Case for standardizing cesarean delivery technique: seeing the forest for the trees. Obstet Gynecol. 2020;136:972–980. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000004120.
14. Bryant KA, Harris AD, Gould CV, et al. Necessary infrastructure of infection prevention and healthcare epidemiology programs: a review. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016;37:371–380. doi: 10.1017/ice.2015.333.
15. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. AHRQ Plan for Translating Research into Practice. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Accessed February 6, 2022.
16. Scheckler WE, Brimhall D, Buck AS, et al. Requirements for infrastructure and essential activities

of infection control and epidemiology in hospitals: a consensus panel report. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1998;26:47–60. doi: 10.1016/s0196-6553(98)70061-6.

17. Lee MH, Lee GA, Lee SH, et al. Effectiveness and core components of infection prevention and control programmes in long-term care facilities: a systematic review. J Hosp Infect. 2019;102:377–393. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2019.02.008.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About NHSN. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed February 6, 2022.
19. Dhar S, Sandhu AL, Valyko A, et al. Strategies for effective infection prevention programs: structures, processes, and funding. Infect Dis Clin Am. 2021:531–551. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2021.04.001.
20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019 National and State Healthcare-Associated Infections Progress Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed February 6, 2022.
21. Kikkert M. Innate Immune Evasion by Human Respiratory RNA Viruses. J Innate Immun. 2020;12(1):4–20. doi: 10.1159/000503030.
22. Pugliese G, Gosnell C, Bartley JM, Robinson S. Injection practices among clinicians in United States health care settings. Am J Infect Control. 2010;38:789–98. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2010.09.003.
23. Johl SS, Burgett RA. Dermal filler agents: a practical review. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2006;17(5):471–479. doi: 10.1097/01.icu.0000243021.20499.4b.
24. Bourke CD, Berkley JA, Prendergast AJ. Immune dysfunction as a cause and consequence of malnutrition. Trends Immunol. 2016;37(6):386–398. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2016.04.003.
25. Castle SC. Impact of age-related immune dysfunction on risk of infections. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2000;33(5):341–9. doi: 10.1007/s003910070030.
26. Elek SD, Conen PE. The virulence of Staphylococcus pyogenes for man; a study of the problems of wound infection. Br J Exp Pathol. 1957;38(6):573–86.
27. Gould L, Abadir P, Brem H et al. Chronic wound repair and healing in older adults: current status and future research. Wound Repair Regen. 2015;23(1):1–13. doi: 10.1111/wrr.12245.
28. Hannigan GD, Grice EA. Microbial ecology of the skin in the era of metagenomics and molecular microbiology. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2013;3(12):a015362. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a015362.
29. Swartz MN. Clinical practice. Cellulitis. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:904–12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp031807.
30. Lawrence JC. The use of alcoholic wipes for disinfection of injection sites. J of Wound Care. 1994;3(1):1–14. doi: 10.12968/jowc.1994.3.1.11.
31. Wagner RD, Fakhro A, Cox JA, Izaddoost SA. Etiology, prevention, and management of infectious complications of Dermal Fillers. Semin Plast Surg. 2016;30(2):83–86. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1580734.
32. Calfee DP, Farr BM. Comparison of four antiseptic preparations for skin in the prevention of contamination of percutaneously drawn blood cultures: a randomized trial. J Clin Microbiol. 2002;40(5):1660–1665. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.5.1660-1665.2002.
33. Ki V, Rotstein C. Bacterial skin and soft tissue infections in adults: a review of their epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and site of care. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2008;19(2):173–184. doi: 10.1155/2008/846453.
34. Cassuto D, Sundaram H. A problem-oriented approach to nodular complications from hyaluronic acid and calcium hydroxylapatite fillers: classification and recommendations for treatment. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013;132(4)(2):48S–58S. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e31829e52a7.
35. Ghilotti F, Bellocco R, Ye W et al. Obesity and risk of infections: results from men and women in the Swedish National March Cohort. Int J Epidemiol. 2019;48(6):1783–1794. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyz129.
36. Steinsapir KD, Woodward JA. Chlorhexidine keratitis: safety of chlorhexidine as a facial antiseptic. Dermatol Surg. 2017;43(1):1–6. doi: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000000822.
37. Brodell LA, Rosenthal KS. Skin structure and function. Infect Dis Clin Pract. 2008;16(2):113–117.
38. Brodell L, Lindsay A, Rosenthal K. Skin structure and function: the body's primary defence against infection. Infect Dis Clin Pract. 2008;1(2):113–117.
39. Ibrahim O, Overman J, Arndt KA, Dover JS. Filler nodules: inflammatory or infectious? A review of biofilms and their implications on clinical practice.

Dermatol Surg. 2018;44(1):53–60. doi: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000001202.

40. Noble WC. Boston (Massachusetts): Springer; 1999. Chapter 6. The human skin microflora and disease. In: Medical Importance of the Normal Microflora.

41. Dancer SJ. The role of environmental cleaning in the control of hospital acquired infection. J Hosp Infect. 2009;73:378–385. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2009.03.030.

42. Chen LF, Knelson LP, Gergen MF, et al. A prospective study of transmission of multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) between environmental sites and hospitalized patients – the TransFER study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2018;40:47–52. doi: 10.1017/ice.2018.275.

43. Alshehari AA, Park S, Rashid H. Strategies to improve hand hygiene compliance among healthcare workers in adult intensive care units: a mini systematic review. J Hosp Infect. 2018;100:152–158. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2018.03.013.

44. Stiefel U, Cadnum JL, Eckstein BC, et al. Contamination of hands with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus after contact with the skin of colonized patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011;32:185–187. doi: 10.1086/657944.

45. Boyce JM. Current issues in hand hygiene: a state of the science review. Am J Infect Control. 2019;47:a46–a52. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2019.03.024.

46. Weber DJ, Rutala WA, Miller MB, et al. Role of hospital surfaces in the transmission of emerging health care-associated pathogens: norovirus, Clostridium difficile, and Acinetobacter species. Am J Infect Control. 2010;38:S25–S33. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2010.04.196.

47. Tartari E, Fankhauser C, Masson-Roy S, et al. Train-the-Trainers in hand hygiene: a standardized approach to guide education in infection prevention and control. Antimicrobial Res Infect Control. 2019. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0666-4.

48. Chassin MR, Mayer C, Nether K. Improving hand hygiene at eight hospitals in the United States by targeting specific causes of noncompliance. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Safety. 2015;41:4–12. doi: 10.1016/s1553-7250(15)41002-5.

49. Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis. 2006. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-6-130.

50. Sips ME. Automated surveillance of HAIs: state of the art. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2017;30:425–431. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0000000000000376.

51. Suleyman G, Alangaden G, Bardossy AC. The role of environmental contamination in the transmission of nosocomial pathogens and healthcare-associated infections. Curr Infections Dis Rep. 2018;20:11–12. doi: 10.1007/s11908-018-0620-2.

52. Boyce JM. Environmental contamination makes an important contribution to hospital infection. J Hosp Infect. 2007;65:50–54. doi: 10.1016/S0195-6701(07)60015-2.

53. Gould DJ, Moralejo D, Drey N, et al. Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care (Review) Cochrane Library. 2017. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005186.pub4.

54. Streefkerk HRA. Electronically assisted surveillance systems of HAIs: a systematic review. Euro Surveill. 2020;25:1–16. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.2.1900321.

55. Leapfrog Hospital Group. Fact Sheet: Leapfrog Hospital Survey Hand Hygiene.

56. Donskey CJ. Does improving surface cleaning and disinfection reduce health care-associated infections? Am J Infect Control. 2013;41:S12–S19. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2012.12.010.

57. Boyce JM. Impact of an automated hand hygiene monitoring system and additional promotional activities on hand hygiene performance rates and healthcare-associated infections. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2019;40:741–747. doi: 10.1017/ice.2019.77.

58. Werzen A, Thom K, Robinson G, et al. Comparing brief, covert directly-observed hand hygiene compliance monitoring to standard methods: a multicenter cohort study. Am J Infect Control. 2019;47:346–348. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2018.08.015.

59. World Health Organization. Improving Hand Hygiene Through a Multimodal Strategy.

60. Wang C, Jiang W, Yang K, et al. A systematic review of electronic monitoring systems for hand hygiene. J Med Internet Research. 2021. doi: 10.2196/27880.