
Overcoming the Challenge of Marketing Myopia

¹Dr. Khaled A. Al Falah, ²Dr. Abdulah M. Aseri

¹Associate Professor, Department of Management, College of Business Administration, King Faisal University

kalfalah@kfu.edu.sa

²Associate Professor, Department of Management Information Systems, College of Business Administration, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University

amaseri@iau.edu.sa

Abstract

Over the course of the previous half a century, marketers generally adhered to Levitt's advice on the need to avoid marketing myopia by focusing on customers. In the article, the authors contend that marketers have learned this lesson too well, resulting today in a new form of marketing myopia that equally causes distortions in strategic vision and can lead to business failure. The new marketing myopia is mainly founded on three related concepts: a single-minded focus on the customers, which excludes other stakeholders, a narrow definition and scope of the customers along with their needs as well as the failure to recognize the changed societal context of businesses that requires addressing the interests of several stakeholders. Through a robust literature review, the article demonstrates these phenomena and then provides a vision of marketing management as an activity that mainly engages several stakeholders in value creation. The article provides five practice propositions that will assist marketers in overcoming the challenge of marketing myopia: mapping the stakeholders of the company, determining the salience of stakeholders, researching stakeholder issues and expectations while measuring impact, engaging the stakeholders, and embedding a stakeholder orientation. The article concludes by noting the implications.

Keywords: Marketing myopia, customer focus, stakeholders, strategic vision, societal context, value Creation.

Background Information

In his seminal work titled Marketing Myopia, Theodore Levitt argued that firms that do not think broadly about their businesses are likely to lose to competition. Additional input from industry stakeholders has determined that this broad thinking aligns with the definition of their business [1]. The assertion is that companies that often embrace a narrow definition often contribute to the steady demise of their operations because they hardly adapt to the changing consumer expectations and needs along with the other changes that arise within the marketplace [1]. Following the review of significant literature, the article seeks to delineate some of the elements of myopia. The article concludes that the key to a successful enterprise mainly involves factoring in different stakeholder and societal perspectives.

1. Research Objective

The primary objective of the research paper is to review selected literature on marketing myopia over time and, in the process, briefly make sense of myopic and nonmyopic behavior. Given the vital issues that are highlighted in the literature, the objective was mainly to identify the key elements that cause myopia while further attempting its conceptualization. Likewise, based on the understanding of the literature, the objective was further to highlight the key elements that often help to overcome myopia [2]. At the same time, the study seeks to examine the evolution of the postulation of marketing myopia over time while further presenting its contemporary perspective along with its managerial implications. In doing so, the article provides lessons and guidelines for the development of nonmyopic enterprises and further recommends strategies for

enabling value offerings over the course of an extended period, leading to business sustainability.

2. Research Methodology

The article is not an empirical research-based paper but draws from significant existing literature in order to make sense of the contemporary perspective of marketing myopia. The author identified significant literature and reviewed them before undertaking an analysis of the vital issues. In summarizing the literature review, the researcher provides some of the major pointers in the identification and conceptualization of marketing myopia, as well as the strategies that can be adopted to help overcome the challenge. One of the primary strengths of this approach is that the author analyzed significant literature from various eminent scholars who have, over the years, presented their individual ideologies on the challenge.

3. Literature Review

Marketing is not only a practical but an academic discipline, and it has, over the years, been defined by foundational theories that stress the significance of aligning organizational strategies with the needs of consumers. One of the seminal works in this regard was the concept of marketing myopia, which was introduced by Theodore Levitt in 1960 [3]. In the article, Levitt warned against the issue of a narrow product-centric focus and encouraged organizations to embrace a customer-centric approach instead in order to guarantee the long-term survival of their businesses [4]. Since the publication of the theory, insights from the framework have significantly influenced marketing practice, with businesses being encouraged to prioritize the interests of their customers when making decisions. Nonetheless, recent discourse has brought forth new ideologies and notes that when taken to extremes, this focus is often likely to create a new form of marketing myopia that neglects the broader needs of stakeholders while further underestimating the evolving business context.

4. Historical Perspective of Levitt's Marketing Myopia

Levitt's groundbreaking work mainly positioned customer focus as the antidote to myopia in any

marketing strategy. His submission hinged on the fact that businesses typically fail when they define their purpose in terms of products rather than the needs of their customers. Thus, the shift from production to customer-centric thinking emerged as the hallmark of modern marketing and thus impacted theories such as market orientation [5]. The ideologies that have been brought forth by various scholars have reaffirmed Levitt's ideologies and have emphasized the need to understand the desires of customers, thereby creating value and fostering long-term relationships. Input from scholars on the context has been credited for enhancing business competitiveness and performance [6]. Nonetheless, it should be noted that Levitt's vision was framed in the context of the industrial growth of the mid-20th century, which means that environmental and societal considerations were perceived to be secondary.

5. The Emergence of New Marketing Myopia

Critics of the traditional customer-centric approach have emerged as business contexts have evolved. Due to the evolution, scholars contend that excessive focus on customers is likely to contribute to the creation of a new form of marketing myopia that is mainly characterized by three interrelated issues [7]. The first attribute of new marketing myopia is single-minded customer focus. Whereas Levitt stressed the need to avoid product-centric thinking, some marketers have been exclusively focusing on customers at the expense of other stakeholders such as suppliers, communities, and the environment [8]. One limitation of this approach is that it can contribute to short-term customer satisfaction and long-term strategic vulnerabilities.

Another manifestation of the emerging myopia is the inclination to constructively delineate "customers" and their requirements. Marketers frequently concentrate their efforts on the most lucrative and outspoken customer segments, thereby neglecting marginalized groups [9]. Such a limited perspective may lead to the oversight of opportunities for innovation and market growth. Research in inclusive marketing indicates that organizations can achieve a competitive advantage by effectively addressing the diverse requirements of customers, especially within

globalized and socially fragmented markets [10]. Businesses function within intricate societal ecosystems in which expectations pertaining to sustainability, equity, and ethics have gained significant prominence. Stakeholder theory posits that businesses have a moral and strategic obligation to consider the interests of all stakeholders.

6. Implications of Stakeholder Theory

The theoretical framework of stakeholder theory provides a robust perspective for critiquing and addressing the shortcomings of customer-centric marketing. Freeman's groundbreaking research conducted in 1984 posited that organizations must actively engage with a diverse range of stakeholders in order to generate sustainable value [11]. Subsequent research underscores the significance of stakeholder salience, which involves the identification and prioritization of stakeholders according to their power, legitimacy, and urgency [12]. When applied to marketing, this perspective transitions the emphasis from merely satisfying consumers in isolation to collaboratively generating value alongside a diverse array of stakeholders.

In response to the challenges presented by the emerging phenomenon of marketing myopia, the review delineates five pragmatic strategies for implementation. Identifying all stakeholders pertinent to the organization constitutes a fundamental step. This encompasses conventional stakeholders, including consumers and employees, in addition to non-traditional stakeholders, such as activists, regulators, and local communities [13]. Mapping stakeholders enables organizations to comprehend their interrelationships and foresee potential conflicts. Furthermore, not all stakeholders possess the same degree of influence. By evaluating their significance, marketers can allocate resources efficiently and resolve the most pressing issues [14]. The optimal framework for stakeholder salience should, therefore, be founded upon the principles of power, legitimacy, and urgency while also offering a comprehensive methodology to achieve this objective.

Acquiring insights into stakeholder expectations and assessing the influence of marketing initiatives on these constituencies is of paramount importance.

Instruments such as social impact assessments and stakeholder surveys can assist in identifying deficiencies and guiding the development of strategies [15]. Simultaneously, engagement transcends mere one-way communication by actively involving stakeholders in the processes of decision-making and value creation. Methods such as co-creation workshops, advisory committees, and public consultations are being increasingly employed to promote substantive collaboration [16]. The final approach is that it is imperative that stakeholder considerations be integrated into the organizational culture and processes. This entails the training of employees, the establishment of performance metrics that include stakeholder outcomes, and the incorporation of stakeholder perspectives into the strategic planning process [17]. Such embedding guarantees consistency and robustness in the administration of stakeholders.

7. Critical Evaluation

Although the article presents a well-organized critique of customer-centricity and offers pragmatic solutions, there are several aspects that merit further exploration. Initially, the shift towards a stakeholder-oriented approach necessitates substantial organizational transformation, which may face opposition. Research on change management indicates that securing the commitment of both leadership and employees is crucial yet frequently presents significant challenges [18]. Simultaneously, stakeholders frequently possess divergent interests, which complicates the formulation of a universally acceptable strategy. For instance, mitigating environmental impact may result in elevated costs, thereby creating a conflict with shareholder expectations for profitability.

Addressing such trade-offs necessitates the implementation of advanced negotiation and decision-making frameworks. Broadening the focus to cover multiple stakeholders may compromise the effectiveness of marketing initiatives, especially for organizations with constrained resources [19]. Research pertaining to strategic focus underscores the significance of prioritization in sustaining a competitive advantage. Paradoxically, consumers are becoming increasingly preoccupied with wider

societal concerns, including sustainability and diversity. Disregarding these dimensions poses a risk of estranging even established customer demographics. Consequently, a sophisticated understanding of the intersection between customers and stakeholders is imperative.

8. Implications and Future Directions

The transition from customer-centric marketing to stakeholder-oriented marketing signifies a fundamental transformation that carries significant ramifications for both theoretical frameworks and practical applications. For scholars, it presents novel opportunities for investigation into stakeholder engagement, value co-creation, and sustainable marketing practices [20]. For practitioners, it necessitates a reevaluation of success metrics, extending beyond mere sales and customer satisfaction to cover social and environmental outcomes. Future research should investigate the potential of digital technologies to enhance stakeholder engagement, particularly within intricate and globalized markets.

9. Conclusion

The analysis of the contemporary marketing myopia emphasizes the necessity of transcending a singular emphasis on consumers in favor of adopting a more comprehensive, stakeholder-oriented viewpoint. Although Levitt's customer-centric methodology has transformed marketing practices, its excessive implementation in modern contexts has resulted in strategic distortions, thereby posing risks for enterprises in an interconnected and socially conscious environment. In the contemporary business landscape, it is imperative for organizations to recognize that sustained success is contingent upon comprehending and addressing the requirements and expectations of a diverse array of stakeholders, which includes customers, employees, suppliers, communities, and regulatory bodies. The transition from conventional marketing myopia to stakeholder engagement signifies the evolving societal context in which businesses function, marked by an increased awareness of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) concerns.

Embracing a stakeholder-oriented approach has the capacity to cultivate resilience, stimulate innovation, and enhance trust. Organizations that effectively adopt this approach can cultivate more robust relationships, alleviate reputational risks, and generate mutual value. Nevertheless, this transition is accompanied by a number of challenges. Effectively balancing competing interests, allocating resources carefully, and integrating stakeholder considerations into organizational processes necessitate the implementation of well-considered strategies and robust frameworks. In anticipation of future developments, organizations must incorporate stakeholder-oriented practices into their cultural framework, underpinned by metrics that assess social, environmental, and economic impacts. Furthermore, it is imperative for marketing academicians and practitioners to persist in their examination of the dynamics of stakeholder engagement, especially within the context of diverse and globalized markets. By embracing this broadened perspective, organizations can effectively manage complexity, maintain a competitive edge, and make significant contributions to greater societal welfare.

References

1. Agnihotri, A., & Bhattacharya, S. (2021). CEO narcissism and myopic management. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 97, 145-158.
2. Abbasi, E., & Tamoradi, A. (2020). The Effect of Investors Myopic on Earnings Management and Companies Investment. *Accounting and Auditing Review*, 27(3), 410-428.
3. Boo, C., & Kim, C. (2021). Institutional ownership and marketing myopic management. *Applied Economics Letters*, 28(2), 148-152.
4. Bereskin, F., Hsu, P. H., Na, K., & Rotenberg, W. (2021). Managerial myopia and brand innovation. *Available at SSRN 3103340*.
5. Cannon, J. N., Hu, B., Lee, J. J., & Yang, D. (2020). The effect of international takeover laws on corporate resource adjustments: Market discipline and/or managerial

- myopia? *Journal of International Business Studies*, 51, 1443-1477.
6. Chung, T. S., & Low, A. (2022). CEO regulatory focus and myopic marketing management. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 39(1), 247-267.
7. Czakon, W., Klimas, P., Kawa, A., & Kraus, S. (2023). How myopic are managers? Development and validation of a multidimensional strategic myopia scale. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113573.
8. Cronin Jr, J. J. (2022). Marketing's new myopia: Expanding the social responsibilities of marketing managers. *AMS Review*, 12(1), 30-37.
9. Cao, Q., Ju, M., Li, J., & Zhong, C. (2022). Managerial myopia and long-term investment: evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 708.
10. Chintapalli, P., & Tang, C. S. (2022). The implications of crop minimum support price in the presence of myopic and strategic farmers. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 300(1), 336-349.
11. Ding, D. K., Ferreira, C., Ngo, V. M., Nguyen, P. V., & Wongchoti, U. (2024). Corporate social responsibility and myopic management practice: Is there a link? *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 62(1), 271-308.
12. Kaur, P., Ramaswami, S. N., & Bommaraju, R. (2021). The Chief Marketing Officer: an antidote to myopic earnings management practices. *Marketing Letters*, 32, 165-178.
13. Mizik, N., & Jacobson, R. (2007). Myopic marketing management: Evidence of the phenomenon and its long-term performance consequences in the SEO context. *Marketing Science*, 26(3), 361-379.
14. Madathil, J. C., Paul, J., & Murugan, N. (2024). Marketing Outcomes and Shareholder Value: A Review and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Market Research*, 14707853241277346.
15. Lee, B. Y., & Nawata, K. (2021). Risky Innovativeness: The Role of Myopic Management. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 10(3), 1-17.
16. Patel, P. C., Feng, C., & Guedes, M. J. (2021). Marketing capability and new venture survival: The role of marketing myopia. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 93, 307-326.
17. Stafford, E. R., & Graul, A. R. (2020). Turning consumers green: From green marketing myopia to our 2020 vision. *Sustainability: The Journal of Record*, 13(1), 10-21.
18. Sheng, X., Guo, S., & Chang, X. (2022). Managerial myopia and firm productivity: Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters*, 49, 103083.
19. Vollrath, M. D., & Villegas, S. G. (2021). Avoiding digital marketing analytics myopia: revisiting the customer decision journey as a strategic marketing framework. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 10(2), 106.
20. Zhang, J., Li, Y., Xu, H., & Ding, Y. (2023). Can ESG ratings mitigate managerial myopia? Evidence from Chinese listed companies. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 90, 102878.