Risk Factors and Management of Pressure Ulcers in Hospitalized Patients

Yahya Dokhi Rashid Al Tamimey [1], Waad Alazri Shubat Alanazi [2], Sami Najeeb Shubat Alanazi [3], Nouf Ayed Ayash Alenezi [4], Wafa Mohammed Falah Alanazi [5], Saud Eid Hammad Alharbi [6], Ayat Atallah Alsamiri [7], Shahad Mohammed S Alanazi [8], Eman Salih Alharbi [9], Alanazi, Muneef Suwailem A [10]

1- general practitioner-medicine and general surgery, Hail Health Cluster, Hail, Saudi Arabia.
2- general practitioner-medicine and general surgery, Medical rehabilitation and Care Hospital - Arar, Saudi Arabia
3- general practitioner-medicine and general surgery, Medical rehabilitation and Care Hospital - Arar, Saudi Arabia
4- general physician, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Arar, Saudi Arabia
5- Family medicine - senior registrar, Al Faisaliah Southern Healthcare Center - Arar, Saudi Arabia
6- senior registrar family medicine, Ministry of Health, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
7- General Physician, Al-Usayli Health Center, Ministry of Health, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia.
8- General Practitioner, North Medical Tower at Arar in Saudi Arabia
9- General practitioner, School Health Department, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
10- Internal Medicine - Senior Registrar, North Medical Tower at Arar in Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, are areas of damaged skin and tissue that occur when blood flow to the skin is obstructed, typically due to prolonged pressure in immobilized patients. Key risk factors include immobility, which can stem from surgical procedures, advanced age, or debilitating medical conditions. Patients with limited sensory perception, such as those with neurological impairment, are also at higher risk as they may not feel discomfort that would encourage repositioning. Other contributing factors include poor nutrition, moisture due to incontinence, and the presence of existing skin damage. Understanding these risk factors is crucial for both prevention and timely intervention. Effective management of pressure ulcers necessitates a comprehensive approach. This involves regular assessment of at-risk patients using standardized tools like the Braden Scale, which evaluates sensory perception, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Preventative strategies include repositioning the patient every two hours, using pressure-relieving devices (such as specialized mattresses or cushions), and ensuring nutritional needs are met to promote skin health and repair. Staff education is also vital in maintaining high standards of care, alongside promoting patient autonomy where possible. In cases where ulcers do develop, treatment may include thorough wound cleaning, debridement, and the application of appropriate dressings that facilitate healing.

Keywords : Pressure Ulcers, Bedsores, Immobility, Risk Factors, Management, Prevention Strategies, Patient Assessment, Braden Scale, Nutritional Support, Wound Care

Introduction:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, represent a significant challenge in healthcare settings, particularly among hospitalized patients. These localized injuries to the skin and underlying tissue are primarily caused by prolonged pressure, often exacerbated by shear forces, friction, and moisture. The incidence of pressure ulcers in acute care facilities has emerged as a critical concern, both for patient quality of life and healthcare costs. The recognition of the risk factors associated with pressure ulcer development has become an imperative area of study, leading to the formulation of comprehensive management protocols aimed at prevention and intervention [1].

The prevalence of pressure ulcers varies significantly depending on patient demographics, clinical settings, and assessment methodologies. Estimates suggest that between 5% to 15% of hospitalized adults may develop a pressure ulcer during their stay, with rates significantly higher in critically ill populations or those with limited mobility. The implications of these findings are profound; not only do pressure ulcers increase the duration and complexity of hospitalization, but they are also associated with higher rates of morbidity, potential for infection, and even mortality in severe cases. Moreover, the financial burden on healthcare systems is substantial, as the treatment of pressure ulcers can incur costs ranging from thousands to tens of thousands of dollars per patient [2].

In exploring the multifactorial nature of pressure ulcer risk, a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors have been identified. Intrinsic factors include age, nutritional status, comorbid conditions such as diabetes or vascular disease, sensory impairments, and reduced mobility. For instance, older adults often exhibit compromised skin integrity and reduced subcutaneous fat, making them more susceptible to pressure ulcer development. On the other hand, extrinsic factors encompass the physical environment and care practices, including the type of bedding, chair surfaces, moisture levels (from incontinence or sweating), and protocols of patient repositioning. Understanding these risk factors necessitates a holistic approach to patient assessment, leveraging comprehensive tools and methodologies for accurate identification [3].

Effective management of pressure ulcers requires not only the identification and modification of risk factors but also the implementation of evidence-based practices for prevention. These practices may include regular skin assessments, adequate nutrition, the use of pressure-relieving devices, and the education of healthcare staff and patients alike. Innovative technology has also emerged, facilitating more accurate monitoring of patient conditions and providing alerts about potential ulcer development. For instance, specialized mattresses and cushions designed to distribute weight evenly can significantly reduce the risk of pressure ulcer formation [4].

Despite advances in knowledge and technology, challenges remain within the healthcare system that complicate the prevention and management of pressure ulcers. Issues such as inadequate staffing levels, lack of training, time constraints, and insufficient resources can hinder the effectiveness of prevention strategies. Additionally, variations in care practices among healthcare providers can contribute to disparities in patient outcomes. As such, it is essential to foster an organizational culture that prioritizes pressure ulcer prevention through robust training programs, interdisciplinary collaboration, and comprehensive policies that align with best practices [5].

Understanding the Pathophysiology of Pressure Ulcers:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, are localized injuries to the skin and underlying tissue, most often over bony prominences, resulting from prolonged pressure, shear, friction, or a combination of these factors. These ulcers represent significant morbidity for patients, especially among those with limited mobility or chronic illnesses, and they impose considerable financial burdens on healthcare systems. Understanding the pathophysiology of pressure ulcers is paramount for healthcare professionals, caregivers, and patients themselves in order to prevent their development and facilitate effective management when they do occur [6].

To comprehend the formation of pressure ulcers, one must first have a basic understanding of the skin's anatomy and function. The skin consists of three primary layers: the epidermis, dermis, and subcutaneous tissue. The epidermis serves as a protective barrier, while the underlying dermis contains connective tissue, blood vessels, and nerve endings that provide nourishment and sensation. Below the dermis lies the subcutaneous layer, which consists of fat and connective tissue that cushions the body against trauma and insulates it [7].

Tissue perfusion, the process of delivering blood to tissues, is crucial in maintaining healthy skin. Blood supplies the necessary oxygen and nutrients for cellular metabolism and helps to remove metabolic waste products. In the presence of pressure, particularly over bony prominences, the blood flow can become significantly compromised, leading to ischemia—an inadequate blood supply to tissue. Ischemia can cause tissue necrosis, as the cells are deprived of oxygen and essential nutrients [8].

Mechanisms of Pressure Ulcer Development

External Pressure

Prolonged pressure on a specific region of skin disrupts the capillary blood flow necessary for tissue health. The critical threshold of pressure that can cause ischemia varies among individuals, but it generally ranges between 32 mmHg and 50 mmHg. When pressure exceeds this level, tissue cells become hypoxic, leading to cellular injury and potential death. Even short episodes of high pressure can lead to pressure ulcers, which is why continuous assessment of patients at risk is vital [9].

Shear and Friction Forces

Shear forces arise when two surfaces move in opposite directions. For example, when a patient slides down in a bed or when their bed is repositioned without proper lifting techniques. Shear can distort blood vessels, further impeding circulation and leading to tissue damage. Similarly, friction can occur when skin rubs against bedding or clothing. This abrasion can compromise the skin barrier, making it more susceptible to breakdown and ulcer formation [9].

Moisture and Skin Integrity

Moreover, moisture can exacerbate the effects of pressure and shear. Excessive moisture from incontinence, sweating, or other sources can lead to maceration, where skin is softened and weakened, significantly increasing the risk of ulcer formation. The compromised skin barrier from moisture exposure heightens vulnerability, as the protective outer layer is no longer resilient to mechanical stress or pathogen invasion [10].

Nutritional Status

Nutritional deficiencies can also contribute to the pathophysiology of pressure ulcers. Adequate protein, vitamins, and minerals are crucial for cell repair and regeneration. Individuals who are malnourished or dehydrated have impaired wound healing capacities, making them more susceptible to developing and experiencing complications from pressure ulcers.

Comorbidities

Various underlying health conditions also play a pivotal role in the development of pressure ulcers. Conditions such as diabetes impair blood flow and nerve function, resulting in neuropathy, increasing the risk of injuries going unnoticed. Additionally, conditions that affect blood clotting or overall perfusion, such as vascular diseases, can exacerbate the risk of pressure ulcer development. Mobility impairments due to neurological conditions, orthopedic issues, or extreme frailty further reduce a patient's ability to relieve pressure independently [11].

Staging of Pressure Ulcers

The National Pressure Injury Advisory Panel (NPIAP) classifies pressure ulcers into specific stages based on the severity of the damage. Staging is essential for both diagnosis and treatment planning:

- **Stage I**: Non-blanchable erythema of intact skin indicating potential ulceration.
- **Stage II**: Partial-thickness loss of skin with exposed dermis, manifesting as a blister or abrasion.
- **Stage III**: Full-thickness loss of skin resulting in visible adipose (fat) tissue, not exposing bone, tendon, or muscle.
- **Stage IV**: Full-thickness tissue loss with exposed bone, tendon, or muscle, posing a grave risk of complications such as infection.
- **Unstageable**: Full-thickness skin and tissue loss, with slough or eschar preventing accurate staging [12].

Prevention and Management

Preventing pressure ulcers involves a multifaceted approach, including regular repositioning strategies to relieve pressure, maintaining skin hygiene and moisture levels, optimizing nutritional intake, and employing pressure-relieving devices such as specialized mattresses and cushions. Healthcare professionals should conduct routine skin assessments to identify early signs of pressure damage and intervene preemptively.

In cases where pressure ulcers do occur, management includes wound care tailored to the ulcer's stage, which may involve cleaning the wound, debridement of necrotic tissue, pressure

relief, and advanced topical therapies to promote healing. Addressing underlying factors, such as systemic diseases and nutritional deficiencies, is imperative in guiding treatment and improving outcomes [13].

Identifying Risk Factors in Hospitalized Patients:

Pressure ulcers, commonly referred to as bedsores or décubitus ulcers, represent a significant challenge in hospital settings, affecting patient safety, quality of care, and healthcare costs. These injuries arise from prolonged pressure on the skin, particularly over bony prominences, leading to localized damage in the skin and underlying tissues. Identifying risk factors for pressure ulcers in hospitalized patients is crucial for implementing effective prevention strategies and improving patient outcomes.

Pressure ulcers are classified into different stages based on their severity, ranging from Stage I, characterized by non-blanchable erythema of intact skin, to Stage IV, involving full-thickness tissue loss with exposed bone, tendon, or muscle. The consequences of pressure ulcers extend beyond physical pain and emotional distress; they can lead to severe complications, including infections and prolonged hospital stays, significantly increasing healthcare costs. The prevalence of pressure ulcers in hospitalized patients varies, with estimates suggesting that between 5-15% of acute care patients may develop these ulcers, underscoring the importance of identifying at-risk individuals [14].

Intrinsic Risk Factors

1. Mobility Limitations: One of the most significant intrinsic risk factors for pressure ulcer development is reduced mobility. Patients who are bedridden or have limited movement due to medical conditions (e.g., stroke, spinal cord injury) are at a higher risk of developing pressure ulcers because they are unable to change positions independently. The inability to relieve pressure on vulnerable areas can lead to tissue ischemia and subsequent ulceration [15].

2. Age: Older adults are more susceptible to pressure ulcers due to factors such as thinner skin, decreased elasticity, and comorbidities commonly associated with aging. Physiological changes that accompany aging can impair skin integrity and make the skin less resilient to pressure.

3. Nutritional Status: Malnutrition and dehydration are critical intrinsic factors that can contribute to pressure ulcer development. Patients with inadequate protein and caloric intake may experience reduced skin viability and impaired healing capabilities. Nutrition plays a pivotal role in skin health, as adequate protein intake supports tissue repair and maintenance.

4. Chronic Diseases: The presence of chronic illnesses such as diabetes, vascular disease, or renal failure increases the risk of pressure ulcers. These conditions may impair blood flow and tissue perfusion, which are essential for maintaining healthy skin. In particular, diabetes can lead to neuropathy and reduced sensation, leaving patients unaware of pressure discomfort, further contributing to ulcer formation [15].

5. Sensory Perception: Impaired sensory perception, whether due to neurological conditions or sedation, can inhibit a patient's ability to detect and respond to discomfort, increasing their risk for pressure ulcer development. It is essential for healthcare providers to assess a patient's ability to perceive pain or discomfort regularly [16].

Extrinsic Risk Factors

1. Pressure: Prolonged pressure on specific areas of the body is the primary extrinsic factor leading to pressure ulcer development. The duration and intensity of pressure directly correlate with the risk level; therefore, patients who remain in one position for extended periods are particularly vulnerable. Proper patient positioning and regular repositioning are essential interventions [17].

2. Friction and Shear: Friction occurs when the skin moves across a surface, while shear happens when the skin remains in place while underlying tissues move. Both conditions can damage the skin and decrease its ability to withstand pressure, especially in conditions involving moisture or when a patient is being repositioned improperly.

3. Moisture: Excess moisture from sweating, incontinence, or medical devices can undermine the skin's integrity and increase the risk of pressure ulcers. Moist skin is more prone to friction and shear, leading to skin breakdown. Therefore,

maintaining skin dryness and integrity through careful hygiene practices is vital [18].

4. Support Surfaces: The type and quality of support surfaces, such as mattresses and cushions, play a crucial role in preventing pressure ulcers. High-specification foam mattresses and pressure-relieving devices can significantly reduce pressure on vulnerable areas and promote better patient outcomes.

Identifying Patients at Risk

The identification of patients at risk for pressure ulcers is a fundamental aspect of nursing practice and requires a comprehensive assessment. Various validated tools and risk assessment scales, including the Braden Scale and Norton Scale, facilitate this process by evaluating multiple domains such as mobility, moisture, activity, and nutrition. Regular risk assessments should be integrated into the admission, daily evaluations, and care planning processes to ensure appropriate preventive measures are implemented [19].

Healthcare providers should prioritize education and training regarding risk factors and prevention strategies in their teams. This can enhance awareness and ensure a culture of prevention is embedded within the healthcare setting. Caregivers should be trained to recognize early signs of pressure ulcers, understand repositioning techniques, and the importance of nutritional support [20].

Assessment Tools for Pressure Ulcer Risk:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, are localized areas of tissue necrosis that occur when soft tissue is damaged due to prolonged pressure, particularly over bony prominences. These wounds constitute a significant burden for patients, healthcare providers, and healthcare systems as a whole. Developing effective prevention strategies is crucial, as pressure ulcers can lead to serious complications, including infections, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. To mitigate the risk of pressure ulcers, various assessment tools have been developed to identify individuals at risk and implement appropriate interventions [21].

Before delving into assessment tools, it is essential to understand the underlying factors contributing to the development of pressure ulcers. Typically classified by stages ranging from I to IV based on severity, pressure ulcers arise from a combination of factors, including immobility, impaired sensory perception, nutritional deficiencies, and skin moisture. Certain populations, such as the elderly, individuals with spinal cord injuries, or those undergoing surgery may be at higher risk due to limited mobility or compromised health conditions.

Assessing the risk of pressure ulcers is a critical component of care, particularly in hospital and long-term care settings. Effective risk assessment helps identify individuals who are most likely to develop ulcers, allowing for tailored prevention strategies. Without thorough assessment, healthcare providers may inadvertently overlook at-risk patients, leading to preventable adverse outcomes [22].

Widely Used Assessment Tools

Various tools exist for assessing pressure ulcer risk, each with its unique characteristics, strengths, and weaknesses. Some of the most commonly used assessment instruments include:

1. **Braden Scale:** Developed in the 1980s by Dr. Barbara Braden and her colleagues, the Braden Scale evaluates a patient's risk based on six subscales: sensory perception, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Each subscale is scored on a scale ranging from 1 to 4 or 1 to 3, depending on the subscale, with lower total scores indicating a higher risk of pressure ulcer development. The Braden Scale is widely used in various clinical settings due to its simplicity and predictive validity [23].
2. **Norton Scale:** Another classic tool, the Norton Scale, assesses the risk of pressure ulcers based on four criteria: physical condition, mental condition, activity, and mobility. Each criterion is rated on a scale of 1 to 4, and the total score denotes the level of risk. Although it has been used for decades, the Norton Scale has faced criticism for its subjective nature and limited predictive capability compared to other tools.

3. **Waterlow Score:** This assessment instrument focuses on several risk factors related to pressure ulcer development, including body mass index (BMI), skin type, and sex. The Waterlow score incorporates clinical judgment and is particularly valuable in identifying patients with complex needs. It has a more extensive set of categories and weightings compared to the Braden Scale, making it useful for certain populations [24].

4. **Gosnell Scale:** The Gosnell Scale combines assessment criteria from various existing tools, focusing on clinical judgment and specific risk indicators. It emphasizes physical and psychological assessments, incorporating a diverse set of factors such as age, concomitant chronic illnesses, and the presence of incontinence. The Gosnell Scale is less common than some other tools but offers a comprehensive approach to risk identification.

5. **Cubbin and Jackson Scale:** The Cubbin and Jackson Scale assesses risk more using observational data rather than self-reporting or clinical judgment. This instrument accounts for multiple dimensions of care, enabling healthcare professionals to make informed decisions in preventing pressure ulcer development [25].

Utilizing Assessment Tools Effectively

To maximize the effectiveness of pressure ulcer risk assessment tools, healthcare organizations should ensure that staff is adequately trained in their use. Successful implementation requires integration into nursing practices and healthcare workflows, along with regular updates and audits to evaluate their effectiveness. Moreover, assessment tools should be used in conjunction with clinical judgment and patient-specific factors, as no single tool can provide a comprehensive risk profile for all individuals [26].

Challenges and Limitations

While assessment tools significantly contribute to identifying at-risk individuals, they are not without limitations. For instance, reliance on subjective scoring can introduce variability in risk assessments among healthcare professionals. Additionally, certain assessment tools may lack adequate validation across diverse populations and settings, which can affect their predictive capacity. Factors such as cultural differences, varying patient needs, and changing healthcare environments must be recognized to improve risk assessment processes continuously [27].

Strategies for Prevention of Pressure Ulcers:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, are localized injuries to the skin and/or underlying tissues that occur due to prolonged pressure, often in combination with shear and friction. These injuries are most commonly found in individuals who are immobile, bedridden, or have limited mobility. The incidence of pressure ulcers is a significant concern in healthcare settings, as they can lead to severe complications, prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and decreased quality of life for patients. Consequently, implementing effective strategies for the prevention of pressure ulcers is of paramount importance in both clinical and home care settings [28].

The first step in preventing pressure ulcers is to identify individuals at risk. Various risk assessment tools have been formulated, such as the Braden Scale, Norton Scale, and Waterlow Scale. These tools consider several factors, including sensory perception, moisture, activity level, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Conducting comprehensive assessments for patients upon admission and routinely thereafter allows healthcare providers to make informed decisions about the necessary interventions. Individuals who score lower on these scales require more aggressive preventive measures. Identifying at-risk patients establishes a foundation for tailored care plans that effectively mitigate the risks associated with pressure ulcer development [28].

Regular repositioning of patients is crucial in pressure ulcer prevention. For patients who are unable to move independently or who are confined to bed or a chair, repositioning them at least every two hours while in bed and every hour while in a chair is strongly recommended. This strategy alleviates pressure on vulnerable areas and promotes blood flow. In addition to frequency, proper techniques for repositioning are essential.

Healthcare providers should utilize techniques that minimize shear forces during movement, such as log-rolling and using lift sheets. Furthermore, employing alternate positioning strategies, such as the 30-degree lateral position, can help relieve pressure on bony prominences and reduce the risk of ulcer formation [29].

Nutrition plays a significant role in the prevention of pressure ulcers. Adequate nutritional intake ensures that the skin remains hydrated, resilient, and capable of healing. Nutritional deficiencies, particularly in protein, vitamins A and C, zinc, and hydration, can compromise skin integrity and increase susceptibility to pressure ulcers. Healthcare providers should assess the dietary needs of at-risk patients and collaborate with dietitians to develop nutritional interventions aimed at addressing deficiencies. For individuals who may have difficulty swallowing or withholding adequate food intake, strategies like oral supplements, enteral nutrition, or parenteral nutrition should be considered to ensure that energy and nutrient requirements are met [30].

Maintaining skin integrity is another vital aspect of pressure ulcer prevention. Healthcare providers should regularly inspect patients' skin, particularly over bony prominences, for signs of redness, breakdown, or other abnormalities. Proper skin care routines should involve keeping the skin clean and dry, using mild soap, and avoiding vigorous scrubbing that can damage tissues. The application of moisturizers can help prevent skin dryness, which increases the risk of injury. In addition, using barrier creams or films may protect the skin from moisture associated with incontinence or excessive sweating. If skin breakdown is already evident, addressing the issue promptly with an appropriate treatment regimen can prevent further deterioration [31].

The use of specialized support surfaces, such as pressure-relieving mattresses and cushions, plays a critical role in the prevention of pressure ulcers. These surfaces are designed to redistribute pressure away from vulnerable areas and enhance comfort. Options include alternating pressure mattresses, low-air-loss beds, gel- or foam-based cushions, and combinations thereof. Selecting the appropriate support surface should be based on the patient's level of risk and comfort needs, and these surfaces should be regularly evaluated for efficacy. In settings where patients are frequently transferred or repositioned, maintaining the integrity of support surfaces is crucial; therefore, regular monitoring and maintenance protocols should be established [32].

Raising awareness about pressure ulcers among healthcare personnel, patients, and caregivers is imperative. Education and ongoing training emphasize the importance of preventive measures and foster a culture of safety within healthcare environments. Involving patients and their families in the education process can empower them to actively participate in their care and recognize early signs of skin breakdown. Regular in-service training sessions for nursing staff, along with staff audits and feedback opportunities, can reinforce best practices in pressure ulcer prevention [33].

Clinical Management of Established Pressure Ulcers:

Pressure ulcers, also known as pressure sores, bedsores, or decubitus ulcers, are localized injuries to the skin and underlying tissue, primarily caused by prolonged pressure on the skin. They occur most frequently in individuals with limited mobility, such as those confined to bed or wheelchair-bound patients. While prevention is a fundamental aspect of nursing and medical care, effective clinical management of established pressure ulcers is crucial to alleviate patient suffering and promote healing [34].

Assessment of Pressure Ulcers

The first step in managing established pressure ulcers is a comprehensive assessment of the wound and the patient's overall health. The assessment is crucial for determining the stage of the ulcer, which influences treatment decisions. The National Pressure Injury Advisory Panel (NPIAP) classifies pressure ulcers into four stages:

1. **Stage I**: Non-blanchable erythema of intact skin.
2. **Stage II**: Partial thickness loss of skin with exposed dermis.
3. **Stage III**: Full thickness loss of skin, potentially exposing adipose tissue.
4. **Stage IV**: Full thickness loss with exposed bone, tendon, or muscle.

In addition to staging, clinicians must assess the ulcer for attributes such as size, depth, and presence of necrotic tissue, as well as signs of infection, such as increased exudate, odor, and surrounding inflammation. Patient-centered factors, including nutritional status, comorbidities (such as diabetes or vascular diseases), and mobility, must also be evaluated to create an effective management plan [35].

Treatment Modalities

Wound Bed Preparation

Modern wound care practices emphasize the importance of wound bed preparation, which involves debridement, management of exudate, and creating an ideal healing environment. Debridement, the removal of necrotic tissue and debris, is vital not only to decrease the bacterial load but also to promote granulation tissue formation. Several methods of debridement exist:

- **Autolytic Debridement**: Utilizing the body's own enzymes and moisture to separate necrotic tissue.
- **Mechanical Debridement**: Involves the physical removal of dead tissue, often using saline irrigation or specialized dressings.
- **Enzymatic Debridement**: The application of topical enzymes to facilitate the breakdown of necrotic tissue.
- **Surgical Debridement**: In more severe cases, surgical intervention is necessary to excise necrotic tissue [36].

It's essential to choose the appropriate debridement technique based on the wound's condition and patient factors.

Dressings and Topical Treatments

Selecting the right dressing is vital in managing pressure ulcers. Dressings should maintain a moist wound environment, control exudate, and minimize pain. Common dressings used include:

- **Hydrocolloid dressings**: Ideal for stage II ulcers, providing moisture while protecting the wound.
- **Foam dressings**: Absorbent and suitable for moderate to heavy exudating ulcers.
- **Alginate dressings**: Highly absorptive materials derived from seaweed, effective for managing heavily exuding wounds.
- **Hydrogels**: Provide moisture to dry wounds and help in pain management.

Topical agents, such as antimicrobial ointments or silver-based dressings, may be used to reduce bacterial load and prevent infection. It is critical to evaluate the wound regularly to reassess the effectiveness of the selected dressing [37].

Infection Control

Patients with established pressure ulcers are at increased risk for infection, necessitating stringent infection control measures. Signs of infection can include increasing pain, changing color or odor of the wound, and systemic signs such as fever. Culturing the wound may be necessary to identify pathogens and guide antibiotic therapy. Empirical antibiotic therapy may be initiated based on clinical judgment, but the treatment should be tailored according to culture results [38].

Surgical Intervention

In certain situations, especially for stage III and IV pressure ulcers, surgical intervention may be required to promote healing. Surgical options include:

- **Flap Surgery**: Involves transferring skin and underlying tissue from a healthy site (donor site) to cover the ulcer.
- **Skin Grafts**: Applying a piece of healthy skin to the ulcer site to facilitate healing.
- **Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT)**: A specialized technique using suction to promote healing in complex wounds, including ulcers [39].

The choice of surgical option depends on the wound type, patient health status, and potential for successful healing.

Nutritional Support

Nutritional status plays a pivotal role in wound healing. Patients with pressure ulcers often exhibit

protein-energy malnutrition, which impedes healing and increases infection risk. Nutritional assessment should include evaluating protein intake, caloric needs, hydration status, and micronutrient levels [40].

Protein is critical for tissue repair, so patients should be encouraged to consume high-protein foods such as lean meats, legumes, dairy products, and nuts. Supplements, including arginine, zinc, and vitamin C, may also be recommended to support healing [41].

The management of established pressure ulcers requires ongoing care and monitoring. Regular reassessment of the wound and overall patient health is essential for adjusting treatment plans. In addition to wound healing, clinicians must address factors that contribute to ulcer formation and recurrence, such as inadequate mobility, poor nutritional status, and improper skin care.

Patient education plays a key role, empowering individuals and caregivers with information on pressure ulcer prevention and care. Educating patients about regular position changes, skin inspection, and the importance of nutrition can significantly improve outcomes [42].

Role of Multidisciplinary Teams in Pressure Ulcer Management:

Pressure ulcers, often referred to as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, are localized areas of tissue damage that occur due to prolonged pressure, leading to ischemia and potential necrosis of tissues. These injuries can result from various contributing factors, including immobility, incontinence, poor nutrition, and underlying health conditions such as diabetes or vascular diseases. The prevalence of pressure ulcers is particularly notable among the elderly population and those with limited mobility, such as patients in long-term care facilities or hospitals. Treating and preventing pressure ulcers requires a comprehensive approach, and this is where the role of multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) becomes crucial [43].

A multidisciplinary team in healthcare consists of professionals from diverse fields collaborating towards a common objective: delivering holistic patient care. In managing pressure ulcers, this includes healthcare providers such as physicians, nurses, dietitians, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, wound care specialists, social workers, and even patient advocates. Each member brings unique expertise and perspectives, enriching the care and intervention process [44].

Key Functions of Multidisciplinary Teams

1. **Comprehensive Assessment and Evaluation**: One of the primary advantages of an MDT is the ability to conduct thorough assessments. Physicians can diagnose underlying medical conditions that may predispose patients to pressure ulcers, while nurses perform regular skin assessments and monitor the staging and progression of existing ulcers. Meanwhile, dietitians evaluate nutritional status, ensuring adequate protein and caloric intake, which is critical for wound healing [45].

2. **Individualized Care Plans**: Developing tailored care plans is essential in pressure ulcer management. MDTs can work collaboratively to create and modify care strategies based on a patient's specific needs. This could involve diet modifications, repositioning schedules, pressure relief interventions, and other individualized care techniques aimed at preventing ulcer development and promoting healing [46].

3. **Education and Training**: Education is a vital component in preventing pressure ulcers and ensuring effective management. MDTs can lead training sessions for caregivers and nursing staff on best practices for pressure ulcer prevention, including proper repositioning techniques, the use of pressure-relieving devices, and the importance of skin care.

4. **Integration of Advanced Technology**: With the rise of telehealth and digital health technologies, MDTs can leverage these tools for enhanced monitoring and care. For example, smart mattresses that can monitor pressure points, or telemedicine solutions that enable experts to review a patient's condition in real time, can be integrated into care strategies [47].

5. **Holistic Patient and Family Engagement**: Engaging patients and their families in decision-making is a hallmark of comprehensive care. MDTs are better positioned to communicate care goals and strategies to patients and their families. This fosters a sense of ownership and accountability, motivating families to participate in care routines that prevent pressure ulcers [48].

Challenges in Multidisciplinary Collaboration

While the benefits of multidisciplinary teams in pressure ulcer management are substantial, several challenges persist. Communication breakdown can occur when team members operate in silos, highlighting the importance of regular meetings and updates to ensure everyone is aligned with the treatment strategy. Differences in professional jargon and perspectives can also lead to misunderstandings. Therefore, strategies for effective communication and shared understanding need to be prioritized [49].

Moreover, the effective functioning of an MDT also requires establishing clear role definitions. Each provider must understand their contributions, minimizing the risk of overlap or conflict in care responsibilities [50].

Research indicates that multidisciplinary approaches significantly enhance the outcomes for patients at risk of or suffering from pressure ulcers. A systematic review of the literature found that patients cared for by MDTs have decreased incidences of pressure ulcers, shorter healing times, and lower healthcare costs. These studies consistently demonstrate that integrating various healthcare professionals can lead to more effective, efficient, and patient-centered care.

Example Case Studies

1. **Long-Term Care Facilities**: In a long-term care facility, a multidisciplinary team that includes nurse practitioners, dietitians, and wound care specialists implemented a new protocol for pressure ulcer prevention. This team conducted bi-weekly assessments of high-risk patients and adjusted care plans based on those assessments. After one year of implementation, the facility reported a 35% reduction in the incidence of pressure ulcers [50].

2. **Acute Settings**: In an acute care hospital, an MDT consisting of physicians, nurses, occupational therapists, and physiotherapists worked together to develop a protocol aimed at preventing pressure ulcers in surgical patients. By standardizing risk assessments and repositioning schedules, the hospital achieved a notable decrease in ulcer incidence, highlighting the efficacy of multidisciplinary coordination [51].

Future Directions and Research Implications:

Pressure ulcers, also known as bedsores or decubitus ulcers, represent a significant health concern, particularly among immobile and elderly populations. These injuries, typically resulting from prolonged pressure on the skin, can lead to severe complications, including infections, increased morbidity, and even mortality. With the aging population, the prevalence of chronic conditions, and the increasing incidence of immobility due to various factors, pressure ulcers remain a critical area of research. As we look to the future, there are several key directions and implications for research that can potentially transform the understanding, prevention, and management of pressure ulcers [52].

A primary focus of future research is the development and effectiveness of innovative prevention strategies. Current approaches rely heavily on risk assessment tools, repositioning protocols, and support surfaces, yet pressure ulcers continue to pose significant challenges. Investigating new technologies, such as smart textiles infused with sensors to monitor pressure and moisture levels in real time, could provide caregivers with critical data to prevent skin breakdown. Research should emphasize the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning to analyze patient data and predict those at risk of developing pressure ulcers, allowing for targeted interventions [53].

The treatment of existing pressure ulcers is another vital area for research. Traditional methods have often been insufficient, leading to a need for new therapies that promote healing. Future studies

should investigate biologics and advanced wound care products, such as bioengineered tissues, growth factors, and stem cell therapies, which may accelerate healing and reduce the likelihood of infection. Additionally, understanding the role of systemic factors, like nutrition and underlying health conditions in the management of pressure ulcers, will be essential to developing comprehensive treatment protocols [54].

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of telehealth, revealing its potential benefits in managing chronic conditions, including pressure ulcers. Future research should explore how telehealth can facilitate early intervention and ongoing monitoring for at-risk patients, especially in rural or underserved areas. Virtual consultations with wound care specialists can be investigated to enhance care accessibility, reduce travel burdens for patients, and encourage adherence to prevention protocols through regular follow-up [55].

Addressing the multifaceted nature of pressure ulcers necessitates interdisciplinary collaboration. Future research should encourage partnerships among clinicians, scientists, engineers, and policymakers. Collaborations could lead to the development of integrated care pathways that encompass holistic approaches to pressure ulcer prevention and management. For instance, involving occupational therapists and nutritionists in patient care plans can significantly impact skin health and mobility. Research that examines the efficacy of such interdisciplinary models of care will be critical in establishing best practices [56].

Understanding the social determinants of health, including cultural and socioeconomic factors, will also play a crucial role in future pressure ulcer research. Disparities in healthcare access, education, and resources can significantly affect the incidence and management of pressure ulcers. Therefore, research must investigate the barriers faced by different populations and develop tailored interventions that address these disparities. This may include culturally sensitive education programs for caregivers and patients or tools that facilitate understanding and compliance with preventive measures [57].

The establishment of comprehensive databases and longitudinal studies is essential for understanding the long-term outcomes of pressure ulcer interventions. Future research should prioritize the collection of standardized data to evaluate treatment efficacy rigorously and promote generalizable findings. This includes not only clinical outcomes but also patient-reported outcomes, such as pain levels, quality of life, and satisfaction with care. A robust framework for measuring outcomes will help refine existing practices and promote the adoption of evidence-based strategies across healthcare settings [58].

Finally, the implications of research on pressure ulcers extend into the realm of health policy. As data accumulates regarding effective interventions and care models, it will be crucial to influence policy that supports the implementation of best practices. Policymakers, armed with evidence-based research, can advocate for funding, training programs, and resource allocation to areas with high rates of pressure ulcers. This holistic approach not only addresses the clinical aspects of care but also encompasses broader systemic changes to improve patient outcomes [59].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, pressure ulcers remain a significant challenge in the healthcare setting, particularly among hospitalized patients, where they can profoundly impact patient outcomes and healthcare costs. Understanding the multifactorial risk factors—such as immobility, poor nutritional status, and moisture—allows healthcare professionals to implement targeted preventive measures and interventions effectively. Regular assessments using standardized tools like the Braden Scale are essential for identifying at-risk patients and initiating timely care strategies. Effective management of pressure ulcers requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach centered on both prevention and treatment. By fostering collaboration among healthcare teams, enhancing staff education, and promoting patient engagement in their care, facilities can minimize the incidence of pressure ulcers significantly. Ongoing research and innovation in this field are crucial for developing new strategies and technologies to further reduce the burden of pressure ulcers and improve quality of care for hospitalized patients. Through continued awareness and commitment to best practices, healthcare providers can make substantial strides in safeguarding the health and well-being of vulnerable patient populations.

References:

1. Ueno, T., Kabata, T., Kajino, Y., Inoue, D., Ohmori, T., Yoshitani, J., & Tsuchiya, H. (2020). Risk factors for pressure ulcers from the use of a pelvic positioner in hip surgery: A retrospective observational cohort study in 229 patients. Patient Safety in Surgery, 14, 1–9.
2. Coyer, F., Miles, S., Gosley, S., Fulbrook, P., Sketcher-Baker, K., Cook, J.-L., & Whitmore, J. (2017). Pressure injury prevalence in intensive care versus non-intensive care patients: A state-wide comparison. Australian Critical Care, 30(5), 244–250.
3. Akhkand, S. S., Seidi, J., Ebadi, A., & Gheshlagh, R. G. (2020). Prevalence of pressure ulcer in Iran's intensive care units: A systematic review and a meta-analysis. Nursing Practice Today, 7(1), 21–29.
4. Rashvand, F., Shamekhi, L., Rafiei, H., & Nosrataghaei, M. (2020). Incidence and risk factors for medical device-related pressure ulcers: The first report in this regard in Iran. International Wound Journal, 17(2), 436–442.
5. Kula, M., & GaPUD, R. (2018). Prevalence and risk factor assessments of pressure ulcers among medical in-patients in ripas hospital. Brunei International Medical Journal, 14, 151–156.
6. Karimian, M., Sarokhani, D., Sarokhani, M., Sayehmiri, K., & Mortazavi Tabatabai, S. A. (2016). Prevalence of bedsore in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, 26(136), 202–210.
7. Lotfi, M., Aghazadeh, A. M., Asgarpour, H., & Nobakht, A. (2019). Iranian nurses' knowledge, attitude and behaviour on skin care, prevention and management of pressure injury: A descriptive cross-sectional study. Nursing Open, 6(4), 1600–1605.
8. Jackson, D., Hutchinson, M., Barnason, S., Li, W., Mannix, J., Neville, S., & Usher, K. (2016). Towards international consensus on patient harm: Perspectives on pressure injury policy. Journal of Nursing Management, 24(7), 902–914.
9. Lyder, C. H., Wang, Y., Metersky, M., Curry, M., Kliman, R., Verzier, N. R., & Hunt, D. R. (2012). Hospital-acquired pressure ulcers: Results from the national Medicare patient safety monitoring system study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 60(9), 1603–1608.
10. Fagerland, M. W., & Hosmer, D. W. (2012). A generalized Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test for multinomial logistic regression models. The Stata Journal, 12(3), 447–453.
11. Stinson, M., Ferguson, R., & Porter-Armstrong, A. (2018). Exploring repositioning movements in sitting with ‘at risk’ groups using accelerometry and interface pressure mapping technologies. Journal of Tissue Viability, 27(1), 10–15.
12. Lotfi, M., Zamanzadeh, V., Valizadeh, L., & Khajehgoodari, M. (2019). Assessment of nurse–patient communication and patient satisfaction from nursing care. Nursing Open, 6(3), 1189–1196.
13. Hu, J. (2020). Incidence and prevalence of medical device-related pressure ulcers in children and adults. Evidence-Based Nursing, 23(2), 62.
14. Cooper, K. L. (2013). Evidence-based prevention of pressure ulcers in the intensive care unit. Critical Care Nurse, 33(6), 57–66.
15. Lichterfeld-Kottner, A., Lahmann, N., & Kottner, J. (2020). Sex-specific differences in prevention and treatment of institutional-acquired pressure ulcers in hospitals and nursing homes. Journal of Tissue Viability, 29(3), 204–210.
16. The impact of pressure ulcer risk assessment on patient outcomes among hospitalised patients. Saleh M, Anthony D, Parboteeah S. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18:1923–1929. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02717.x.
17. Pressure ulcers in Jordan: a point prevalence study. Tubaishat A, Anthony D, Saleh M. J Tissue Viability. 2011;20:14–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jtv.2010.08.001.
18. Pressure ulcer prevention. Edlich RF, Winters KL, Woodard CR, Buschbacher RM, Long WB, Gebhart JH, Ma EK. J Long Term Eff Med Implants. 2004;14:285–304. doi: 10.1615/jlongtermeffmedimplants.v14.i4.20.
19. The causation and prevention of bed sores. Roaf R. J Tissue Viability. 2006;16:6–8. doi: 10.1016/s0965-206x(06)62002-0.
20. Factors that contribute to pressure sores in surgical patients. Kemp MG, Keithley JK, Smith DW, Morreale B. Res Nurs Health.

1990;13:293–301. doi: 10.1002/nur.4770130505.
21. Reducing hospital-acquired pressure injuries. Al-Otaibi YK, Al-Nowaiser N, Rahman A. BMJ Open Qual. 2019;8:0. doi: 10.1136/bmjoq-2018-000464.
22. Prediction of pressure sore development. Ek AC. Scand J Caring Sci. 1987;1:77–84. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6712.1987.tb00603.x.
23. Immobility - a major risk factor for development of pressure ulcers among adult hospitalized patients: a prospective study. Lindgren M, Unosson M, Fredrikson M, Ek AC. Scand J Caring Sci. 2004;18:57–64. doi: 10.1046/j.0283-9318.2003.00250.x.
24. UK, USA and Canada: how do their pressure ulcer prevalence and incidence data compare? Kaltenthaler E, Withfield MD, Walters SJ, Akehurst RL, Paisley S. J Wound Care. 2001;10:530–535. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2001.10.1.26039.
25. The development and healing of pressure sores related to the nutritional state. Ek AC, Unosson M, Larsson J, Von Schenck H, Bjurulf P. Clin Nutr. 1991;10:245–250. doi: 10.1016/0261-5614(91)90002-t.
26. Patient risk factors for pressure ulcer development: systematic review. Coleman S, Gorecki C, Nelson EA, et al. Int J Nurs Stud. 2013;1:974–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.11.019.
27. Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: clinical practice guideline. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel and the European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP/EPUAP), 2016.
28. Pressure prevention in the critically ill: what you don't know, what you should know and why it's important. Theaker C. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 2003;19:163–168. doi: 10.1016/s0964-3397(03)00025-9.
29. The cost of prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: a systematic review. Demarré L, Van Lancker A, Van Hecke A, et al. Int J Nurs Stud. 2015;52:1754–1774. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.06.006.
30. All at-risk patients are not created equal: analysis of Braden pressure ulcer risk score to identify specifics risks. Tescher AN, Branda ME, Byrne TJ, Naessens JM. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2012;39:282–291. doi: 10.1097/WON.0b013e3182435715.
31. Úlcera por presión: incidencia y factores demográficos, clínicos y nutricionales asociados en pacientes de una unidad de cuidados intensivos. Oliveira AC, Sabino CP, Almeida AD, Santos AC. Nutr Hosp. 2015;32:2242–2252. doi: 10.3305/nh.2015.32.5.9646.
32. Assessment and management of pressure ulcers in the elderly: current strategies. Jaul E. Drugs Aging. 2010;27:311–325. doi: 10.2165/11318340-000000000-00000.
33. Preventing pressure ulcers in hospitals.
34. Pressure ulcers: the core, care and cure approach. Sumarno AS. Br J Community Nurs. 2019;24:38–42. doi: 10.12968/bjcn.2019.24.Sup12.S38.
35. Pressure ulcer risk factors among hospitalized patients with activity limitations. Allman RM, Goode PS, Patrick MM, Burst N, Bartolucci AA. JAMA. 1995;11:865–870.
36. Defloor T. The risk of pressure sores: a conceptual scheme. J Clin Nurs Res Pract. 1999;8:206–216. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2702.1999.00254.x.
37. Inan DG, Öztunç G. Pressure ulcer prevalence in Turkey: a sample from a university hospital. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2012;39(4):409–413. doi: 10.1097/WON.0b013e31825825b1.
38. Nuru N, Zewdu F, Amsalu S, Mehretie Y. Knowledge and practice of nurses towards prevention of pressure ulcer and associated factors in Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Nurs. 2015;14:34. doi: 10.1186/s12912-015-0076-8.
39. Gedamu H, Hailu M, Amano A. Prevalence and associated factors of pressure ulcer among hospitalized patients at Felegehiwot referral hospital, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Adv Nurs. 2014;2014:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2014/767358.
40. Soban LM, Hempel S, Munjas BA, Miles J, Rubenstein LV. Preventing pressure ulcers in hospitals: a systematic review of nurse-focused quality improvement interventions. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2011;37(6):245. doi: 10.1016/S1553-7250(11)37032-8.
41. Catherine VanGilder M, Amlung S, Harrison P, Meyer S. Results of the 2008–2009 International Pressure Ulcer Prevalence™

Survey and a 3-year, acute care, unit-specific analysis. Ostomy Wound Manag. 2009;55:39–45.
42. Lahmann NA, Halfens RJ, Dassen T. Prevalence of pressure ulcers in Germany. J Clin Nurs. 2005;14(2):165–172. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.01037.x.
43. Chacon JMF, Blanes L, Hochman B, Ferreira LM. Prevalence of pressure ulcers among the elderly living in long-stay institutions in São Paulo. Sao Paulo Med J. 2009;127(4):211–215. doi: 10.1590/S1516-31802009000400006.
44. Bergstrom N. The Braden Scale for predicting pressure sore risk. Nurs Res. 1987;36(4):205–210. doi: 10.1097/00006199-198707000-00002.
45. Gunningberg L, Stotts NA. Tracking quality over time: what do pressure ulcer data show? Int J Qual Health Care. 2008;20(4):246–253. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzn009.
46. Macgregor L. International guidelines. Pressure ulcer prevention: prevalence and incidence in context. A consensus document. London: MEP Ltd.; 2009.
47. Bluestein D, Javaheri A. Pressure ulcers: prevention, evaluation, and management. Am Fam Physician. 2008;78(10).
48. Keller BPJA, Wille J, van Ramshorst B, Wvd C. Pressure ulcers in intensive care patients: a review of risks and prevention. Intensive Care Med. 2002;28:1379–1388. doi: 10.1007/s00134-002-1487-z.
49. Suttipong C, Sindhu S. Predicting factors of pressure ulcers in older Thai stroke patients living in urban communities. J Clin Nurs. 2012;21(3–4):372–379. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03889.x.
50. Panel EPUA. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: quick reference guide Washington DC National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel; 2009.
51. Benbow M. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers. Nurs Stand (through 2013) 2006;20(52):42. doi: 10.7748/ns2006.09.20.52.42.c4493.
52. Ceelen K. Aetiology of pressure ulcers. A literature review. Part I of MSc-thesis, Eindhoven university of Technology, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering. 2003.
53. Mwebaza I, Katende G, Groves S, Nankumbi J. Nurses' knowledge, practices, and barriers in care of patients with pressure ulcers in a Ugandan teaching hospital. Nurs Res Pract. 2014;2014:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2014/973602.
54. Saunders LL, Krause JS, Peters BA, Karla S, Reed M. The relationship of pressure ulcers, race, and socioeconomic conditions after spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med. 2016;33(4):387–395. doi: 10.1080/10790268.2010.11689717.
55. Dilie A, Mengistu D. Assessment of nurses' knowledge, attitude, and perceived barriers to expressed pressure ulcer prevention practice in Addis Ababa government hospitals, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2015. Adv Nurs. 2015;2015:1–11. doi: 10.1155/2015/796927.
56. Pressure ulcers - prevention and treatment guidelines. Phillips LB. Nurs Stand. 1999;14:56–58. doi: 10.7748/ns.14.12.56.s48.
57. Patients with existing pressure ulcers admitted to acute care. Williams DF, Stotts NA, Nelson K. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2000;27:216–226. doi: 10.1067/mjw.2000.107875.
58. Epidemiology of pressure ulcers in a skilled care facility. Burd C, Langemo DK, Olson B, Hanson D, Hunter S, Savage T. J Gerontol Nurs. 1992;18:29–39. doi: 10.3928/0098-9134-19920901-07.
59. Pressure sore incidence and severity in a community hospital. Gosnell DJ, Johannsen J, Ayres M. Decubitus. 1992;5:62.